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Chapter 1.
The burden of asthma

PREVALENCE, MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY

Asthma is a problem worldwide, with an estimated 300 million affected individuals. Despite hundreds of reports on the prevalence of asthma in widely differing populations, the lack of a precise and universally accepted definition of asthma makes reliable comparison of reported prevalence from different parts of the world problematic. Nonetheless, based on standardized methods for assessing asthma symptoms, it appears that the global prevalence of asthma ranges from 1 to 16% of the population in different countries (Boxes A1-1, A1-2). There are insufficient data to determine the likely causes of the described variations in prevalence within and between populations.

There is firm evidence that international differences in asthma symptom prevalence in children have decreased over recent decades; symptom prevalence has been decreasing in Western Europe and increasing in regions where prevalence was previously low. Asthma symptom prevalence in Africa, Latin America, Eastern Europe and Asia continues to rise. The World Health Organization Global Burden of Disease Study estimates that 13.8 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) are lost annually due to asthma, representing 1.8% of the total global disease burden. It is estimated that asthma causes 346,000 deaths worldwide every year, with widely varying case fatality rates that may reflect differences in management.

Box A1-1. World map of the prevalence of current asthma in children aged 13–14 years

*Map provided by Richard Beasley. Data are based on ISAAC III. The prevalence of current asthma in the 13–14 year age group is estimated as 50% of the prevalence of self-reported wheezing in the previous 12 months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>% asthma</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>% asthma</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>% asthma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isle of Man</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>Turkey*</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>FYR Macedonia</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wales</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>France*</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Ireland</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>Norway*</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>Palestine</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Islands</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Trinidad and Tobago</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>Sultanate of Oman</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Niue</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>New Caledonia</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates*</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reunion Island</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Kyrgyzstan</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Bangladesh*</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Democratic Republic of Congo</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokelau</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>French Polynesia</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Occupied Territory of Palestine*</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivory Coast</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Denmark*</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>Cook Islands</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinéra</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Togo</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Syrian Arab Republic</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingdom of Tonga</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Switzerland*</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic*</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>Greece*</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>Serbia and Montenegro</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Uzbekistan*</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Nepal*</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are based on ISAAC III. The prevalence of current asthma in the 13-14 year age group is estimated as 50% of the prevalence of self-reported wheezing in the previous 12 months. *No data available from ISAAC III, figures taken from Global Burden of Asthma Report.
SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC BURDEN

Social and economic factors are integral to understanding asthma and its care, from the perspective of both the individual person with asthma and the health care provider. In addition, quantifying the socioeconomic burden of diseases is important as it provides critical information to decision makers to efficiently allocate scarce health care resources. Attention needs to be paid to both direct medical costs (identifiable health care services and goods used for asthma such as hospital admissions, physician visits and medications) and indirect costs (productivity loss and premature death).7,8

Direct costs

The monetary costs of asthma, as estimated in a variety of health care systems including those of the United States,9,10 Canada,11 Italy,12 and the United Kingdom13 are substantial. Few economic studies are conducted in non-western countries, but there is strong evidence that asthma imposes a significant burden in the developing world.14 Exacerbations are major determinants of the direct cost of asthma, and preventing exacerbations should be an important consideration in asthma management.15

Indirect costs

Since asthma is a chronic health condition that affects individuals across all ages, productivity loss due to asthma is substantial.16 Absence from school and days lost from work are reported as substantial social and economic consequences of asthma in studies from various regions of the world.8 Productivity loss itself can be in the form of missed work time (absenteeism), and present at work but with reduced performance (presenteeism).17 Very few comparisons are available, but productivity loss due to presenteeism seems to be a more important source of economic burden than absenteeism.16

REDUCING THE BURDEN OF ASTHMA

Poor asthma control is associated with higher medical costs, increased productivity loss, and substantial reductions in quality of life.18 In closely controlled clinical trials, good asthma control can be achieved in the majority of patients.19 Nevertheless, in practice there remains a substantial fraction of patients with poorly controlled asthma due to sub-optimal treatment. This signifies a care gap and potential for improvements in health and reductions in costs.18 However, good management of asthma poses a challenge for individuals, health care professionals, health care organizations, and governments. Efforts are required to provide access to appropriate controller medications, and to ensure that they are prescribed appropriately by health care providers and used correctly by patients.20

Comparisons of the cost of asthma in different regions lead to the following conclusions.

- The costs of asthma depend on its prevalence, the individual patient’s level of asthma control, the extent to which exacerbations are avoided, and the costs of medical care and medications.
- Emergency treatment is more expensive than planned treatment and preventing hospitalizations is an achievable goal for health services.
- The non-medical economic costs of asthma are substantial. Specifically, presenteeism seems to be particularly high in patients with asthma.
- The presence of many individuals with uncontrolled asthma signifies a preventable source of socioeconomic burden.

Additional information about the burden of asthma can be found in the 2004 report Global Burden of Asthma (www.ginasthma.org) and from the World Health Organization Global Burden of Disease project (www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease). Ongoing audit and research on the social and economic burden of asthma and the cost-effectiveness of treatment are needed in both developed and developing countries.
Chapter 2.
Factors affecting the development and expression of asthma

BACKGROUND

Factors that influence the risk of developing asthma include host and environmental factors (Box A2-1).\textsuperscript{21} However, the mechanisms whereby these factors influence the development and expression of asthma are complex and interactive; for example, genes are likely to interact both with other genes and with environmental factors to determine asthma susceptibility.\textsuperscript{22,23} In addition, developmental aspects such as the maturation of the immune response, development of atopy, and the timing of infectious exposures during the first years of life, are emerging as important factors that modify the risk of asthma in the genetically susceptible person. Strategies that may be useful to prevent the development of asthma are described in the Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention 2018, Chapter 7.\textsuperscript{24}

Box A2-1. Factors influencing the development and expression of asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host factors</th>
<th>Environmental factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Genetic (e.g. genes predisposing to atopy, airway hyperresponsiveness, airway inflammation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Obesity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pre-term or with small size for gestational age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Allergens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Indoor: domestic mites, furred animals (e.g. dogs, cats, mice), cockroaches, fungi, molds, yeasts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Outdoor: pollen, molds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Occupational sensitizers and allergens (e.g. flour, laboratory rodents, paints)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Infections (predominantly viral)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Microbiome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Exposure to tobacco smoke</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Passive smoking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Active smoking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Outdoor or indoor air pollution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Diet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Stress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Links between asthma and socioeconomic status, with a higher prevalence of asthma in developed than in developing nations; in poor compared with affluent populations in developed nations; and in affluent compared with poor populations in developing nations; are likely to reflect lifestyle differences such as exposure to allergens, infections, diet, and access to health care. Much of what is known about risk factors for the development of asthma comes from studies of young children; the risk factors in adults, particularly de novo in adults who did not have asthma in childhood, are less well defined.

The heterogeneity of asthma, the previous lack of a clear definition, and lack of a biological ‘gold standard’ marker for asthma present significant problems in studying the role of different risk factors in the development of this complex disease. Characteristics that are commonly found in patients with asthma (e.g. airway hyperresponsiveness, atopy and allergic sensitization) are themselves products of complex gene–environment interactions and are therefore both features of asthma and risk factors for the development of the disease.
HOST FACTORS

Genetic

Asthma has a complex heritable component. Current data show that multiple genes may be involved in the pathogenesis of asthma, and different genes may be involved in different ethnic groups. The search for genes linked to the development of asthma has focused on four major areas: production of allergen-specific immunoglobulin E (IgE) antibodies (atopy); expression of airway hyperresponsiveness; generation of inflammatory mediators such as cytokines, chemokines and growth factors; and determination of the ratio between T helper lymphocyte Th1 and Th2 immune responses (as relevant to the hygiene hypothesis of asthma). Family studies and case-control association analyses have identified a number of chromosomal regions that are associated with asthma susceptibility. For example, a tendency to produce an elevated level of total serum IgE is co-inherited with airway hyperresponsiveness, and a gene (or genes) governing airway hyperresponsiveness is located near a major locus that regulates serum IgE levels on chromosome 5q.

A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) for IgE identified a variant near HLA-DQB1 as a predictor of total serum IgE levels in multiple race and ethnic groups. Another GWAS study defined the potential importance of genes such as IL33, IL1RL1, IL18R1 and TSLP that are involved in epithelial cell danger signal pathways. To further complicate the issue, researchers have found associations for variants in innate immunity genes with asthma and suggest that these may play a role, in conjunction with early-life viral exposures, in the development of asthma.

In addition to genes that predispose to asthma there are genes that are associated with the response to asthma treatments. For example, variations in the gene encoding the beta2-adrenoreceptor have been linked to differences in some subjects’ responses to short-acting beta2-agonists. Other genes of interest modify the responsiveness to corticosteroids and leukotriene receptor antagonists. Genetic markers will likely become important, not only as risk factors in the pathogenesis of asthma, but also as determinants of responsiveness to treatment.

Sex

In childhood, male sex is a risk factor for asthma. Prior to the age of 14, the prevalence of asthma is nearly twice as great in boys as in girls. As children grow older, the difference in prevalence between the sexes narrows, and by adulthood the prevalence of asthma is greater in women than in men. The reasons for this sex-related difference are not clear; one potential contributor is differences in lung and airway size, which are smaller in males than in females in infancy, but larger in females in adulthood.

Early growth characteristics

Early growth characteristics might persistently affect lung function and thereby contribute to the risk of obstructive respiratory diseases in later life. Younger gestational age, lower birth weight, and greater infant weight gain are independently associated with persistent changes in childhood lung function. Stratified analyses of birth cohorts have shown that children born very preterm with a relatively low birth weight had the lowest FEV1 and FEV1/FVC ratio. Preterm birth, low birth weight, and greater weight gain were all associated with an increased risk of childhood asthma.

Obesity

The prevalence and incidence of asthma are increased in obese subjects (body mass index >30 kg/m2), particularly in women with abdominal obesity. Inappropriate attribution of shortness of breath may contribute to over-diagnosis, but one study found that over-diagnosis of asthma was no more common in obese than in non-obese patients. It is not known why asthma develops more frequently in the obese. Potential contributing factors include changes in airway function due to the effects of obesity on lung mechanics; the development of a pro-inflammatory state in obesity; and an increased prevalence of comorbidities, genetic, developmental, hormonal or neurogenic influences.
Depression

While depression is a common comorbidity of asthma, the temporal relationship between the two conditions has not been clear. A systematic review and meta-analysis of six prospective studies with follow-up of 8-20 years found that depression was associated with a 43% increased risk of developing adult-onset asthma, after adjustment for potential confounding factors such as age, sex, smoking and body mass index. On the other hand, the two studies examining the relationship between asthma and risk of subsequent depression found no significant association, but this may have been due to insufficient studies being available.42

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

Allergens

Although indoor and outdoor inhalant allergens are well-known triggers of asthma exacerbations in people with established asthma, their specific role in the initial development of asthma is still not fully resolved. Birth cohort studies have shown that sensitization to house dust mite allergens, cat dander, dog dander,43,44 and Aspergillus mold45 are independent risk factors for asthma-like symptoms in children up to 3 years of age. For children at risk of asthma, dampness, visible mold and mold odor in the home environment are associated with increased risk of developing asthma.46 However, the relationship between allergen exposure and sensitization in children is not straightforward, depending on interactions between the allergen, the dose, the time of exposure, the child’s age, and genetics.

For some allergens, such as those derived from house dust mites and cockroaches, the prevalence of sensitization appears to be directly correlated to exposure.44,47 However, while some data suggest that exposure to house dust mite allergens may be a causal factor in the development of asthma,48 other studies have questioned this interpretation.49,50 Cockroach infestation has been shown to be an important cause of allergic sensitization, particularly in inner-city homes.51

Some epidemiological studies have found that early exposure to cats or dogs may protect a child against allergic sensitization or the development of asthma.52-54 Conversely others suggest that such exposure may increase the risk of allergic sensitization.53,55-57 A study of over 22,000 school-age children from 11 birth cohorts in Europe showed no association between pets in the home early in the child’s life and higher or lower prevalence of asthma.58

Sensitization to ingestant allergens in early life remains a risk factor for subsequent asthma;59 however, there are insufficient data to permit intervention, and no strategies can be recommended to prevent allergic sensitization prenatally. In particular, there is no evidence that antenatal peanut or tree nut exposure increases the risk for subsequent asthma in children.60

Rhinitis in individuals without asthma is a risk factor for development of asthma both in adults and children. In adults, asthma development in individuals with rhinitis is often independent of allergy; in childhood, it is frequently associated with allergy.61,62

Occupational sensitizers

Occupational asthma is asthma caused by exposure to an agent encountered in the work environment.63,64 Asthma is the most common occupational respiratory disorder in industrialized countries, and occupational agents are estimated to cause about 15% of cases of asthma among adults of working age.65 Over 300 substances have been associated with occupational asthma, including highly reactive small molecules such as isocyanates; irritants that may cause an alteration in airway responsiveness; immunogens such as platinum salts; and complex plant and animal biological products that stimulate the production of IgE (e.g. flour, laboratory rodents, wood dust). Occupations associated with a high risk of occupational asthma include farming and agricultural work, laboratory animal facilities, painting (including vehicle spray painting), cleaning work, and plastic manufacturing.64
Most occupational asthma is immunologically mediated and has a latency period of months to years after the onset of exposure. Both IgE-mediated allergic reactions and cell-mediated allergic reactions are involved. Levels above which sensitization frequently occurs have been proposed for many occupational sensitizers; however, the factors that cause some people but not others to develop occupational asthma in response to exposure to the same agent are not well identified. Very high exposures to inhaled irritants may cause ‘irritant-induced asthma’ (including reactive airways dysfunctional syndrome (RADS) even in non-atopic individuals. Atopy and tobacco smoking may increase the risk of occupational sensitization, but screening individuals for atopy is of limited value in preventing occupational asthma. The most important method of preventing occupational asthma is to eliminate or reduce exposure to occupational sensitizers. However, occupational asthma, once present, persists in most patients even after removal from exposure.

Infections

Infection with a number of viruses during infancy has been associated with the inception of the asthmatic phenotype. Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), human rhinovirus (HRV) and parainfluenza virus produce a pattern of symptoms including bronchiolitis that parallel many features of childhood asthma. Several long-term prospective studies of children admitted to hospital with documented RSV infection have shown that approximately 40% will continue to wheeze or have asthma into later childhood. On the other hand, some respiratory infections early in life, including measles and sometimes even RSV, appear to protect against the development of asthma. The data do not allow specific conclusions to be drawn. With the advent of improved molecular techniques for detecting viral pathogens, the important contributions of community-based wheezing illnesses due to HRV during infancy and early childhood with the subsequent development of asthma have now been well recognized. Both allergic sensitization and certain genetic loci appear to interact with HRV wheezing illnesses in early life to increase the risk of developing asthma in childhood. Common bacterial pathogens may also be associated with wheezing illnesses in early life. Parasitic infections do not in general protect against asthma, but infection with hookworm may reduce the risk.

The ‘hygiene hypothesis’ proposes that exposure to infections early in life influences the development of a child’s immune system along a ‘non-allergic’ pathway, and leads to a reduced risk of asthma and other allergic diseases. This mechanism may explain observed associations between family size, birth order, day-care attendance, and the risk of asthma. For example, young children with older siblings and those who attend day care are at increased risk of infections, but enjoy protection later in life against the development of allergic diseases, including asthma. The hygiene hypothesis continues to be investigated.

Recent observations indicate that the microbiome (i.e. the collection of microorganisms and their genetic material), both within the host and in the host’s surrounding environment, may contribute to the development and/or prevention of allergic diseases and asthma. For example, delivery by Caesarean section is a significant risk factor for development of asthma. In rural settings, the prevalence of childhood asthma is reduced and this has been linked to the presence of bacterial endotoxin in these environments. In rural settings, the diversity of microbial exposure in house dust has been correlated inversely with the risk of developing asthma.

The interaction between atopy and viral infections appears to be complex in that the atopic state can influence the lower airway response to viral infections; viral infections can then influence the development of allergic sensitization; and interactions can occur when individuals are exposed simultaneously to both allergens and viruses. However, allergic sensitization in the first 3 years of life is more likely to precede viral-associated wheezing illnesses and may actually be causal in nature.

Socioeconomic inequalities

In all communities, poverty is strongly related to ill health. This has not generally been the pattern for asthma, where the lifetime prevalence of symptoms was usually higher in more affluent societies. However, in recent years, data from many studies have challenged this view. There have been consistent demonstrations of a positive association between lower socioeconomic status and risk of wheezing, both in high- and in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC), indicating a more complex interaction between factors, some protective and others causative. In children living in inner
cities in the USA, the burden of asthma is high and appears to be independent of ethnicity and income. In addition, the relationship between poverty and asthma may change over time. For example, a study from Sweden has shown a reversal of the association between socioeconomic status and asthma prevalence; military conscripts of low socioeconomic status who three decades ago had the lowest, now have the highest prevalence of asthma, with increasing prevalence in successive generations. In a cohort of Brazilian children, symptoms of asthma have been associated with unhygienic living conditions and infections.

Stress

Asthma prevalence is increased in low income, inner-city neighborhoods, where family stress levels are high. Parental stress, both in the first year of life and from birth to early school age, has been associated with increased risk of asthma in school-age children. Lower cortisol levels in response to acute stress are observed in such children, suggesting a mechanistic explanation for increased asthma prevalence. Challenges may be faced by patients with asthma following large-scale disasters.

Tobacco smoke

Exposure to tobacco smoke, either pre-natally or after birth, is associated with harmful effects including a greater risk of developing asthma-like symptoms in early childhood. Distinguishing the independent contributions of pre-natal and post-natal maternal smoking is problematic. However, maternal smoking during pregnancy has an influence on lung development, and infants of smoking mothers are four times more likely to develop wheezing illnesses in the first year of life, although there is little evidence that maternal smoking during pregnancy has an effect on allergic sensitization. Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke (passive smoking) also increases the risk of lower respiratory tract illnesses in infancy and childhood.

In people with established asthma, tobacco smoking is associated with an accelerated decline in lung function, and may render patients less responsive to treatment with inhaled and systemic corticosteroids; and reduces the likelihood of asthma being well controlled.

Outdoor and indoor air pollution

Children raised in a polluted environment have diminished lung function, and exposure to outdoor air pollutants has significant effects on asthma morbidity in children and adults. Similar associations have been observed in relation to indoor pollutants (e.g. smoke and fumes from gas or biomass fuels that are used for heating and cooling, molds, and cockroach infestations), but the role of air pollution in causing asthma remains controversial. A recent meta-analysis found that living or attending schools near high-traffic density roads increased the incidence and prevalence of childhood asthma and wheeze.

Diet

For some time, the mother’s diet during pregnancy has been a focus of concern relating to the development of allergy and asthma in the child. There is no firm evidence that ingestion of any specific foods during pregnancy increases the risk for asthma. However, a recent study of a pre-birth cohort observed that maternal intake of foods commonly considered allergenic (peanut and milk) was associated with a decrease in allergy and asthma in the offspring. Similar data have been shown in a very large Danish National birth cohort, with an association between ingestion of peanuts, tree nuts and/or fish during pregnancy and a decreased risk of asthma in the offspring.

Data suggest that maternal obesity and weight gain during pregnancy pose an increased risk for asthma in children. A recent meta-analysis of 14 studies showed that maternal obesity in pregnancy was associated with higher odds of ever asthma or wheeze or current asthma or wheeze; each 1 kg/m2 increase in maternal BMI was associated with a 2% to 3% increase in the odd of childhood asthma. High gestational weight gain was associated with higher odds of ever asthma or wheeze. However, unguided weight loss in pregnancy should not be encouraged.
The role of post-natal diet, particularly breast-feeding, in relation to the development of asthma has been extensively studied and, in general, the data reveal that infants fed formulas of intact cow's milk or soy protein have a higher incidence of wheezing illnesses in early childhood compared with those fed breast milk.\textsuperscript{115}

Some data also suggest that certain characteristics of Western diets, such as increased use of processed foods and decreased antioxidants (in the form of fruits and vegetables), increased omega-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid (found in margarine and vegetable oil), and decreased omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (found in oily fish) intakes are associated with recent increases in asthma and atopic disease.\textsuperscript{116} A systematic review of randomized controlled trials on maternal dietary intake of fish or long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids during pregnancy showed no consistent effects on the risk of wheeze, asthma or atopy in the child.\textsuperscript{117} One recent study demonstrated decreased wheeze/asthma in preschool children at high risk for asthma when mothers were given a high dose fish oil supplement in the third trimester;\textsuperscript{118} however 'fish oil' is not well defined, and the optimal dosing regimen has not been established.

**Vitamin D**

There has been substantial interest in recent years in the role of vitamin D intake during pregnancy. A systematic review of cohort, case control and cross-sectional studies concluded that maternal intake of vitamin D, and of vitamin E, was associated with lower risk of wheezing illnesses in children.\textsuperscript{119} This was not confirmed in randomized controlled trials of vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy, although a significant effect was not ruled out.\textsuperscript{120,121} Evidence is still inconclusive, and further randomized controlled trials are needed.

**Paracetamol (acetaminophen)**

Several epidemiological studies have shown a relationship between frequency of paracetamol use in children or in pregnancy,\textsuperscript{122,123} and a diagnosis of asthma in children. Interpretation is confounded by the fact that in infancy, paracetamol is often administered for viral respiratory infections, which themselves may either contribute to the development of asthma or be an early manifestation of asthma. In a prospective cohort study, paracetamol use was not associated with diagnosis of asthma after adjusting for respiratory infections, or when paracetamol was used only for non-respiratory indications.\textsuperscript{124} Frequent use of paracetamol by pregnant women has been associated with asthma in their children,\textsuperscript{123} but non-causal associations have not been ruled out.\textsuperscript{125,126}
Chapter 3.  
Mechanisms of asthma

Asthma is an inflammatory disorder of the airways, which involves multiple inflammatory cells and mediators that contribute to characteristic clinical and pathophysiological changes. In ways that are still not well understood, this inflammation is strongly associated with early life exposures, airway hyper-responsiveness and asthma symptoms. However, although heterogeneity is seen in response to asthma treatments, no clear relationship has yet been found between the majority of clinical phenotypes and specific underlying mechanisms or treatment responses. There is a clear need to continue investigation into the root causes of asthma so that targeted diagnostics and therapeutics can be developed.

AIRWAY INFLAMMATION IN ASTHMA

The clinical spectrum of asthma is highly variable and shows different sputum cellular patterns (Box A3-1). However, the presence of chronic airway inflammation is generally a consistent feature in most patients before treatment. Airway inflammation in asthma persists even when symptoms are episodic, and the relationship between the severity of asthma and the intensity of inflammation has not been clearly established. The inflammation affects all airways, including the upper respiratory tract and nose in most patients, but its physiological effects are most pronounced in medium-sized bronchi.

Box A3-1. Inflammatory cells in asthmatic airways

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal mast cells</td>
<td>Release the bronchoconstrictor mediators histamine, cysteinyl leukotrienes and prostaglandin D2 when activated. Mucosal mast cells are activated by allergens through high-affinity immunoglobulin E (IgE) receptors as well as by osmotic stimuli, which accounts for exercise-induced bronchoconstriction, and neural connections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>Usually present in increased numbers in asthmatic airways, eosinophils release basic proteins that may damage airway epithelial cells. They also produce cysteinyl leukotrienes and growth factors. In rare cases of steroid-resistant asthma with eosinophilia, an anti-interleukin 5 antibody can reduce asthma exacerbations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T lymphocytes</td>
<td>Present in increased numbers in asthmatic airways, T lymphocytes release specific cytokines, including interleukins (IL) 4, 5, 9, and 13, which orchestrate eosinophilic inflammation and IgE production by B lymphocytes. An increase in Th2 cell activity may be due, in part, to a reduction in the regulatory T cells that normally inhibit Th2 cells. In severe asthma, there is also an increase in innate type 2 T cells (ILC2), and also Th1 and Th17 cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dendritic cells</td>
<td>These cells sample allergens from the airway surface and migrate to regional lymph nodes where they interact with regulatory T cells to ultimately stimulate production of Th2 cells from naive T cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophages</td>
<td>Present in increased numbers in asthmatic airways, macrophages may be activated by allergens through low-affinity IgE receptors to release inflammatory mediators and cytokines that amplify the inflammatory response, especially in severe asthma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils</td>
<td>These cells are increased in the airways and sputum of patients with severe asthma and in smoking asthmatics. The pathophysiological role of these cells is uncertain and their increase may even be due to corticosteroid therapy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The characteristic pattern of inflammation that is found in other allergic diseases is also seen in allergic asthma,\(^{141}\) with activated mast cells, increased numbers of activated eosinophils, and increased numbers of the T-cell receptor invariants, natural killer T cells and T helper 2 lymphocytes (Th2), which release mediators that contribute to symptoms (Box A3-1).

Innate type 2 lymphocytes (ILC2), regulated by epithelial cell mediators such as interleukin (IL)-25 and IL-33, have also been implicated in airway inflammation in asthma.\(^{142}\) In some cases (especially severe asthma) neutrophils may also contribute to this response.\(^{131}\)

Structural cells of the airways also produce inflammatory mediators, and contribute to the persistence of inflammation in various ways, as outlined in Box A3-2.

### Box A3-2. Structural cells in asthmatic airways

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airway epithelial cells</td>
<td>These cells sense their mechanical environment, express multiple inflammatory proteins, and release cytokines, chemokines, and lipid mediators in response to physical perturbation.(^{121}) Viruses and air pollutants also interact with epithelial cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway smooth muscle cells</td>
<td>These cells show increased proliferation (hyperplasia) and growth (hypertrophy) and express similar inflammatory proteins to epithelial cells.(^{141})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelial cells</td>
<td>Endothelial cells of the bronchial circulation play a role in recruiting inflammatory cells from the circulation into the airway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibroblasts and myofibroblasts</td>
<td>These cells produce connective tissue components, such as collagens and proteoglycans that are involved in airway remodeling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway nerves</td>
<td>Cholinergic nerves may be activated by reflex triggers in the airways and cause bronchoconstriction and mucus secretion. Sensory nerves that may be sensitized by inflammatory stimuli, including neurotrophins, cause reflex changes and symptoms such as cough and chest tightness, and may release inflammatory neuropeptides.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Key cellular mediators of asthma**

Over 100 different mediators are now recognized to be involved in asthma and mediate the complex inflammatory response in the airways (Box A3-3).

**Box A3-3. Key cellular mediators in asthma**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mediators</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemokines</td>
<td>Important in the recruitment of inflammatory cells into the airways; mainly expressed in airway epithelial cells. CCL11 (eotaxin), is relatively selective for eosinophils, whereas CCL17 and CCL22 recruit Th2 cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cysteinyl leukotrienes</td>
<td>Potent bronchoconstrictors and pro-inflammatory mediators mainly derived from mast cells and eosinophils. They are the only mediators that, when inhibited, have been associated with an improvement in lung function and asthma symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokines</td>
<td>Orchestrate the inflammatory response in asthma and determine its severity. Important cytokines include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- IL-1-beta and TNF-α, which amplify the inflammatory response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- GM-CSF, which prolongs eosinophil survival in the airways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Th2-derived cytokines, which include</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- IL-5, that is required for eosinophil differentiation and survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- IL-4, that is important for Th2 cell differentiation and IgE expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- IL-13, that is needed for IgE expression. In patients with asthma selected for a Th2 profile, anti-IL 5, anti-IL13 and anti-IL4 and 13 antibody have been shown to have a minor therapeutic benefit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histamine</td>
<td>Released from mast cells, histamine contributes to bronchoconstriction and to the inflammatory response. Antihistamines however, have little role in asthma treatment because of their limited efficacy, side-effects, and the apparent development of tolerance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitric oxide</td>
<td>A potent vasodilator produced predominantly from the action of inducible nitric oxide synthase in airway epithelial cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostaglandin D2</td>
<td>A bronchoconstrictor derived predominantly from mast cells. It is involved in Th2 cell recruitment into the airways.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCL: chemokine ligand; Th2: T helper 2 lymphocytes; IL: interleukin; TNF: tumor necrosis factor; GM-CSF: granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor.
STRUCTURAL CHANGES IN THE AIRWAYS

In addition to the inflammatory response, characteristic structural changes, often described as ‘airway remodeling’, are seen in the airways of asthma patients (Box A3-4). Some of these changes are related to the severity of the disease and may result in relatively irreversible narrowing of the airways.\textsuperscript{149,150} These changes may represent repair in response to chronic inflammation, or may occur independently of inflammation.\textsuperscript{127,151}

Box A3-4. Structural changes in asthmatic airways

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Changes in asthma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subepithelial fibrosis</td>
<td>A deposition of collagen fibers and proteoglycans under the basement membrane that is seen in most asthmatic patients, even before the onset of symptoms, but there is a large overlap with normals. Fibrosis also occurs in other layers of the airway wall, with deposition of collagen and proteoglycans.\textsuperscript{131}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased airway smooth muscle</td>
<td>A consequence of both hypertrophy (increased size of individual cells) and hyperplasia (increased cell proliferation), which contributes to the increased thickness of the airway wall.\textsuperscript{149} This process may relate to disease severity and is caused by inflammatory mediators, such as growth factors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased blood vessels in airway walls</td>
<td>These amplify the influence of growth factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor, YKL-40 and tissue factor and may contribute to increased airway wall thickness\textsuperscript{152}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucus hypersecretion</td>
<td>Results from increased numbers of goblet cells in the airway epithelium and increased size of sub-mucosal glands.\textsuperscript{153}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

Airway narrowing

Airway narrowing is the final common pathway leading to symptoms and physiological changes in asthma; with airway narrowing itself likely to be an additional stimulus for remodeling.\textsuperscript{151} Several factors contributing to the development of airway narrowing in asthma are listed here.

- \textit{Airway smooth muscle contraction}: this occurs in response to multiple bronchoconstrictor mediators and neurotransmitters and is the predominant mechanism of airway narrowing. It is largely reversed by bronchodilators.
- \textit{Airway edema}: this is due to increased microvascular leakage in response to inflammatory mediators. Airway edema may be particularly important during acute exacerbations.
- \textit{Airway thickening}: this results from structural changes, often termed ‘remodeling’. Airway thickening is not fully reversible using current therapies and may be important in more severe disease.
- \textit{Mucus hypersecretion}: a product of increased mucus secretion and inflammatory exudates, mucus hypersecretion may lead to luminal occlusion (‘mucus plugging’).

Airway hyperresponsiveness

Airway hyperresponsiveness, a characteristic functional abnormality of asthma, results in airway narrowing in a patient with asthma in response to a stimulus that would be innocuous in a healthy person. This airway narrowing leads to variable airflow limitation and intermittent symptoms. Airway hyperresponsiveness is linked to both inflammation and to
the repair of the airways, and is partially reversible with therapy. The mechanisms of airway hyperresponsiveness are incompletely understood but include the following.

- **Excessive contraction of airway smooth muscle**: this may result from increased volume and/or contractility of airway smooth muscle cells.¹⁵⁴
- **Uncoupling of airway contraction**: a result of inflammatory changes in the airway wall that may lead to excessive narrowing of the airways, and a loss of the maximum plateau of contraction that is found in normal airways when bronchoconstrictor substances are inhaled.
- **Thickening of the airway wall**: edema and structural changes amplifies airway narrowing due to contraction of airway smooth muscle for geometric reasons.¹⁴⁹
- **Sensory nerves**: these may be sensitized by inflammation, leading to exaggerated bronchoconstriction in response to sensory stimuli.¹⁵⁴

### SPECIAL MECHANISMS IN SPECIFIC CONTEXTS

#### Exacerbations

Transient worsening of asthma may occur as a result of exposure to risk factors for asthma symptoms, or ‘triggers’ (e.g. exercise, cold air, air pollutants, and even certain weather conditions such as thunderstorms in association with pollen¹⁵⁵). More severe worsening of asthma usually occurs with viral infections of the upper respiratory tract (particularly rhinovirus and respiratory syncytial virus)¹⁵⁶ and/or allergen exposure.⁸⁶,⁸⁷ Infections and allergen exposure increase inflammation in the lower airways (acute or chronic inflammation) that may persist for several days or weeks.

#### Nocturnal asthma

The mechanisms accounting for the worsening of asthma at night are not completely understood, but may be driven by circadian rhythms of circulating hormones such as epinephrine, cortisol and melatonin, and neural mechanisms such as cholinergic tone. The reported nocturnal increase in airway inflammation may reflect a reduction in endogenous anti-inflammatory mechanisms.¹⁵⁷

#### Irreversible (fixed) airflow limitation

Some patients with severe or long-standing asthma develop progressive airflow limitation that is not fully reversible with currently available therapy. This may reflect changes in airway structure (Box A3-4).¹⁵⁸ These patients may be described as having asthma-COPD overlap (ACO). Mechanisms are likely to be heterogeneous. For example, long-term studies suggest that about half of patients with persistent airflow limitation in adult life reached this position by rapid decline from normal lung function in early adulthood, whereas the other half had a normal rate of decline from low initial lung function in early adulthood.¹⁵⁹ More information about asthma-COPD overlap is provided in the *Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention 2018*, Chapter 5.¹⁶⁰

#### Difficult-to-treat asthma

The reasons why some patients develop asthma that is difficult to manage and relatively insensitive to the effects of corticosteroids are not well understood.¹⁶¹ Common associations are poor adherence with treatment and psychological and psychiatric disorders. However, genetic factors may contribute in some cases as many of these patients have difficult-to-treat asthma from the onset of the disease, rather than progressing from milder asthma. In these patients, there may be inflammation of peripheral airways that leads to airway closure, air trapping and hyperinflation. Although the pathology appears broadly similar to other forms of asthma, there are more neutrophils, more involvement of small airways, and more structural changes than in other patients.¹⁶²
Smoking and asthma

Asthma patients who smoke tobacco have asthma that is more difficult to control, have more frequent exacerbations and hospital admissions, and experience a more rapid decline in lung function and an increased risk of death than asthma patients who are non-smokers. Asthma patients who smoke may have a neutrophil-predominant inflammation in their airways and are poorly responsive to corticosteroids.

Obesity and asthma

Multiple factors may contribute to the increased incidence and prevalence of asthma in obesity, including:
- Mechanical changes
- The development of a pro-inflammatory state, with increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, increased oxidative stress, increased leptin and reduced adiponectin levels
- An increased prevalence of comorbidities such as gastroesophageal reflux disease, obstructive sleep apnea and metabolic syndrome
- Shared etiological factors such as common genetic and in utero influences
- Dietary and environmental factors.

The use of systemic corticosteroids and a sedentary lifestyle may promote obesity in patients with severe asthma, but in most instances, obesity precedes the development of asthma.

Exercise-induced asthma

The increased ventilation of exercise results in increased osmolality in airway lining fluid. This triggers surface mast cells to release mediators such as leukotriene D$_4$, resulting in bronchoconstriction. In elite athletes, the long-term effects of environmental exposures during training may also contribute to the development of airway hyperresponsiveness and asthma, due to airway epithelium injury, airway inflammatory and structural changes (remodeling). These features have been observed in elite athletes, even without asthma or airway hyperresponsiveness.

Aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease

This distinct asthma phenotype is associated with intolerance to cyclooxygenase-1 inhibition and increased release of cysteiny1-leukotrienes due to increased expression of leukotriene C4 synthase in mast cells and eosinophils. More detail is provided in the Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention 2018, Chapter 3, ‘Managing asthma in special populations or settings’.
Chapter 4.
Tests for diagnosis and monitoring of asthma

MEASURING LUNG FUNCTION

The diagnosis of asthma is based on the history of characteristic respiratory symptoms and the demonstration of variable expiratory airflow limitation (see Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention 2018, Box 1-2). A number of methods are available to assess airflow limitation, but two methods have gained widespread acceptance for use in patients over 5 years of age. These are spirometry, particularly the measurement of forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC) and their ratio (FEV₁/FVC), and the measurement of peak expiratory flow (PEF).

Although measurements of lung function do not correlate strongly with symptoms or other measures of asthma control in either adults or children, these measures provide complementary information about different aspects of asthma control. Patients with asthma frequently have poor perception of the severity of their airflow limitation, especially if their asthma is long standing.

Spirometry

Spirometry is the recommended method of measuring airflow limitation and reversibility to establish a diagnosis of asthma. Measurements of FEV₁ and FVC are made during a forced expiratory maneuver using a spirometer. Recommendations for the standardization of spirometry have been published. The degree of reversibility in FEV₁, that exceeds the variation in a healthy population and is consistent with a diagnosis of asthma, is generally accepted as 12% and 200 mL from the pre-bronchodilator value. However, most asthma patients, particularly those already on controller treatment, will not exhibit reversibility at each assessment, and the test therefore lacks sensitivity. Repeated testing at different visits, or after withholding of bronchodilator medications, is advised if confirmation of the diagnosis of asthma is needed. Spirometry is effort-dependent, so proper instructions on how to perform the forced expiratory maneuver must be given to patients in order to obtain reproducible results. The highest value of three recordings is taken.

Because many lung diseases may result in reduced FEV₁, a useful assessment of airflow limitation is the ratio of FEV₁ to FVC. The FEV₁/FVC ratio is normally greater than 0.75–0.80, and greater than 0.90 in children. Any values less than these suggest airflow limitation. The terms ‘airflow limitation’ and ‘airway obstruction’ are often used interchangeably when lung function test results are being described.

Predicted values of FEV₁, FVC, and PEF based on age, sex and height have been obtained from population studies. These are being continually revised, and with the exception of PEF, for which the range of predicted values is too wide, they are useful for judging whether a given value is likely to be ‘abnormal’ or not. Multi-ethnic reference values have recently been published for ages 3–95 years. The normal range of values is wider in young people (younger than 20 years) and in the elderly (older than 70 years). In children, FEV₁ may be insensitive for mild obstructive disorders.

Peak expiratory flow

PEF measurements are made using a peak flow meter and can be an important aid in both the diagnosis and monitoring of asthma. Modern PEF meters are relatively inexpensive, portable, and ideal for patients to use in home settings for day-to-day measurements of airflow limitation. However, measurements of PEF are not interchangeable with other measurements of lung function such as FEV₁ in either adults or children, and FEV₁ and PEF expressed as a percentage of predicted are not equivalent. PEF can underestimate the degree of airflow limitation, particularly as airflow limitation and gas trapping worsen. Because values for PEF obtained with different peak flow meters vary and the range of predicted values is wide, PEF measurements should be compared to the patient’s own previous best (‘personal best’).
measurement¹⁷³ using the same peak flow meter. The personal best measurement is usually obtained while patients are asymptomatic or on full treatment, and it serves as a reference value for monitoring the effects of changes in treatment.

Careful instruction is required to reliably measure PEF because PEF measurements are effort-dependent. Most commonly, PEF is measured first thing in the morning before treatment when values are often close to their lowest, and then in the afternoon or evening when values are usually higher. On each occasion, the highest of three PEF measurements should be recorded. Various calculations of PEF variability have been used, including the following:¹⁷⁴

- For diurnal variability the upper limit of normal with twice-daily PEF measurement is 8% in adults, 9.3% in adolescents, and 12.3% in healthy children. Diurnal variability is calculated as follows: for each day, calculate the diurnal variability as \[ \text{day's highest PEF minus day's lowest PEF} \], divided by the mean of these two values; then average these daily variability results over 1 week.¹⁷⁵
- The minimum morning pre-bronchodilator PEF over 1 week, expressed as a percent of the patient’s recent best (Min%Max) is a simple index for clinical practice (Box A4-1).¹⁷⁶

Which patients should carry out PEF monitoring?

Short-term PEF monitoring

Monitoring of PEF twice daily for 2–4 weeks may be useful in the following contexts:

- To confirm the diagnosis of asthma. Although spirometry is the preferred method of documenting variable expiratory airflow limitation, the following PEF measurements suggest a diagnosis of asthma:
  - Improvement in PEF after inhalation of a bronchodilator by 60 L/min or ≥20% from the pre-bronchodilator value,¹⁷⁷ or
  - Diurnal variation in PEF of >10% from twice daily readings¹⁷⁸ (>20% if calculated from more frequent daily readings).¹⁷⁹
- To assess response to treatment
- To establish a baseline for management of exacerbations. After starting ICS treatment, personal best PEF (from twice daily readings) is reached on average within 2 weeks.⁹⁰ Average PEF continues to increase, and diurnal PEF variability to decrease, for about 3 months.⁷⁶⁹⁰

Long-term PEF monitoring

Ongoing monitoring of PEF is valuable in a sub-set of patients:

- To assist in managing the patient's asthma. This is useful for patients who have limited ability to perceive airflow limitation,¹⁶⁸ or for some patients with severe asthma or frequent or sudden exacerbations. For PEF-based written asthma action plans, those based on personal best PEF improve asthma outcomes, whereas those based on predicted PEF do not.¹⁸⁰
- To identify environmental (including occupational) causes of asthma symptoms: this involves the patient monitoring PEF several times each day over periods of suspected exposure to risk factors in the home or workplace, or during exercise or other activities that may cause symptoms, and also during periods in which they have no exposure to the suspected agent.

Displaying PEF results on a standardized laterally compressed chart (showing 2 months on a landscape format page) may improve the accuracy of identification of exacerbations.¹⁵⁸ A suitable chart is available to download from www.woolcock.org.au/moreinfo/.
Box A4-1. Measuring PEF variability

The figure shows PEF data of a 27-year old man with long-standing poorly controlled asthma, before and after the start of inhaled corticosteroid treatment. With inhaled corticosteroid treatment, PEF levels increased, and PEF variability decreased, as seen by the increase in Min%Max (lowest morning PEF over 1 week/highest PEF over the same week, %).

Measurement of airway responsiveness

For patients who have symptoms consistent with asthma but normal lung function, measuring airway responsiveness to direct airway challenges (e.g. inhaled methacholine or histamine) or indirect airway challenges (e.g. inhaled mannitol or an exercise challenge) may help establish a diagnosis of asthma. The test results are usually expressed as the provocative concentration (or dose) of the agonist causing a given fall (often 15% or 20%) in FEV₁ (Box A4-2). Recent guidelines on exercise-induced bronchoconstriction recommend 10% fall in FEV1 as the criterion for a positive exercise challenge; the authors also noted that a criterion of 15% would provide greater specificity.

These tests are sensitive for a diagnosis of asthma but have limited specificity; airway hyperresponsiveness has been described in patients with allergic rhinitis, and in those with airflow limitation caused by conditions other than asthma, such as cystic fibrosis, bronchiectasis, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Consequently, a negative test can be useful to exclude a diagnosis of asthma in a patient who is not taking ICS treatment, but a positive test does not always mean that a patient has asthma.
Box A4-2. Measuring airway responsiveness

This graph shows airway responsiveness to inhaled methacholine or histamine in a healthy subject, and in a person with asthma who has mild, moderate or severe airway hyperresponsiveness. People with asthma have both an increased sensitivity to the agonist (as indicated by FEV₁ falling at a lower concentration of agonist), and an increased maximal bronchoconstrictor response to the agonist (as indicated by a greater fall in FEV₁ at a given concentration), compared with those without asthma. Asthma is also characterized by the loss of the plateau in the response-dose curve that is seen in normal healthy subjects. With direct challenges, the response to the agonist is usually expressed as the provocative concentration or dose of agonist causing a 20% decrease in FEV₁ (PC₂₀ and PD₂₀ respectively).

NON-INVASIVE MARKERS OF AIRWAY INFLAMMATION

Sputum analysis

Airway inflammation may be evaluated by examining spontaneously produced or hypertonic saline-induced sputum for eosinophilic or neutrophilic inflammation. Sputum analysis does not assist in the diagnosis of asthma, as sputum eosinophilia may also be found in eosinophilic bronchitis, COPD and hypereosinophilic syndromes, and asthma may also have a neutrophilic or mixed inflammatory pattern. In the ‘future risk’ domain of asthma control, sputum eosinophilia is associated with an increased risk of exacerbations during corticosteroid reduction or cessation. In clinical trials in patients most of whom had moderate to severe asthma, exacerbations were reduced when treatment was guided by sputum eosinophil percentage. However, this test is generally only available in specialized centers, and careful standardization and training of staff for both sputum induction and cell counting are required for reliable results.

Fractional concentration of exhaled nitric oxide

Diagnosis of asthma

Measurement of fractional concentration of exhaled nitric oxide is becoming more widely available in some countries. There is a modest association between blood and sputum eosinophils and FENO in asthma patients and the relationship may vary over time. FENO is elevated in non-smokers with eosinophilic asthma who are not taking ICS, and in many patients with asthma who are taking ICS. Elevated FENO may also be found in conditions such as
allergic rhinitis, eosinophilic bronchitis and hypersensitivity pneumonitis, making it important to consider the context and differential diagnosis when an elevated FENO is found. Unlike sputum eosinophilia, elevated FENO is generally not predictive of asthma exacerbations during ICS reduction or cessation.

**FeNO-guided ICS dose-titration studies**

In children and young adults with asthma, FENO-guided treatment was associated with a significant reduction in the number of patients with ≥1 exacerbation (OR 0.67 [95% CI 0.51-0.90]) and in exacerbation rate (mean difference -0.27 [-0.49- -0.06] per year) compared with guidelines-based treatment (Evidence A); similar differences were seen in comparisons with non-guidelines-based algorithms. However, in non-smoking adults with asthma, no significant reduction was seen in the risk of exacerbations, or in exacerbation rates, with FENO-guided treatment compared with guidelines-based treatment. In several studies of FENO-guided treatment, problems with the design of the intervention and/or control algorithms make comparisons and conclusions difficult. Results of FENO measurement at a single point in time should be interpreted with caution.

At present, neither sputum- nor FENO-guided treatment is recommended for the general asthma population. Sputum-guided treatment is recommended for adult patients with moderate or severe asthma who are managed in centers experienced in this technique (Box 3-14, p. Error! Bookmark not defined.) (Evidence A). FeNO-guided treatment reduces exacerbation rates compared with guidelines-based treatment, at least in children (Evidence A). However, further studies are needed to identify the populations most likely to benefit from sputum-guided or FENO-guided treatment, and the optimal frequency of FENO monitoring.

**Measurements of allergic status**

The presence of atopy or allergic disease such as eczema or allergic rhinitis increases the probability of a diagnosis of allergic asthma in patients with respiratory symptoms. The identification of specific allergic reactions by skin prick testing or measurement of a specific immunoglobulin E (IgE) in serum can help identify the factors responsible for triggering asthma symptoms in individual patients.

Allergy skin prick tests are the primary diagnostic tool for determining a patient’s atopic status. They are simple and rapid to perform, and have a low cost and high sensitivity. Optimal results are dependent on the use of standardized allergen extracts and on the skill of the tester. Measurement of allergen-specific IgE in serum is more expensive and generally less sensitive than skin prick testing for identifying sensitization to inhaled allergens. Measurement of total IgE in serum has no value as a diagnostic test for atopy, and a normal total IgE does not exclude clinical allergy.

Provocation of the airways with a suspected allergen or sensitizing agent may be helpful in the setting of occupational asthma but is not routinely recommended; it is rarely useful in establishing a diagnosis, requires considerable expertise, and can result in life-threatening bronchospasm.

In patients with respiratory symptoms, the main limitation of allergy testing is that a positive test does not necessarily mean that the disease is allergic in nature or that allergy is causing the patient’s asthma. The relevant exposure and its relationship to the patient’s symptoms must be confirmed by the patient’s history.
Chapter 5.
Asthma pharmacotherapy

PART A. ASTHMA PHARMACOTHERAPY - ADULTS AND ADOLESCENTS

ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION

Inhaled administration delivers drugs directly into the airways, producing higher local concentrations with significantly less risk of systemic side effects. Inhaled medications for asthma are available as pressurized metered-dose inhalers (pMDIs), breath-actuated pMDIs, dry powder inhalers (DPIs), soft mist inhalers, and nebulized or "wet" aerosols. Inhaler devices differ in their efficiency of drug delivery to the lower respiratory tract, depending on the form of the device, formulation of medication, particle size, velocity of the aerosol cloud or plume (where applicable), and ease with which the device can be used by the majority of patients. Individual patient preference, convenience, and ease of use may influence not only the efficiency of drug delivery but also patient adherence to treatment. Problems with incorrect inhaler technique are common in community-based studies, regardless of the device, and are associated with worse asthma control. Pressurized MDIs (pMDIs) require training and skill to coordinate activation of the inhaler and inhalation. In the past, medications in pMDIs were dispensed as suspensions in chlorofluorocarbon propellants (CFCs), but most are now dispensed with hydrofluoroalkane (HFAs) propellant, either as suspensions or as solutions in ethanol. The aerosol plume of HFA inhalers is generally softer and warmer than that of CFC products. For some corticosteroids, the particle size for HFA aerosols is smaller than for the corresponding CFC product, resulting in less oral deposition (with associated reduction in oral side effects), and greater lung deposition.

Pressurized MDIs may be used by patients with asthma of any severity, including during exacerbations. However, patients require training and skill to coordinate activation of the inhaler and inhalation, and this is often easier with use of a valved spacer. Breath-actuated aerosols may be helpful for patients who have difficulty using conventional pMDIs. Dry powder inhalers are generally easier to use than pMDIs, but sufficient inspiratory flow (which varies between different DPI devices) is required to disaggregate the powder, and this may prove difficult for some patients to generate. DPIs differ with respect to the fraction of ex-actuator dose delivered to the lung. For some drugs, the dose may need to be adjusted when switching between different types of devices. Nebulized aerosols are rarely indicated for the treatment of chronic asthma in adults.

Some inhaler devices and techniques for their use are illustrated on the GINA website (www.ginasthma.org) and the ADMIT website (www.admit-online.info).

CONTROLLER MEDICATIONS

Inhaled corticosteroids

Role in therapy

ICS are the most effective anti-inflammatory medications for the treatment of persistent asthma. Studies have demonstrated their efficacy in reducing asthma symptoms, improving quality of life, improving lung function, reducing the frequency and severity of exacerbations and reducing asthma mortality, as well as decreasing airway hyperresponsiveness and controlling airway inflammation. However, they do not cure asthma, and when they are discontinued approximately 25% of patients experience an exacerbation within 6 months. Patients not receiving ICS appear to be at increased risk of airway remodeling and loss of lung function.

ICS differ in their potency and bioavailability, but because of relatively flat dose-response relationships in asthma relatively few studies have been able to confirm the clinical relevance of these differences.
Box A5-1 lists ‘low’, ‘medium’ and ‘high’ doses of different ICS. It is not a table of equivalence, but of estimated clinical comparability. The classification is based on published information and available studies, including direct comparisons where available. Most of the clinical benefit from ICS is seen at low doses, and clear evidence of dose-response relationships is seldom available within the dose ranges evaluated for regulatory purposes. ‘High’ doses are arbitrary, but for most ICS are those that, with prolonged use, are associated with increased risk of systemic side-effects. The efficacy of some products varies when administered via different inhaler devices.217 Doses may be country-specific depending on labelling requirements.

### Box A5-1. Low, medium and high daily doses of inhaled corticosteroids for adults and adolescents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beclometasone dipropionate (CFC)*</td>
<td>200–500</td>
<td>&gt;500–1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beclometasone dipropionate (HFA)</td>
<td>100–200</td>
<td>&gt;200–400</td>
<td>&gt;400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budesonide (DPI)</td>
<td>200–400*</td>
<td>&gt;400–800</td>
<td>&gt;800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciclesonide (HFA)</td>
<td>80–160</td>
<td>&gt;160–320</td>
<td>&gt;320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluticasone furoate (DPI)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluticasone propionate (DPI)</td>
<td>100–250</td>
<td>&gt;250–500</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluticasone propionate (HFA)</td>
<td>100–250</td>
<td>&gt;250–500</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mometasone furoate</td>
<td>110–220</td>
<td>&gt;220–440</td>
<td>&gt;440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triamcinolone acetonide</td>
<td>400–1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000–2000</td>
<td>&gt;2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CFC: chlorofluorocarbon propellant; DPI: dry powder inhaler; HFA: hydrofluoroalkane propellant; n.a. not applicable

*Beclometasone dipropionate CFC is included for comparison with older literature.

For new preparations, manufacturer’s information should be reviewed carefully; products containing the same molecule may not be clinically equivalent. For more detailed discussion see Raissy et al.215

Most of the benefit from ICS is achieved in adults at relatively low doses, equivalent to 400 mcg of budesonide per day.218 Post-hoc analysis of a large 3-year randomized controlled trial in patients with mild recent-onset asthma219 showed that the risk of serious exacerbations was halved with low dose ICS even in patients with infrequent symptoms at entry (0–1 days/week).220 Increasing to higher doses provides little further benefit in terms of asthma control but increases the risk of side effects.218,221 However, there is marked individual variability of responsiveness to ICS, at least partly due to heterogeneity of airway inflammation. Because of this and the recognized poor adherence to treatment with ICS, some patients will require higher ICS doses to achieve full therapeutic benefit.19 Tobacco smoking reduces the responsiveness to ICS, so higher doses may be required in patients who smoke.204

To achieve good asthma control, add-on therapy with another class of controller such as a long-acting beta2-agonist (LABA) is preferred over increasing the dose of ICS.19,209 There is, however, a relationship between the dose of ICS and the prevention of severe exacerbations of asthma.205 although there may be differences in response according to clinical or inflammatory phenotype222. Therefore, some patients with severe asthma may benefit from long-term treatment with higher doses of ICS.

### Adverse effects

Local adverse effects from ICS include oropharyngeal candidiasis, dysphonia, and (occasionally) coughing from upper airway irritation.223 For pressurized MDIs the prevalence of these effects may be reduced by using a spacer device.224 Mouth washing (rinsing with water, gargling, and spitting out) after inhalation may reduce oral candidiasis.224 The use of pro-drugs that are activated in the lungs but not in the pharynx (e.g. ciclesonide and HFA beclometasone) and new formulations and devices that reduce oropharyngeal deposition may reduce such effects.
ICS are absorbed from the lung, accounting for some degree of systemic bioavailability. The risk of systemic adverse effects from an ICS depends upon its dose and potency, the delivery system, systemic bioavailability, first-pass metabolism (conversion to inactive metabolites) in the liver, and the half-life of the fraction of systemically absorbed drug (from the lung and possibly gut). Therefore, the systemic effects differ among the various ICS. Evidence suggests that in adults, systemic effects of ICS are not a problem at doses of 400 mcg or less of budesonide or equivalent daily. Use of a questionnaire for patient-reported symptoms provides evidence of many more, predominantly mild, dose-dependent effects of ICS use, underlining the need to step down treatment to the lowest dose that maintains good symptom control and prevents exacerbations.

The systemic side effects of long-term treatment with high doses of inhaled corticosteroids include easy bruising, adrenal suppression and decreased bone mineral density. A meta-analysis indicated that, among patients with asthma, adrenal insufficiency was less common after use of inhaled (6.8% of patients) than oral and other forms of corticosteroids (43.7%). For ICS, the proportion of patients with adrenal insufficiency was higher with increasing dose (1.5% for low, 5.4% for medium, 18.5% for high dose) and with increasing duration (1.3% for short, 9.0% for medium and 20.3% for long duration treatment). The threshold to test corticosteroid users for adrenal insufficiency should be low in clinical practice, especially for patients with nonspecific symptoms after cessation of corticosteroid treatment. A meta-analysis of case-control studies of non-vertebral fractures in adults using ICS indicated that in older adults, the relative risk of non-vertebral fractures increased by about 12% for each 1000 mcg/day (BDP equivalent) increase in the dose, but that the magnitude of this risk was considerably less than other common risk factors for fracture in the older adult. ICS have also been associated with cataracts in cross-sectional studies, but there is no evidence of posterior-subcapsular cataracts in prospective studies. Even at high doses, ICS do not appear to increase the risk of glaucoma. One difficulty in establishing the clinical significance of such adverse effects lies in dissociating the effect of high dose ICS from the effect of courses of oral corticosteroids taken by patients with severe asthma.

Exposure to high doses of ICS may increase the risk of tuberculosis, particularly in regions with high prevalence of tuberculosis. However ICS are not contraindicated in patients with active tuberculosis. A recent case control study found that asthmatics using ICS have an increased risk of pneumonia or lower respiratory infection when compared with asthmatics who did not have a prescription for an ICS in the last three months. The risk is greater at higher ICS doses, and may vary between different ICS. In a meta-analysis of clinical trials, the risk of adverse or serious adverse events reported as pneumonia for people with asthma receiving budesonide was not increased compared with placebo.

A recent meta-analysis found no significant difference in the risk of pneumonia between children receiving ICS and placebo.

ICS/LABA combinations

Role in therapy

When a medium dose of ICS alone fails to achieve good control of asthma, addition of LABA is the preferred option, preferably as a combination ICS/LABA inhaler. The addition of LABA to ICS improves clinical asthma outcomes and reduces the number of exacerbations in patients ≥12 years old. In recent very large studies in adults and adolescents, severe exacerbations were reduced by 17-21% with maintenance ICS/LABA combination vs the same dose of ICS, but differences in SABA use were small.

Controlled studies have shown that delivering ICS and LABA in a combination inhaler is as effective as giving each drug separately. Fixed combination inhalers are more convenient for patients, may increase adherence compared with using separate inhalers, and ensure that the LABA is always accompanied by ICS.

In addition, low dose combination inhalers containing the rapid-acting beta2-agonist formoterol with either budesonide or beclomethasone may be used for both maintenance and reliever treatment, to further reduce the risk of exacerbations in at-risk patients. When budesonide/formoterol was used as the reliever medication by patients receiving
maintenance budesonide/formoterol, both components contributed to enhanced protection from severe exacerbations compared with SABA as reliever.\textsuperscript{261} This strategy provides reduction in exacerbations and similar improvements in asthma control at relatively low doses of ICS compared with conventional maintenance therapy with ICS or ICS/LABA.\textsuperscript{259}

Currently approved combination ICS/LABA inhalers for maintenance treatment in asthma at Steps 3–5 include:

- Beclometasone/formoterol
- Budesonide/formoterol
- Fluticasone furoate/vilanterol trifenoate (once daily)
- Fluticasone propionate/formoterol.
- Fluticasone propionate/salmeterol
- Mometasone/formoterol.

Currently approved low dose combination ICS/LABA inhalers for maintenance and reliever treatment in asthma include:

- Beclometasone/formoterol
- Budesonide/formoterol.

Earlier studies showed that LABAs may provide longer protection for exercise-induced bronchoconstriction than SABAs, but the duration of effect wanes with long-term use in adults\textsuperscript{262} and adolescents.\textsuperscript{263} Salmeterol and formoterol provide a similar duration of bronchodilation and protection against bronchoconstrictor agents, but there are pharmacological differences between them: formoterol has a more rapid onset of action than salmeterol\textsuperscript{264,265} which may make formoterol suitable for symptom relief as well as symptom prevention.\textsuperscript{266} However, LABAs including formoterol and salmeterol, are indicated in asthma only when given in addition to ICS, preferably in a combination inhaler.

**Adverse effects**

Adverse effects of ICS are described on p.30. Therapy with LABAs may be associated with headache or cramps, but systemic adverse effects such as cardiovascular stimulation, skeletal muscle tremor, and hypokalemia, are less common than with oral beta-agonist therapy. The regular use of beta\textsubscript{2}-agonists in both short- and long-acting forms may lead to relative refractoriness to beta\textsubscript{2}-agonists.\textsuperscript{267} Based on data indicating a possible increased risk of asthma-related death associated with the use of salmeterol in a small number of individuals,\textsuperscript{268} and increased risk of exacerbations when LABA is used regularly as monotherapy,\textsuperscript{269} LABAs should never be used as a substitute for inhaled or oral corticosteroids, and should only be used in combination with an appropriate dose of ICS as determined by a physician.\textsuperscript{270,271} In the past there had been concerns that using LABA alone or in combination with ICS might increase asthma mortality.\textsuperscript{272,273} However, large randomized controlled trials with ICS/LABA combination maintenance treatment showed no inferiority to ICS alone for serious adverse events (death, intubation and hospitalization due to asthma).\textsuperscript{255,256} Based on these studies\textsuperscript{255,256}, systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials\textsuperscript{270,271} and observational studies,\textsuperscript{274} LABA is considered safe when used in combination with ICS.\textsuperscript{275}

No influence of beta\textsubscript{2}-adrenergic receptor phenotypes upon the efficacy or safety of ICS/LABA therapy has been observed in adults whether by the single inhaler for maintenance and reliever regimen or at a regular fixed dose.\textsuperscript{276,277}

**Leukotriene modifiers**

**Role in therapy**

Leukotriene modifiers include cysteinyl-leukotriene 1 (CysLT1) receptor antagonists (LTRA) (montelukast, pranlukast, and zafirlukast) and a 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor (zileuton). Clinical studies have demonstrated that leukotriene modifiers have a small and variable bronchodilator effect, reduce symptoms including cough, improve lung function, and reduce airway inflammation and asthma exacerbations.\textsuperscript{279} They may be used as an alternative treatment for adult patients with mild persistent asthma,\textsuperscript{280-282} and some patients with aspirin-sensitive asthma respond well to leukotriene modifiers.\textsuperscript{283} However, when used alone as controller therapy, the effect of leukotriene modifiers are generally less than that of low
doses of ICS, and, in patients already on ICS, leukotriene modifiers cannot substitute for this treatment without risking the loss of asthma control.284

Leukotriene modifiers used as add-on therapy may reduce the dose of ICS required by patients with moderate to severe asthma.285 For patients with persistent asthma and with suboptimal asthma control with daily use of ICS, the addition of anti-leukotrienes is beneficial for reducing moderate and severe asthma exacerbations and for improving lung function and asthma control compared with the same dose of ICS.286 However, leukotriene modifiers are less effective than LABA as add-on therapy.287

**Adverse effects**

Leukotriene modifiers are well tolerated, and few if any class-related effects have so far been recognized. Zileuton has been associated with liver toxicity288 and monitoring of liver tests is recommended during treatment with this medication. Prescribing information for the use of zileuton should be consulted. No association has been found between Churg-Strauss syndrome and the use of leukotriene modifiers after controlling for asthma drug use, although it is not possible to rule out an association given that Churg-Strauss syndrome is very rare and highly correlated with asthma severity.289 Post-marketing surveillance reports led to concerns about a possible association between leukotriene receptor antagonist use and suicide risk in young adults, but a recent case-control study has found no association after adjustment for potential confounding factors.290

**Chromones: sodium cromoglycate and nedocromil sodium**

**Role in therapy**

The role of sodium cromoglycate and nedocromil sodium in long-term treatment of asthma in adults is limited. Their anti-inflammatory effect is weak and they are less effective than low-dose ICS.291 Meticulous daily cleaning of the inhalers is required to avoid blockage.

**Adverse effects**

Side effects are uncommon and include cough upon inhalation and pharyngeal discomfort. Some patients find the taste of nedocromil sodium unpleasant.

**Systemic corticosteroids**

**Role in therapy**

Long-term treatment with oral corticosteroids (OCS) (that is, for periods longer than two weeks) may be required for severely uncontrolled asthma, but its use is limited by the risk of significant adverse effects. The therapeutic index (effect/side effect) of long-term ICS is always more favorable than long-term systemic corticosteroids in asthma.292,293 If OCS are to be administered on a long-term basis, attention must be paid to measures that minimize the systemic side effects. Oral preparations are preferred over parenteral (intramuscular or intravenous) for long-term therapy because of their lower mineralocorticoid effect, relatively short half-life, and lesser effects on striated muscle, as well as the greater flexibility of dosing that permits titration to the lowest acceptable dose that maintains control.

Short-term use of systemic corticosteroids is important in the treatment of severe acute exacerbations because they prevent progression of the exacerbation, reduce the need for referral to emergency departments and hospitalization, prevent early relapse after emergency treatment, and reduce morbidity. The main clinical effects of systemic corticosteroids in acute asthma are only evident after 4 to 6 hours. Oral therapy is preferred and is as effective as intravenous hydrocortisone.294 A typical short course of OCS for an exacerbation is 40-50 mg prednisolone given daily for 5 to 10 days294 depending on the severity of the exacerbation. When symptoms have subsided and lung function has improved, the OCS can be stopped abruptly295 (or tapered, if taken for >2 weeks), provided that treatment with ICS continues. Intramuscular injection of corticosteroids has no advantage over a short course of OCS in preventing
relapse. In a randomized controlled trial, a single dose of dexamethasone was inferior to prednisone for 5 days in adult asthma patients presenting at ED with a moderate asthma exacerbation.

**Adverse effects**

The systemic side effects of long-term oral or parenteral corticosteroid treatment include osteoporosis, arterial hypertension, diabetes, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis suppression, obesity, cataracts, glaucoma, skin thinning leading to cutaneous striae and easy bruising, and muscle weakness. Patients with asthma who are on long-term systemic corticosteroids in any form should receive an assessment for osteoporosis risk and based on this assessment receive preventive treatment for osteoporosis, as recommended in 2010 guidelines from the American College of Rheumatology. Factors increasing the risk of corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis include low body mass index (BMI), current smoking, parental history of hip fracture, >3 standard alcoholic drinks/day, and higher daily or cumulative corticosteroid treatment. Withdrawal of oral corticosteroids can also (rarely) elicit adrenal failure or unmask underlying disease, such as Churg-Strauss Syndrome. Caution and close medical supervision are recommended when considering the use of systemic corticosteroids.

Adverse effects of short-term high dose systemic therapy (corticosteroid ‘bursts’) are uncommon but include reversible abnormalities in glucose metabolism, increased appetite, fluid retention, weight gain, rounding of the face (‘moon facies’), mood alteration, insomnia, hypertension, peptic ulcer, and aseptic necrosis of the femoral head.

**Anti-IgE**

**Role in therapy**

Anti-immunoglobulin E (anti-IgE, omalizumab) is a treatment option for patients aged ≥6 years with severe persistent allergic asthma and elevated serum IgE whose asthma is uncontrolled on treatment with corticosteroids (moderate/high dose inhaled and/or oral) and LABA, or who require high doses of such treatment to maintain good asthma control. The required level of IgE varies between regulatory authorities. Patients may benefit by having fewer exacerbations and need for lower doses of OCS, with modest improvement in quality of life and in asthma symptom control as reflected by fewer symptoms and less need for reliever medications. Anti-IgE therapy is expensive and requires regular subcutaneous injections every 2-4 weeks, and observation after each injection. Therefore, it should only be considered when common causes of uncontrolled asthma, including incorrect inhaler technique and poor adherence, have been checked and addressed, and the contribution of comorbidities and modifiable risk factors to respiratory symptoms and exacerbations has been identified and minimized.

A 2010 review by the GINA Science Committee of evidence for improved patient outcomes with omalizumab compared with placebo, using GRADE methodology, led to the recommendation that ‘For allergic patients, with an elevated IgE, not controlled on high-doseICS and a LABA and who continue to have exacerbations, a trial of omalizumab can be considered. This recommendation is based on a modest response rate for the main endpoint exacerbations, and its high cost.’ The recent ERS/ATS Task Force on Severe Asthma, based on a similar GRADE-type analysis, recommended that ‘Those adults and children aged 6 and above, with severe asthma who are considered for a trial of omalizumab, should have confirmed IgE-dependent allergic asthma uncontrolled despite optimal pharmacological and non-pharmacological management and appropriate allergen avoidance if their total serum IgE level is 30 to 700 IU/mL (in 3 studies the range was wider – 30–1300 IU/mL). Treatment response should be globally assessed by the treating physician taking into consideration any improvement in asthma control, reduction in exacerbations and unscheduled healthcare utilisation, and improvement in quality of life.

In one study, the reduction in severe exacerbations with omalizumab compared with placebo was greatest in patients with higher baseline blood eosinophil levels (≥260 eosinophils/μL), higher baseline FENO (≥24 ppb), or higher baseline periostin level (≥53 ng/mL) while taking high dose ICS. If a patient does not respond clinically within 4 months of initiating treatment, it is considered unlikely that further administration of omalizumab will be beneficial. Of patients with a good clinical response to omalizumab, about half relapse if it is discontinued, at a median of 13 months after discontinuation.
These medications are expensive, so they should only be considered when common causes of uncontrolled asthma, including incorrect inhaler technique and poor adherence, have been checked and addressed, and the contribution of comorbidities and modifiable risk factors to respiratory symptoms and exacerbations has been identified and minimized. This report does not state specific criteria for eligibility for biologic therapies, as these vary between countries and jurisdictions.

Adverse effects

Anti-IgE appears to be safe as add-on therapy, including in inner-city children generally considered to be at high risk for exacerbations. Injection site reactions are more common with omalizumab than placebo. In a cohort study, there was no significant increase in risk of malignancy with omalizumab treatment. Withdrawal of corticosteroids facilitated by anti-IgE therapy has led to unmasking the presence of Churg-Strauss syndrome in a small number of case reports. Clinicians should be aware of the potential for this to occur and monitor patients closely.

Anti-IL5 treatments

Role in therapy

Interleukin 5 (IL-5) is a Type 2 cytokine that is required for eosinophil maturation and survival. Antibody therapies directed at IL-5 or its receptor are a treatment option for patients with severe eosinophilic asthma whose asthma is uncontrolled on treatment with corticosteroids (moderate/high dose inhaled +/- oral) and LABA (or another controller), or who require high doses of such treatment to maintain good asthma control. Three therapies have been approved by one or more major regulators for patients with severe eosinophilic asthma; mepolizumab and reslizumab, which are monoclonal anti-IL5 antibodies, and benralizumab, which is a monoclonal anti-IL5 receptor α antibody.

Mepolizumab: for patients aged ≥12 years, administered monthly (100 mg) by subcutaneous injection. In clinical trials of patients with severe eosinophilic asthma and two or more severe exacerbations in the previous year, mepolizumab reduced exacerbations by ~50% compared with placebo. The reduction in exacerbations was greater with increasing baseline blood eosinophil count and increasing number of exacerbations in the previous year; no significant reduction in exacerbations was seen with baseline blood eosinophils <150/μL. Modest improvements were seen in lung function and asthma symptom control. In patients requiring maintenance OCS, mepolizumab allowed a reduction in OCS dose by ~50% compared with placebo, with reduced exacerbations and improved symptom control.

Reslizumab: for patients aged ≥18 years, administered monthly (3 mg/kg body weight) by intravenous infusion. In clinical trials of patients with uncontrolled asthma symptoms despite moderate-high dose ICS, at least one severe exacerbation in the previous year, and baseline blood eosinophils ≥400/μL, reslizumab led to ~50% reduction in moderate or severe exacerbations compared with placebo, with a modest improvement in lung function and small improvement in symptom control.

Benralizumab: for patients aged ≥12 years, administered 8-weekly (30mg, first 3 doses 4-weekly) by subcutaneous injection. In clinical trials of patients with severe eosinophilic asthma, with blood eosinophils ≥300/μL in the previous 12 months while taking high dose ICS+LABA, and ≥2 severe exacerbations in the previous year, benralizumab reduced exacerbations by ~35-50% and improved lung function, compared with placebo. Modest improvements in asthma symptoms were seen in some groups. In patients requiring maintenance oral corticosteroids, benralizumab allowed a significant reduction in OCS dose compared with placebo, while also reducing exacerbations.

These medications are expensive, so they should only be considered when common causes of uncontrolled asthma, including incorrect inhaler technique and poor adherence, have been checked and addressed, and the contribution of comorbidities and modifiable risk factors to respiratory symptoms and exacerbations has been identified and minimized. There is debate over the optimal blood eosinophil criterion for patient selection. This report does not state specific criteria for eligibility for biologic therapies, as these vary between countries and jurisdictions.
Adverse effects

Adverse effects are infrequent; they include injection site reactions for mepolizumab, myalgia with reslizumab and headache with benralizumab. Anaphylactic reactions have been rare with these therapies. A small number of cases of herpes zoster have been reported in patients receiving mepolizumab. Patients with known parasitic infections were excluded from clinical trials of these therapies; at-risk patients should be screened for parasitic infections and, if found, treated before commencing therapy aimed at reducing eosinophils.

Other controller therapies

Oral anti-allergy compounds have been introduced in some countries for the treatment of mild to moderate allergic asthma. A meta-analysis of 19 studies on the effects of anti-histamines in adult asthma does not support the use of these medications in asthma treatment. Sedation is a potential side effect of some of these medications.

Several steroid-sparing drugs have been proposed for patients with severe asthma. The data to support their use is weak and they should be used only in selected patients under expert supervision, as their potential steroid-sparing effects may not outweigh the risk of serious side effects. Two meta-analyses of the steroid-sparing effect of low dose methotrexate showed a small overall benefit, but a relatively high frequency of adverse effects. This small potential to reduce the impact of corticosteroid side effects is probably insufficient to offset the adverse effects of methotrexate (gastrointestinal symptoms, and on rare occasions hepatic and diffuse pulmonary parenchymal disease, and hematological and teratogenic effects). Cyclosporin and gold have also been shown to be effective in some patients.

The use of intravenous immunoglobulin is not recommended for treatment of asthma.

Macrolides

The role of the long-term use of macrolides in asthma remains under study. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of macrolides or placebo for more than three weeks in asthma found no significant difference in FEV₁ or decrease in exacerbations; evidence was limited by incomplete reporting and heterogeneous inclusion criteria and outcomes. Further studies in more homogeneous asthma populations and with standardized outcomes are needed to determine whether macrolides have a place in asthma management, particularly for neutrophilic asthma. Macrolide use may be associated with nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain and, occasionally, liver toxicity. They should be used with caution in patients at risk of arrhythmias.

RELIEVER MEDICATIONS

Short-acting inhaled beta₂-agonists (SABA)

Role in therapy

Inhaled SABAs are the medications of choice for relief of bronchospasm during acute exacerbations of asthma and for the pretreatment of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction. They include salbutamol, terbutaline, levalbuterol HFA, reproterol, and pirbuterol. Formoterol, a LABA, is approved for symptom relief because of its rapid onset of action, but it should only be used for this purpose in patients on regular controller therapy with ICS.

SABAs should be used only on an as-needed basis at the lowest dose and frequency required. Increased use, especially daily use, is a warning of deterioration of asthma control and indicates the need to reassess treatment. Similarly, failure to achieve a quick and sustained response to SABA treatment during an exacerbation mandates medical attention, and may indicate the need for short-term treatment with OCS.
Adverse effects

Tremor and tachycardia are commonly reported with initial use of SABA, but tolerance to these effects usually develops rapidly. Heavy use of SABAs (e.g. averaging more than one canister per month) is associated with increased risk of asthma-related death.329

Short-acting anticholinergics

Role in therapy

Short-acting anticholinergic bronchodilators used in asthma include ipratropium bromide and oxitropium bromide. Inhaled ipratropium bromide is a less effective reliever medication in asthma than SABAs. A meta-analysis of trials of inhaled ipratropium bromide use added to SABA in acute asthma showed that the anticholinergic produced a statistically significant, albeit modest, improvement in pulmonary function, and significantly reduced the risk of hospital admission.330,331

The benefits of ipratropium bromide in the long-term management of asthma have not been established, although it is recognized as an alternative bronchodilator for patients who experience such adverse effects as tachycardia, arrhythmia, and tremor from rapid-acting beta2-agonists.

Adverse effects

Inhalation of ipratropium or oxitropium can cause dryness of the mouth and a bitter taste.

OTHER MEDICATIONS

Long-acting anticholinergics (also called long-acting antimuscarinics, LAMA)

Role in therapy

The long-acting anticholinergic, tiotropium has been studied in adolescents and adults with uncontrolled asthma in a variety of contexts: added to ICS compared with doubling the dose of ICS or adding salmeterol,332 and added to ICS333 or to ICS/LABA with or without other controllers334,335 compared with adding placebo334,335,336. Comparable bronchodilator effects to salmeterol have been shown in patients with the B16-Arg/Arg genotype, with no significant changes in asthma control.337 In an open-label genotype-stratified study comparing the addition of LABA or tiotropium in African American patients receiving ICS, no differences were seen in time to first asthma exacerbation or measures of asthma control regardless of B16 genotype.277 A Phase II study showed that adding tiotropium to patients with asthma not well-controlled on ICS and LABA improved lung function but not symptoms.334 Two large one-year replicate trials in patients with at least one severe exacerbation in the previous year confirmed improvements in lung function, and also showed a 21% reduction in the risk of a severe exacerbation and 31% reduction in risk of asthma worsening, but inconsistent improvement were seen in symptom control and quality of life.335 Direct evidence of LAMA versus LABA as add-on therapy to low dose ICS for Step 3 or medium dose ICS for Step 4 is currently limited to studies of less than six months’ duration comparing tiotropium (Respimat) to salmeterol. Given the much larger evidence base for adding LABA vs placebo when asthma is not well-controlled on ICS alone, the current evidence is not strong enough to say that LAMA can be substituted for LABA as add-on therapy; but it may be an alternative option in patients with LABA side-effects.338

Adverse effects

The safety of tiotropium in these studies, with all patients also taking ICS, was similar to that of salmeterol.332,334,335 Dry mouth, a characteristic side effect of this class of medication, was reported by fewer than 2% of the patients. There are no published long-term data (>1 year) on tiotropium safety in asthma.
Theophylline

Role in therapy

Long-term therapy: Theophylline is a relatively weak bronchodilator and when given in a low dose, has modest anti-inflammatory properties.\textsuperscript{339} It is available in sustained-release formulations that are suitable for once-or twice-daily administration. Theophylline is an add-on option for adult patients whose asthma is not well controlled with ICS or ICS/LABA.\textsuperscript{340-342} In such patients, the withdrawal of sustained-release theophylline has been associated with deterioration of asthma control.\textsuperscript{343} However, for patients taking ICS, theophylline is less effective as add-on therapy than LABA.\textsuperscript{344}

Short term therapy: In patients with acute asthma treated with inhaled SABA, the addition of intravenous aminophylline compared with placebo did not result in significant additional bronchodilation. Moreover, for every hundred patients treated with aminophylline there were an additional 20 patients with vomiting and 15 with arrhythmias.\textsuperscript{345}

Adverse effects

Side effects of theophylline, particularly at higher doses (10 mg/kg body weight/day or more), are significant and reduce its usefulness. Side effects can be reduced by careful dose selection and monitoring, and generally decrease or disappear with continued use. Adverse effects include gastrointestinal symptoms, diarrhea, cardiac arrhythmias, seizures, and even death. Nausea and vomiting are the most common early events. Monitoring of blood levels is advised when a high dose is started, if the patient develops an adverse effect on the usual dose, if expected therapeutic aims are not achieved, and when conditions known to alter theophylline metabolism exist. For example, febrile illness, pregnancy, and anti-tuberculosis medications\textsuperscript{346} reduce blood levels of theophylline, while liver disease, congestive heart failure, and certain drugs including cimetidine, some quinolones, and some macrolides increase the risk of toxicity. Lower doses of theophylline, that have been demonstrated to provide the full anti-inflammatory benefit of this drug,\textsuperscript{340} are associated with fewer side effects, and plasma theophylline levels in patients on low dose therapy need not be measured unless overdose is suspected.

During short-term treatment, theophylline has the potential for significant adverse effects, although these can generally be avoided by appropriate dosing and monitoring. Short-acting theophylline should not be administered to patients already on long-term treatment with sustained-release theophylline unless the serum concentration of theophylline is known to be low and/or can be monitored.

Oral beta-agonists

Role in therapy

Short-acting oral beta-agonists may be considered in the few patients who are unable to use inhaled medication. However, their use is associated with a higher prevalence of adverse effects.

Long acting oral beta-agonists include slow release formulations of salbutamol, terbutaline, and bambuterol, a pro-drug that is converted to terbutaline. They are used only on rare occasions when additional bronchodilation is needed.

Adverse effects

The side effect profile of oral long-acting beta-agonists is higher than that of inhaled beta\textsubscript{2}-agonists, and includes cardiovascular stimulation (tachycardia), anxiety, and skeletal muscle tremor. Adverse cardiovascular reactions may also occur with the combination of oral beta-agonists and theophylline. Regular use of long-acting oral beta-agonists as monotherapy is likely to be harmful and these medications must always be given in combination with ICS.

Vitamin D

Vitamin D supplementation has not been associated with improvement in asthma control or reduction in exacerbations. In a placebo-controlled trial in 408 adults with mild-moderate asthma who underwent ICS dose reduction, add-on high
dose cholecalciferol (100,000 IU load plus 4000 IU/day) for 28 weeks vs placebo did not reduce the risk of asthma exacerbations or severity or frequency of colds.

COMPLEMENTARY AND ALTERNATIVE MEDICINES AND THERAPIES

Role in therapy

The roles of complementary and alternative medicine in adult asthma treatment are limited because these approaches have been insufficiently researched and their effectiveness is largely unproven, or has not been validated by conventional standards. Although the psychotherapeutic role of the therapist forms part of the placebo effect of all treatments, this aspect is viewed as an integral part of the so-called holistic approach used by practitioners of complementary and alternative methods, and mitigates against performance of the large, multicenter, placebo-controlled randomized studies required to confirm efficacy. However, without these the relative efficacy of these alternative measures will remain unknown.

Complementary and alternative therapies include acupuncture, homeopathy, herbal medicine, ayurvedic medicine, ionizers, osteopathy and chiropractic manipulation, and speleotherapy among others. Apart from those mentioned below, there have been no satisfactory studies from which conclusions about their efficacy can be drawn.

Dietary supplements, including selenium therapy are not of proven benefit and the use of a low sodium diet as an adjunctive therapy to normal treatment has no additional therapeutic benefit in adults with asthma. In addition, a low sodium diet has no effect on bronchial reactivity to methacholine. Evidence from the most rigorous studies available to date indicates that spinal manipulation is not an effective treatment for asthma. Systematic reviews indicate that homeopathic medicines have no effects beyond placebo. A Cochrane review of yoga interventions for asthma (with or without breathing, posture or meditation) compared to usual care (or sham intervention) found moderate quality evidence of benefit for quality of life; there was no benefit for lung function or medication use. Few studies were matched for contact with health professionals, and few data were available about adverse effects.

A systematic review of studies of breathing and/or relaxation exercises for asthma and/or dysfunctional breathing, including the Buteyko method and the Papworth method, reported improvements in symptoms, quality of life and/or psychological measures, but not in physiological outcomes. In order for studies of non-pharmacological strategies such as breathing exercises to be considered high quality, control groups should be appropriately matched for level of contact with health professionals and for asthma education. A study of two physiologically contrasting breathing techniques, in which contact with health professionals and instructions about rescue inhaler use were matched, showed similar improvements in reliever and ICS use in both groups. This suggests that perceived improvement with breathing exercises may be largely due to factors such as relaxation, voluntary reduction in use of SABA medication, or engagement of the patient in their own care. Breathing exercises may thus provide a useful supplement to conventional asthma management strategies, including in anxious patients or those habitually over-using rescue medication. The cost of some programs is a potential limitation.

Adverse effects

With acupuncture, adverse effects including hepatitis B, pneumothorax, and burns have been described. Side effects of other alternative and complementary medicines are largely unknown. However, some popular herbal medicines could potentially be dangerous, as exemplified by the occurrence of hepatic veno-occlusive disease associated with the consumption of the commercially available herb, comfrey. Comfrey products are sold as herbal teas and herbal root powders, and their toxicity is due to the presence of pyrrolizidine alkaloids.
PART B. ASTHMA PHARMACOTHERAPY – CHILDREN 6–11 YEARS

ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION

Inhaled therapy is the cornerstone of asthma treatment for children of all ages. Almost all children can be taught to effectively use inhaled therapy. Different age groups require different inhalers for effective therapy, so the choice of inhaler must be individualized. Information about the lung dose for a particular drug formulation is seldom available for children, and marked differences exist between the various inhalers. This should be considered whenever one inhaler device is substituted with another. In addition, the choice of inhaler device should include consideration of the efficacy of drug delivery, costs, safety, ease of use, convenience, and documentation of its use in the patient’s age group.202,356

Many children with asthma do not use their inhalers correctly and consequently gain little or no therapeutic benefit from prescribed treatment.356 Therefore, for each age group, a major focus of inhalation therapy should be on which inhalers are the easiest to use correctly, and how much training is required to achieve correct technique. More than 50 different inhaler/drug combinations are now available for the treatment of asthma. Although such a variety increases the likelihood of finding an appropriate inhaler for each individual patient, it also increases the complexity of inhaler choice, and it may also reduce the health care provider’s experience with each device. Therefore, it may be better for the individual health care provider to focus on a limited number of inhalers to gain better experience with them.

Both initial training and repeated follow-ups are crucial for correct inhaler use in children.357 Prescription of inhaled therapy to a child should always be accompanied by thorough training in correct inhaler use, and repeatedly checking that the child can demonstrate correct technique. The number of cycles of correction and demonstration of technique depend on age and the psychomotor skills of the child. Inhaler technique continues to improve when skills training is repeated at subsequent visits.358

Options for inhalers include pressurized metered dose inhaler (pMDI) with or without a spacer device, and dry powder inhaler (DPI). These differ with respect to construction, aerosol cloud generation, optimal inhalation technique and ease of use. For children, prescription of pMDI alone (without spacer) is not generally recommended as they are more difficult to use correctly than pMDI with spacer, DPI or breath-actuated pMDI. DPIs and breath-actuated pMDIs are often preferred for use outside the home, as they are more convenient to carry than pMDI and spacer.

Spacers retain large drug particles that would normally be deposited in the oropharynx; this reduces oral and gastrointestinal absorption and thus systemic availability of the inhaled drug. This is important for ICS that have low first-pass metabolism (beclometasone dipropionate, flunisolide, triamcinolone). Use of a spacer also reduces oropharyngeal side effects. During asthma exacerbations, a spacer should always be used with a pMDI, as in this situation a child may be unable to correctly coordinate inhalation with pMDI actuation. Nebulizers have rather imprecise dosing, are expensive, are time consuming to use and care for, and require maintenance. They are mainly reserved for children who cannot use other inhaler devices. In severe asthma exacerbations a nebulizer is often used, although in mild or moderate exacerbations, pMDI with a spacer is equally effective.359

Common inhaler devices for use by children aged over 5 years, together with features of optimal inhalation technique, and some common problems with their use are summarized in Box A5-2.
Box A5-2. Inhaler devices, optimal technique, and common problems for children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Age group/context</th>
<th>Optimal technique</th>
<th>Common problems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pMDI with valved spacer</td>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>Slow deep inhalation (30 L/min.) followed by 5 second breath-hold</td>
<td>Static electricity reduces output* (output is reduced after cleaning unless rinsed with detergent and air-dried)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All ages with acute severe wheeze</td>
<td>Slow tidal breathing (5–10 cycles) starting immediately after actuation.</td>
<td>Multiple actuations into spacer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMDI</td>
<td>&gt; 8 years</td>
<td>Exhalation away from device, then inhaler actuation early during a slow (30 L/min) deep inhalation, followed by 5 second breath-hold</td>
<td>Coordination of actuation and inhalation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breath-actuated pMDI</td>
<td>&gt; 7 years</td>
<td>Exhalation away from device followed by a slow (30 L/min) deep inhalation followed by 5 second breath-hold</td>
<td>Slow inhalation is difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry powder inhalers</td>
<td>&gt; 5 years</td>
<td>Exhalation away from device followed by a deep, forceful inhalation (minimal effective flow varies between devices)</td>
<td>Dose lost if child exhales through the inhaler</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Device dependent

**CONTROLLER MEDICATIONS**

Controller medications for children include inhaled corticosteroids (ICS), combination ICS/long-acting beta₂-agonists (ICS/LABA), leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRA) and chromones.

**Inhaled corticosteroids**

*Role in therapy – regular treatment*

ICS are the most effective controller therapy, and are therefore the recommended maintenance treatment for asthma, including for children. Box A5-3 lists low, medium and high doses of different ICS for children 6–11 years.

Dose-response studies and dose titration studies in children demonstrate marked and rapid clinical improvements in symptoms and lung function at low doses of ICS, and mild disease is well controlled by low doses in the majority of patients. Some children require higher doses to achieve optimal asthma control and effective protection against exercise-induced asthma, but incorrect inhaler technique and poor adherence may contribute. Only a minority of patients require treatment with high doses of ICS. In children aged 2–12 years with acute asthma, adding a single dose of nebulized ICS to an initial dose of prednisolone was no better than adding placebo in preventing admission.

In children, as in adults, maintenance treatment with ICS controls asthma symptoms, reduces the frequency of acute exacerbations, the need for additional asthma medication and the number of hospital admissions, improves quality of life, lung function, and bronchial hyperresponsiveness, and reduces exercise-induced bronchoconstriction. Symptom control and improvements in lung function occur rapidly (after 1–2 weeks), although longer treatment (over months) and sometimes higher doses may be required to achieve maximum improvements in airway hyperresponsiveness. When corticosteroid treatment is discontinued, asthma control deteriorates within weeks to months.
## Box A5-3. Low, medium and high daily doses of ICS for children 6–11 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Daily dose (mcg)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beclometasone dipropionate (CFC)*</td>
<td>100–200</td>
<td>&gt;200–400</td>
<td>&gt;400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beclometasone dipropionate (HFA)</td>
<td>50–100</td>
<td>&gt;100–200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budesonide (DPI)</td>
<td>100–200</td>
<td>&gt;200–400</td>
<td>&gt;400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budesonide (nebulizer)</td>
<td>250–500</td>
<td>&gt;500–1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciclesonide</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>&gt;80–160</td>
<td>&gt;160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluticasone furoate (DPI)</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluticasone propionate (DPI)</td>
<td>100–200</td>
<td>&gt;200–400</td>
<td>&gt;400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluticasone propionate (HFA)</td>
<td>100–200</td>
<td>&gt;200–500</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mometasone furoate</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>≥220–&lt;440</td>
<td>≥440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triamcinolone acetonide</td>
<td>400–800</td>
<td>&gt;800–1200</td>
<td>&gt;1200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CFC: chlorofluorocarbon propellant; DPI: dry powder inhaler; HFA: hydrofluoroalkane propellant; n.a.: not applicable.

*Beclometasone dipropionate CFC is included for comparison with older literature.

For children 0–5 years, see Box A5-6, p47.

This is not a table of equivalence, but of estimated clinical comparability. Categories of ‘low’, ‘medium’, and ‘high’ doses are based on published information and available studies, including direct comparisons where available. Doses may be country-specific depending on labelling requirements. Most of the clinical benefit from ICS is seen at low doses, and clear evidence of dose-response relationships is seldom available within the dose ranges evaluated for regulatory purposes. ‘High’ doses are arbitrary, but for most ICS are those that, with prolonged use, are associated with increased risk of systemic side-effects.

For new preparations, manufacturer’s information should be reviewed carefully; products containing the same molecule may not be clinically equivalent. For more detailed discussion see Raissy et al.215

### Role in therapy - intermittent and as-needed treatment

A recent meta-analysis assessed two studies comparing regular ICS with either intermittent ICS (episodic) or as-needed (prn) ICS in school-age children,366 although these two regimens are likely to differ in their clinical effectiveness. Daily treatment was reported to be superior to intermittent or prn treatment in several indicators of lung function, airway inflammation, asthma control and reliever use. Both treatments appeared safe, but growth was slower (0.4 cm/year) in the regular treatment group. None of the studies recorded lifestyle factors such as daily physical activity or changes in fitness, which have been found to be reduced in children when their asthma is not optimally controlled.367 The authors concluded that there was low quality evidence that intermittent and daily ICS strategies were similarly effective in the use of rescue oral corticosteroids and the rate of severe adverse health events, but that equivalence between the two options could not be assumed. Thus, regular treatment remains the preferred option; this is supported by another meta-analysis including the same studies.368

### Adverse effects

**Growth.** When assessing the effects of ICS on growth in children with asthma, it is important to remember that uncontrolled or severe asthma adversely affects growth and final adult height.369 Potential confounding factors also affect interpretation. For example, many children with asthma, especially severe asthma, experience a reduction in growth rate toward the end of the first decade of life. This continues into the mid-teens and is associated with a delay in the onset of puberty. This deceleration of growth velocity resembles growth retardation, but is also associated with a delay in skeletal maturation, so that the child’s bone age corresponds to his or her height. Ultimately, adult height is not
decreased, although it is reached at a later than normal age.\textsuperscript{369,370} One study suggested that 400 mcg inhaled budesonide or equivalent per day to control asthma has less impact on growth than a low socioeconomic status.\textsuperscript{370} A summary of the findings of studies on ICS and growth is provided in Box A5-4.\textsuperscript{369,371,372}

**Box A5-4. Corticosteroids and growth in children**

- Uncontrolled or severe asthma adversely affects growth and final adult height.\textsuperscript{369}
- Daily use of 100–200 mcg ICS is generally considered to be without any clinically important adverse effects on growth.
- Growth retardation in both short- and medium-term studies is dose dependent. Growth retardation may be seen with moderate or high doses of all ICS.
- Important differences seem to exist between the growth-retarding effects of different ICS and different devices.
- Corticosteroid-induced changes in growth rate during the first year of treatment are not progressive or cumulative.
- In several studies, children with asthma treated with ICS for several years have been found to attain normal adult height.\textsuperscript{208,369,370} However, one randomized, controlled trial of 5 years treatment with inhaled budesonide 400 mcg/day found that the initial 1.2 cm reduction in height was still detectable in adulthood (<1% of adult height), particularly in children who started treatment before 10 years of age.\textsuperscript{371} Evidence favors the use of low dose ICS where possible.\textsuperscript{373}

**Bones.** Several cross-sectional and longitudinal epidemiologic studies have assessed the effects of long-term ICS treatment on osteoporosis and fractures.\textsuperscript{374-379} The conclusions are summarized in Box A5-5.

**Box A5-5. Corticosteroids and bones in children**

- No studies have reported an increased risk of fractures in children taking ICS.
- Use of oral or systemic corticosteroids increases the risk of fracture. The risk increases with the number of treatments, with a 32% increase after four courses (ever). ICS reduce the need for systemic corticosteroid courses.
- Controlled longitudinal studies of 2–5 years’ duration, and several cross-sectional studies, found no adverse effects of ICS on bone mineral density.
- ICS use has the potential for reducing bone mineral accretion in male children progressing through puberty, but this risk is likely to be outweighed by the ability to reduce the amount of oral corticosteroids used in these children.\textsuperscript{380}

**Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis:** Although differences exist between different ICS and inhaler devices, treatment with ICS doses of less than 200 mcg budesonide or equivalent daily is not normally associated with any significant suppression of the HPA axis in children.\textsuperscript{210} At higher doses, small changes in HPA axis function can be detected with sensitive methods.\textsuperscript{378} The clinical relevance of these findings is not known, since there have been no reports of adrenal crisis in clinical trials of ICS in children. However, adrenal crisis has been reported in children treated in clinical practice with excessively high ICS doses.\textsuperscript{381}

**Cataracts.** ICS have not been associated with an increased occurrence of cataract development in children.\textsuperscript{239,382}

**Central nervous system effects:** Although isolated case reports have suggested that hyperactive behavior, aggressiveness, insomnia, uninhibited behavior, and impaired concentration may be seen with ICS treatment, no
increase in such effects has been found in two long-term controlled trials of inhaled budesonide involving more than 10,000 treatment years.208,210

**Oral candidiasis, hoarseness, and bruising:** Clinical thrush is seldom a problem in children treated with ICS or oral corticosteroids. This side effect seems to be related to concomitant use of antibiotics, high daily doses, dose frequency, and inhaler device. Spacers reduce the incidence of oral candidiasis.383 Mouth rinsing is beneficial.384 The occurrence of hoarseness or other noticeable voice changes during budesonide treatment is similar to placebo.239 Treatment with an average daily dose of 500 mcg budesonide for 3–6 years is not associated with an increased tendency to bruise.239

**Dental side effects:** ICS treatment is not associated with increased incidence of caries. However, the increased level of dental erosion reported in children with asthma may be due to a reduction in oral pH from inhalation of beta\textsubscript{2} agonists.386

**Other local side effects:** The long-term use of ICS in children is not associated with an increased incidence of lower respiratory tract infections, including tuberculosis.

**Combination ICS/LABAs**

**Role in therapy**

In children 6 years and older, LABAs are primarily used as add-on therapy for those whose asthma is insufficiently controlled by medium doses of ICS. Combination ICS/LABA products are preferred to use of separate inhalers, to ensure that the LABA is always accompanied by ICS. With add-on LABA, significant improvements in peak flow and other lung function measurements have been found in most studies.387-389 However, the effects on other outcomes such as symptoms and need for reliever medication have been less consistent, and only observed in about half of the trials conducted. A cross-over study in children whose asthma was uncontrolled despite good adherence with low-dose ICS (n=182) found that adding LABA was most likely to produce the best clinical response over 16 weeks for a composite measure including lung function, compared with adding a LTRA or doubling the ICS dose.390 A large (n=6,208) randomized controlled study in children 4-11 years comparing fluticasone propionate and combination fluticasone propionate/salmeterol maintenance treatment found no difference in rescue-free days or asthma symptom control.391

For exacerbations in children, by contrast with findings in adults, meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials showed no significant difference in exacerbations requiring systemic corticosteroids, when LABA was added to current treatment (which may or may not have included ICS),392 when LABA was added to ICS,393 or when ICS/LABA was compared with double dose ICS.394 A large (n=6,208) study in children 4-11 years found no significant difference in serious exacerbations requiring hospitalization, or in severe exacerbations requiring oral corticosteroids, between fluticasone propionate and same dose combination fluticasone propionate/salmeterol.391

Not all combination ICS/LABA medications and devices are approved for use in children.

**Adverse effects**

There have been concerns that using LABA might increase asthma risks including mortality.395 However, a large randomized controlled trial with fluticasone propionate/salmeterol combination inhaler in children 4-11 years showed no inferiority to fluticasone propionate alone for serious adverse events (death, intubation and hospitalization due to asthma).275,391 Based on this study and a systematic review there is no apparent increase in risk for serious exacerbations in children with LABA when it is used in a combination inhaler with ICS.

**Leukotriene receptor antagonists**

**Role in therapy**

LTRAs provide clinical benefit in this age group at all levels of severity, but the benefit is generally less than that of low dose ICS.360 LTRAs provide partial protection against exercise-induced bronchoconstriction within hours after administration with no loss of bronchoprotective effect over time.182,399 A systematic review of LTRAs as add-on
treatment in children whose asthma was insufficiently controlled by low doses of ICS showed no significant improvement in outcomes, including in exacerbations. Add-on therapy with montelukast was less effective in controlling asthma in children with uncontrolled persistent asthma than increasing ICS to moderate dose. Montelukast has not been demonstrated to be an effective ICS-sparing alternative in children with moderate-to-severe persistent asthma.

**Adverse effects**

No safety concerns have been demonstrated from the use of LTRA in children in clinical trials. Post-marketing surveillance reports suggested a slight increase in the rate of (rare) neuropsychiatric disorders potentially associated with use of leukotriene receptor antagonists in children and young adults, but no evidence was found in a case-control study.

**Chromones: sodium cromoglycate and nedocromil sodium**

**Role in therapy**

Sodium cromoglycate and nedocromil sodium have a limited role in the long-term treatment of asthma in children. One meta-analysis concluded that long-term treatment with sodium cromoglycate is not significantly better than placebo for management of asthma in children. Another meta-analysis confirmed superiority of low-dose ICS over sodium cromoglycate in persistent asthma; no difference between treatments was seen in safety.

Nedocromil sodium has been shown to reduce exacerbations, but its effect on other asthma outcomes is not superior to placebo. A single dose of sodium cromoglycate or nedocromil sodium attenuates bronchospasm induced by exercise or cold air.

Sodium cromoglycate and nedocromil sodium inhalers require daily washing to prevent blockage.

**Adverse effects**

Cough, throat irritation, and bronchoconstriction occur in a small proportion of patients treated with sodium cromoglycate. A bad taste, headache, and nausea are the most common side effects of nedocromil.

**Systemic corticosteroids**

Because of the side effects of prolonged use, oral corticosteroids in children with asthma should be restricted to the treatment of acute severe exacerbations, whether viral-induced or otherwise. Even short-courses of oral corticosteroids, if used repeatedly, increase the risk of side-effects. In a prospective study, short courses of oral corticosteroids were associated with reduced bone density in boys. In an epidemiological study, risk of fracture was increased with ≥4 courses of oral corticosteroids, although the contribution of disease severity could not be estimated.

**RELEIVER MEDICATIONS**

**Short-acting beta-agonists (SABA)**

**Role in therapy**

SABAs are the most effective bronchodilators available, and therefore the preferred treatment for acute asthma in children of all ages. The inhaled route results in more rapid bronchodilation at a lower dose and with fewer side effects than oral or intravenous administration. Furthermore, inhaled therapy offers significant protection against exercise-induced bronchoconstriction and other challenges for 0.5 to 2 hours. This is not seen after systemic administration. Oral therapy is rarely needed and is reserved mainly for the small proportion of young children who cannot use inhaled therapy.
Adverse effects

Skeletal muscle tremor, headache, palpitations, and some agitation are the most common complaints associated with high doses of beta-agonists in children. These complaints are more common after systemic administration and disappear with continued treatment.

Anticholinergics

Role in therapy

Inhaled anticholinergics such as ipratropium bromide are not recommended for long-term management of asthma in children. They may be tried in patients who are very sensitive to the side effects of SABAs, but their onset of action and maximum effect are generally lower than those of SABAs.

Other medications

Anti-IgE

Role in therapy

Anti-IgE (omalizumab) has proven effect in children aged ≥6 years with moderate-to-severe and severe persistent allergic (IgE-mediated) asthma. A 28-week, randomized, placebo-controlled study included 334 children aged 6–12 years with moderate to severe allergic asthma, whose asthma was well controlled on ICS doses equivalent to 200–500 mcg/day of beclometasone. There were no differences in clinical effects between placebo and anti-IgE during a 16-week stable ICS dose period. During a 12-week tapering period, urgent unscheduled physician visits were significantly reduced by 30.3% in the anti-IgE group compared with placebo (12.9%) group, and there were significant improvements in quality of life in the patients receiving anti-IgE, both during stable ICS dosing and during tapering. The remaining outcomes were similar in the two treatment groups.

A one-year study evaluated the efficacy and safety of anti-IgE in 627 children aged 6–11 years with IgE-mediated asthma inadequately controlled on ICS at doses equivalent to or higher than 200 mcg/day fluticasone propionate (mean dose 500 mcg/day). Anti-IgE treatment was associated with a significantly lower exacerbation rate, and the overall incidence of serious adverse events was significantly lower in the children receiving anti-IgE than placebo.

A 60-week study in 419 inner-city patients aged 6-20 years found that omalizumab significantly reduced symptoms and exacerbations, including seasonal exacerbations, compared with placebo.

A substantial number of children with difficult asthma have higher IgE levels than the upper limit of IgE recommended for therapy (1,300 IU). It is unknown if these patients will still benefit from omalizumab therapy.

The recent ERS/ATS Task Force on Severe Asthma recommended that ‘Those adults and children aged 6 and above, with severe asthma who are considered for a trial of omalizumab, should have confirmed IgE-dependent allergic asthma uncontrolled despite optimal pharmacological and non-pharmacological management and appropriate allergen avoidance if their total serum IgE level is 30 to 700 IU/mL (in 3 studies the range was wider – 30–1300 IU/mL). Treatment response should be globally assessed by the treating physician taking into consideration any improvement in asthma control, reduction in exacerbations and unscheduled healthcare utilisation, and improvement in quality of life. If a patient does not respond within 4 months of initiating treatment, it is unlikely that further administration of omalizumab will be beneficial.’

Adverse effects

Drug-related adverse events in anti-IgE treated patients are mild to moderate in severity and include injection site pain, urticaria, rash, flushing, and pruritus. The long-term (beyond one year) safety and efficacy have not yet been studied in children.
Theophylline

Role in therapy

Due to its high toxicity, theophylline is not recommended for use in children, unless ICS are not available. Theophylline has only modest effects as monotherapy compared with placebo,\(^{413}\) and as add-on treatment to inhaled or oral corticosteroids in children with severe asthma.\(^{414,415}\) It has a marginal protective effect against exercise-induced bronchoconstriction.\(^{416}\) Most clinical evidence in children has been obtained from studies in which plasma theophylline levels were maintained within the therapeutic range of 55–110 umol/L (5–10 mcg/ml). Theophylline elimination may vary up to tenfold between individuals, and measurement of plasma theophylline levels is recommended in otherwise healthy children when daily doses exceed 10 mg/kg/day.

Adverse effects

The most common side effects of theophylline are anorexia, nausea, vomiting, and headache,\(^{417}\) mainly seen at doses higher than 10 mg/kg/day. The risk of adverse effects is reduced if treatment is initiated with daily doses around 5 mg/kg/day and then gradually increased to 10 mg/kg/day. More serious side effects such as epileptic seizures may occur, and severe overdosing with theophylline can be fatal.

Long-acting oral beta-agonists

Treatment with long-acting oral beta-agonists such as slow release formulations of salbutamol, terbutaline, and bambuterol reduces nocturnal symptoms of asthma.\(^{418,419}\) However, due to their potential side effects of cardiovascular stimulation, anxiety, and skeletal muscle tremor, their use is not encouraged. If used, dosing should be individualized, and the therapeutic response monitored to limit side effects.\(^{420}\) Oral long-acting beta\(_2\)-agonist therapy offers little or no protection against exercise-induced bronchoconstriction.
PART C. ASTHMA PHARMACOTHERAPY – CHILDREN 5 YEARS AND YOUNGER

CONTROLLER MEDICATIONS

Inhaled corticosteroids

*Role in therapy*

**Regular ICS treatment.** A meta-analysis of 29 randomized controlled trials of ≥4 weeks’ duration in children aged 1 month to 5 years, with a clinical diagnosis of wheezing or asthma for at least 6 months before study entry, found that those who received maintenance ICS had significantly less wheezing, fewer asthma exacerbations, fewer withdrawals caused by wheezing or asthma exacerbations, less albuterol use and more clinical and functional improvement than those on placebo\(^{221}\) (Evidence A). A meta-analysis of 8 studies in children with persistent asthma showed reduced exacerbations with daily ICS compared with placebo, and in one study, with daily ICS compared with montelukast.\(^{422}\)

Dose-response relationships have been less well studied in this age group. The clinical response may differ depending on the specific device used for delivery and the child’s ability to use it correctly. For children whose asthma is not well-controlled with low dose ICS (Box A5-6), near-maximum benefits are achieved in the majority of patients with twice these doses, when given as regular, long-term treatment and with correct use of a spacer device.\(^{423,424}\) Use of ICS for children up to 2 years of age has not been found to induce remission of asthma; symptoms almost always return when treatment is stopped\(^{425}\) (Evidence B). In children aged 2–12 years with acute asthma, adding a single dose of nebulized ICS to an initial dose of prednisolone was no better than adding placebo in preventing admission.\(^{365}\)

Box A5-6. Low daily doses of inhaled corticosteroids for children 5 years and younger

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Low daily dose (mcg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beclomethasone dipropionate (HFA)</strong></td>
<td>100 (ages ≥5 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budesonide nebulized</td>
<td>500 (ages ≥1 year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluticasone propionate (HFA)</td>
<td>100 (ages ≥4 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mometasone furoate</td>
<td>110 (ages ≥4 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budesonide pMDI + spacer</td>
<td>Not sufficiently studied in this age group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciclesonide</td>
<td>Not sufficiently studied in this age group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triamcinolone acetonide</td>
<td>Not sufficiently studied in this age group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HFA: hydrofluoralkane propellant; pMDI: pressurized metered dose inhaler

This is not a table of clinical equivalence. A low daily dose is defined as the lowest approved dose for which safety and effectiveness have been adequately studied in this age group.

This table is also found in the 2018 *Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention*.\(^{160}\)

**Episodic ICS treatment versus placebo.** In children with intermittent asthma or viral-induced wheeze, meta-analysis of 5 studies (422 children) found that the preemptive use of high-dose episodic ICS compared with placebo resulted in reduced risk of exacerbation.\(^{422}\) Because of the potential for side-effects, this option should be considered only where the physician is confident that the medications will be used appropriately.

**Episodic ICS treatment versus regular ICS.** The MIST study recruited pre-schoolers with recurrent wheeze, a positive asthma predictive index (API), and wheezing episodes on an average of one third of days, with two-thirds of the children
taking ICS prior to entry. This study compared regular daily low-dose nebulized budesonide with episodic high-dose nebulized budesonide given each night for seven days with respiratory tract illnesses.\(^4\) This study showed similar outcomes for regular and intermittent ICS. Cumulative ICS dose was higher with regular versus episodic treatment.

**As-needed ICS treatment (taken when SABA is required) versus regular ICS or placebo.** The ‘BEST for Children’ study was a 3 month placebo-controlled study in 276 pre-schoolers with frequent wheeze comparing regular twice-daily nebulized beclometasone, as-needed nebulized beclometasone/salbutamol (given for symptom relief), and as-needed salbutamol alone.\(^4\) This study showed similar clinical outcomes for regular vs as-needed ICS, but regular ICS was better than placebo for the primary outcome measure of symptom-free days. Cumulative ICS dose was lower with as-needed versus regular ICS.

The choice between regular, intermittent and as-needed controller treatment in clinical practice in pre-school children is still under discussion. A meta-analysis found strong evidence to support daily ICS for preventing exacerbations in preschool children with frequent wheeze, specifically in children with persistent asthma.\(^4\) For preschool children with viral-triggered wheezing and no apparent symptoms between the wheezing episodes, there is evidence to support intermittent ICS for preventing exacerbations.\(^4\)

**Adverse effects**

The majority of studies evaluating the systemic effects of ICS have been undertaken in children older than 5 years. However, the available data in children 5 years and younger suggest that, as in older children, clinically effective doses of ICS are safe and the potential risks are well balanced by the clinical benefits.\(^4\) Generally, low doses of ICS (Box A5-6) have not been associated with any clinically serious adverse systemic effects in clinical trials and are considered safe.\(^4\) (Evidence A). However, higher doses have been associated with detectable systemic effects on growth particularly in the first year of treatment and on the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis.\(^4\)

These effects are similar to those reported in studies of older children that find no evidence that the initial effect on growth is accumulated with continued long term treatment.\(^3\) The effects of the early reduction in growth on adult height has not been studied in children who started ICS before the age of 5 years. In children who had been treated with fluticasone propionate for 2 years from the age of 2 or 3 years,\(^4\) catch-up in growth was seen at 2 years after cessation of ICS; however, in a post hoc analysis no catch-up was seen in children who at study entry were <2 years old and weighed <15 kg.\(^\) Local side effects, such as hoarseness and candidiasis, are rare in children 5 years and younger.\(^\)

**Combination ICS/long-acting beta\(_2\)-agonists (ICS/LABA)**

The effect of LABA or combination ICS/LABA products has not been adequately studied in children 4 years and younger. In a small study, formoterol showed bronchodilator and bronchoprotective effects for >8 hours in this age group.\(^4\) (Evidence D). However, there are no placebo-controlled trials in this age group on the addition of LABA to ICS.

**Leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRA)**

**Role in therapy**

**LTRA versus placebo:** In a three-month placebo-controlled study of 689 children with persistent wheeze, montelukast reduced days with symptoms and days with rescue beta\(_2\)-agonist use by approximately 6 percentage points. The proportion of children experiencing an asthma ‘attack’ was not significantly reduced, but the proportion needing a course of prednisolone was reduced from 28% to 19%.\(^4\) In a 12-month placebo-controlled study of 549 young children with recurrent viral-induced wheezing, regular montelukast improved some asthma outcomes compared with placebo, but did not reduce the frequency of hospitalizations, courses of prednisone, or symptom-free days.\(^4\) These findings were confirmed by a further study in children with intermittent wheezing.\(^4\) Montelukast has also been shown to reduce airway hyperresponsiveness to methacholine\(^4\) or hyperventilation with cold dry air.\(^4\)
Regular LTRA versus regular ICS: Two studies compared ICS with LTRA in pre-school children. A one-year, randomized, open study compared montelukast with nebulized budesonide in 400 children with mild persistent asthma; overall outcomes favored budesonide. In a 3 month blinded, placebo-controlled study of 63 children, fluticasone propionate treatment significantly improved symptoms over placebo, whereas montelukast did not; fluticasone propionate also improved lung function measured by forced oscillation technique (Evidence B).

Episodic LTRA treatment versus placebo. In a 12-month placebo-controlled study in children with intermittent asthma that included 162 children aged 2–5 years, parent-initiated montelukast for 7–14 days had a modest effect on health care utilization. In a placebo-controlled study of 979 children aged 3 months to 2 years, and hospitalized with RSV bronchiolitis, montelukast had no effect on post-bronchiolitic wheeze or cough. A large 12-month study comparing daily and intermittent montelukast with placebo showed no significant difference in health care utilization. There were numerical differences in symptoms and reliever use during respiratory infections with regular and episodic montelukast compared with placebo.

A placebo-controlled trial of the addition of montelukast to usual asthma therapy for 45 days in the fall, including 42 children aged 2–5 found that this treatment reduced the number of days with worsening of asthma symptoms in boys but not in girls.

In summary, LTRAs improve some asthma outcomes in young children with intermittent wheezing or persistent asthma (Evidence A). However, the role of LTRAs as add-on therapy in children 5 years and younger whose asthma is uncontrolled on ICS has not been sufficiently evaluated.

Adverse effects

No safety concerns have been demonstrated in clinical trials of LTRAs in young children. Product information for montelukast describes (rare) adverse effects such as nightmares in this age group.

Chromones (sodium cromoglycate and nedocromil sodium)

A Cochrane review concluded that there was no beneficial effect of inhaled sodium cromoglycate compared with placebo in preschool children (Evidence A). Two studies of nearly 1,000 children in this age group have confirmed the superiority of ICS over chromones for almost all endpoints assessing asthma control (Evidence A). Nedocromil sodium has not been studied in preschool children. Chromones cannot be recommended in this age group.

Oral and other systemic corticosteroids

Because of the side effects associated with prolonged use, oral corticosteroids in young children with asthma should be restricted to the treatment of severe exacerbations, whether viral-induced or otherwise (Evidence D).

Azithromycin

Antibiotics are not currently recommended for treatment of asthma exacerbations in adults or children, but they are commonly used in clinical practice. Two studies have examined the effect of azithromycin in selected children with a history of severe wheezing with respiratory infections. In a large study, children 1-5 years were randomized to receive 5 days of azithromycin or placebo for respiratory infections; this study showed a significant reduction in the risk of more severe lower respiratory episodes. In a smaller study in children 1-3 years, each respiratory infection lasting ≥3 days was randomly allocated to treatment with azithromycin or placebo for 3 days, with most children also receiving ICS; this study showed a significant reduction in symptom duration with azithromycin. Neither study found a difference in need for urgent health care (which was uncommon in both studies), or an increase in time to subsequent respiratory infections. Development of antibiotic resistance was slightly increased when examined in a small number of subjects. It is still unclear which children should be considered for azithromycin treatment, and there is concern about the potential for greater antibiotic resistance in broader populations where adherence may be lower; more clinical trials are needed, using standardized outcome measures, before any recommendations can be made.
RELIEVER MEDICATIONS

Inhaled short-acting beta$_2$-agonists (SABA)

Inhaled SABA are the preferred reliever treatment for asthma in children 5 years and younger (Evidence A). In most cases, a pMDI with spacer is an effective way for delivering reliever therapy for as-needed use or in acute exacerbations. $^{359,454}$ (Evidence A). A face mask is added for children under 4 years. When delivery is not optimal because of lack of cooperation or distress, or when the child is hypoxic, nebulizer therapy is also an option.

Other bronchodilators

There is no evidence to support the use of anticholinergic agents such as inhaled ipratropium bromide in the routine management of asthma in children 5 years and younger. $^{455}$ (Evidence A)

Oral bronchodilator therapy is not recommended due to its slower onset of action and the higher rate of side effects.

Other therapies

Theophylline

Although a few studies in children 5 years and younger suggest clinical benefit from regular use of theophylline, the effects are small and mostly non-significant. $^{413}$ The efficacy of theophylline as initial therapy is less than that of low dose ICS, and side effects are more common, $^{413}$ so theophylline is only recommended for use when ICS are not available (Evidence D).

Allergen immunotherapy

Immunotherapy is not recommended for the treatment or prophylaxis of asthma in children 5 years and younger (Evidence D).
Chapter 6.
Non-pharmacological therapies and strategies

Both pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapies and strategies are important in asthma management. Evidence for the effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions varies, as summarized in Box A6-1; those that are supported by the most robust evidence are presented first.

SMOKING CESSATION AND AVOIDANCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL TOBACCO SMOKE

Cigarette smoking has multiple deleterious effects in people with established asthma, in addition to its other well-known effects such as increased risk of lung cancer, COPD and cardiovascular disease; and, with exposure in pregnancy, increased risk of asthma and lower respiratory infections in children. In people with asthma (children and adults), exposure to passive smoke increases the risk of hospitalization and poor asthma control. Active smoking is associated with increased risk of poor asthma control, hospital admissions and, in some studies, death from asthma; it increases the rate of decline of lung function and may lead to COPD; and it reduces the effectiveness of inhaled and oral corticosteroids. After smoking cessation, lung function improves and airway inflammation decreases. Reduction of passive smoke exposure improves asthma control and reduces hospital admissions in adults and children.

Advice
- At every visit, strongly encourage people with asthma who smoke to quit. They should be provided with access to counseling and, if available, to smoking cessation programs (Evidence A).
- Strongly encourage people with asthma to avoid environmental smoke exposure (Evidence B).
- Advise parents/carers of children with asthma not to smoke and not to allow smoking in rooms or cars that their children use (Evidence A).
- Assess patients with a >10 pack-year smoking history for COPD or asthma–COPD overlap, as additional treatment strategies may be required (see Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention 2018, Chapter 5).

PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

For people with asthma, as in the general population, regular moderate physical activity has important health benefits including reduced cardiovascular risk and improved quality of life. Overall, physical activity has no benefit on lung function or asthma symptoms, but improved cardiopulmonary fitness may reduce the risk of dyspnea unrelated to airflow limitation being mistakenly attributed to asthma. In young people with asthma, swimming is well tolerated and leads to increased lung function and cardio-pulmonary fitness; however, there are some concerns about chlorine exposure with indoor pools. Exercise is an important cause of asthma symptoms for many asthma patients, but EIB can usually be reduced with maintenance ICS. Breakthrough exercise-related symptoms can be managed with SABA before or during exercise.

Advice
- Encourage people with asthma to engage in regular physical activity because of its general health benefits (Evidence A). However, regular physical activity confers no specific benefit on lung function or asthma symptoms per se, with the exception of swimming in young people with asthma (Evidence B).
- Provide patients with advice about prevention and management of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (Evidence A).
- There is insufficient evidence to recommend one form of physical activity over another (Evidence D).
### Box A6-1. Non-pharmacological interventions - Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Advice/recommendation (continued on next page)</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Cessation of smoking and ETS exposure**         | • At every visit, strongly encourage people with asthma who smoke to quit. Provide access to counseling and smoking cessation programs (if available)  
• Advise parents/carers of children with asthma not to smoke and not to allow smoking in rooms or cars that their children use  
• Strongly encourage people with asthma to avoid environmental smoke exposure  
• Assess smokers/ex-smokers for COPD or asthma–COPD overlap (ACO) (see GINA report 2018 Chapter 5), as additional treatment strategies may be required | A        |
| **Physical activity**                             | • Encourage people with asthma to engage in regular physical activity because of its general health benefits  
• Provide advice about prevention and management of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (see GINA report 2017, Chapter 3D 160  
• Regular physical activity improves cardiopulmonary fitness, but confers no other specific benefit on lung function or asthma symptoms per se, with the exception of swimming in young people with asthma  
• There is little evidence to recommend one form of physical activity over another | A        |
| **Avoidance of occupational exposures**           | • Ask all patients with adult-onset asthma about their work history and other exposures  
• In management of occupational asthma, identify and eliminate occupational sensitizers as soon as possible, and remove sensitized patients from any further exposure to these agents  
• Patients with suspected or confirmed occupational asthma should be referred for expert assessment and advice, if available | A        |
| **Avoidance of medications that may make asthma worse** | • Always ask about asthma before prescribing NSAIDs, and advise patients to stop using them if asthma worsens  
• Always ask people with asthma about concomitant medications  
• Aspirin and NSAIDs are not generally contraindicated unless there is a history of previous reactions to these agents (see GINA report 2018, Chapter 3D 160  
• Decide about prescription of oral or intra-ocular beta-blockers on a case-by-case basis. Initiate treatment under close medical supervision by a specialist  
• If cardioselective beta-blockers are indicated for acute coronary events, asthma is not an absolute contra-indication, but the relative risks/benefits should be considered | A        |
| **Healthy diet**                                  | • Encourage patients with asthma to consume a diet high in fruit and vegetables for its general health benefits | A        |
| **Avoidance of indoor allergens**                 | • Allergen avoidance is not recommended as a general strategy in asthma  
• For sensitized patients, there is limited evidence of clinical benefit for asthma with single-strategy indoor allergen avoidance  
• Remediation of dampness or mold in homes reduces asthma symptoms and medication use in adults  
• For patients sensitized to house dust mite and/or pets, there is limited evidence of clinical benefit for asthma with avoidance strategies (only in children)  
• Allergen avoidance strategies are often complicated and expensive, and there are no validated methods for identifying those who are likely to benefit | A        |
## Non-pharmacological interventions - Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Advice/recommendation</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Weight reduction** | • Include weight reduction in the treatment plan for obese patients with asthma  
• For obese adults with asthma a weight reduction program plus twice-weekly aerobic and strength exercises is more effective for symptom control than weight reduction alone | B B |
| **Allergen immunotherapy** | • For adult patients with allergic rhinitis and sensitized to HDM, with exacerbations despite low to high dose ICS, consider adding sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT), provided FEV₁ is >70% predicted.  
• As for any treatment, potential benefits of allergen immunotherapy (SCIT or SLIT) for individual patients should be weighed against the risk of adverse effects, and the cost to the patient and health system, including for SCIT the minimum half-hour wait required after each injection. | B D |
| **Breathing exercises** | • Breathing exercises may be a useful supplement to asthma pharmacotherapy | B |
| **Avoidance of indoor air pollution** | • Encourage people with asthma to use non-polluting heating and cooking sources, and for sources of pollutants to be vented outdoors where possible | B |
| **Vaccinations** | • People with asthma, particularly children and the elderly, are at higher risk of pneumococcal disease, but there is insufficient evidence to recommend routine pneumococcal vaccination in people with asthma  
• Advise patients with moderate-severe asthma to have an influenza vaccination every year, or at least when vaccination of the general population is advised | B D |
| **Bronchial thermoplasty** | • For highly-selected adult patients with uncontrolled asthma despite use of recommended therapeutic regimens and referral to an asthma specialty center (GINA Step 5), bronchial thermoplasty is a potential treatment option in some countries.  
• Caution should be used in selecting patients for this procedure, as the number of studies is small, and people with chronic sinus disease, frequent chest infections or FEV₁ <60% predicted were excluded. | B D |
| **Avoidance of outdoor allergens** | • For sensitized patients, when pollen and mold counts are highest, closing windows and doors, remaining indoors, and using air conditioning may reduce exposure to outdoor allergens | D |
| **Dealing with emotional stress** | • Encourage patients to identify goals and strategies to deal with emotional stress if it makes their asthma worse  
• There is insufficient evidence to support one stress-reduction strategy over another, but relaxation strategies and breathing exercises may be helpful  
• Arrange a mental health assessment for patients with symptoms of anxiety or depression | D B D |
| **Avoidance of outdoor air pollutants/weather conditions** | • In general, when asthma is well-controlled, there is no need for patients to modify their lifestyle to avoid unfavorable outdoor conditions (air pollutants, weather)  
• It may be helpful during unfavorable environmental conditions (very cold weather, low humidity or high air pollution) to avoid strenuous outdoors physical activity and stay indoors in a climate-controlled environment; and during viral infections to avoid polluted environments | D D |
| **Avoidance of foods and food chemicals** | • Food avoidance should not be recommended unless an allergy or food chemical sensitivity has been clearly demonstrated, usually by carefully supervised oral challenges  
• For confirmed food allergy, food allergen avoidance may reduce asthma exacerbations  
• If food chemical sensitivity is confirmed, complete avoidance is not usually necessary, and sensitivity often decreases when asthma control improves | D D D |
AVOIDANCE OF OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURES

Occupational exposures to allergens or sensitizers account for a substantial proportion of the incidence of adult asthma.\textsuperscript{460} Once a patient has become sensitized to an occupational allergen, the level of exposure necessary to induce symptoms may be extremely low, and resulting exacerbations become increasingly severe. Attempts to reduce occupational exposure have been successful, especially in industrial settings.\textsuperscript{53} Cost-effective minimization of latex sensitization can be achieved by using non-powdered low-allergen gloves instead of powdered latex gloves.\textsuperscript{63}

Advice

• Ask all patients with adult-onset asthma about their work history and other exposures (Evidence A).

• In management of occupational asthma, identify and eliminate occupational sensitizers as soon as possible, and remove sensitized patients from any further exposure to these agents (Evidence A).

• Patients with suspected or confirmed occupational asthma should be referred for expert assessment and advice, if available, because of the economic and legal implications of the diagnosis (Evidence A).

AVOIDANCE OF MEDICATIONS THAT MAY MAKE ASTHMA WORSE

Aspirin and other NSAIDs can cause severe exacerbations.\textsuperscript{461} Beta-blocker drugs administered orally or intra-ocularly may cause bronchospasm\textsuperscript{462} and have been implicated in some asthma deaths. However, beta-blockers have a proven benefit in the management of cardiovascular disease. People with asthma who have had an acute coronary event and received cardio-selective beta blockers within 24 hours of hospital admission have been found to have lower in-hospital mortality rates.\textsuperscript{463}

Advice

• Always ask people with asthma about concomitant medications (Evidence A).

• Always ask about asthma and previous reactions before prescribing NSAIDs, and advise patients to stop using these medications if asthma worsens.

• Aspirin and NSAIDs are not generally contraindicated in asthma unless there is a history of previous reactions to these agents (Evidence A). (See ‘Aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease’ in GINA report.)\textsuperscript{160}

• For people who may benefit from oral or intra-ocular beta-blocker treatment, a decision to prescribe these medications should be made on a case-by-case basis, and treatment should only be initiated under close medical supervision by a specialist (Evidence D).

• Asthma should not be regarded as an absolute contraindication to use cardioselective beta-blockers when they are indicated for acute coronary events, but the relative risks and benefits should be considered (Evidence D). The prescribing physician and patient should be aware of the risks and benefits of treatment.\textsuperscript{464}

AVOIDANCE OF INDOOR ALLERGENS

Because many asthma patients react to multiple factors that are ubiquitous in the environment, avoiding these factors completely is usually impractical and very limiting to the patient. Medications to maintain good asthma control have an important role because patients are often less affected by environmental factors when their asthma is well-controlled.

There is conflicting evidence about whether measures to reduce exposure to indoor allergens are effective at reducing asthma symptoms.\textsuperscript{465} The majority of single interventions have failed to achieve a sufficient reduction in allergen load to lead to clinical improvement.\textsuperscript{465-467} It is likely that no single intervention will achieve sufficient benefits to be cost effective (Box A6-2).

Domestic mites: these mites live and thrive in many sites throughout the house so they are difficult to reduce and impossible to eradicate. A systematic review of multi-component interventions to reduce allergens including house dust mite showed no benefit for asthma in adults and a small benefit for children.\textsuperscript{468} One study that used a rigorously applied
integrated approach to dust mite control led to a significant decrease in symptoms, medication use and improvement in pulmonary function for children with dust mite sensitization and asthma. However, this approach is complicated and expensive and is not generally recommended. A study in mite-sensitized children recruited after emergency department presentation showed a decrease in emergency department visits, but not oral corticosteroids, with the use of mite-impermeable encasement of the mattress, pillow and duvet.

Furred animals: complete avoidance of pet allergens is impossible for sensitized patients as these allergens are ubiquitous outside the home in schools, public transport, and even cat-free buildings, probably transferred on clothes. Although removal of such animals from the home of a sensitized patient is encouraged, it can be many months before allergen levels decrease and the clinical effectiveness of this and other interventions remains unproven.

Rodents: symptomatic patients suspected of domestic exposure to rodents should be evaluated with skin prick tests or specific IgE, as exposure may not be apparent unless there is an obvious infestation. High level evidence for the effectiveness of removing rodents is lacking, as most integrated pest management interventions also remove other allergen sources; one non-sham-controlled study showed comparable clinical improvement with pest reduction education and integrated pest management.

### Box A6.2. Effectiveness of avoidance measures for indoor allergens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Evidence of effect on allergen levels</th>
<th>Evidence of clinical benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>House dust mites</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encase bedding in impermeable covers</td>
<td>Some (A)</td>
<td>Adults - none (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash bedding on hot cycle (55–60°C)</td>
<td>Some (C)</td>
<td>Children - some (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace carpets with hard flooring</td>
<td>Some (B)</td>
<td>None (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acaricides and/or tannic acid</td>
<td>Weak (C)</td>
<td>None (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimize objects that accumulate dust</td>
<td>None (D)</td>
<td>None (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum cleaners with integral HEPA filter and double-thickness bags</td>
<td>Weak (C)</td>
<td>None (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove, hot wash, or freeze soft toys</td>
<td>None (D)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove cat/dog from the home</td>
<td>Weak (C)</td>
<td>None (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep pet from the main living areas/bedrooms</td>
<td>Weak (C)</td>
<td>None (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPA-filter air cleaners</td>
<td>Some (B)</td>
<td>None (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash pet</td>
<td>Weak (C)</td>
<td>None (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace carpets with hard flooring</td>
<td>None (D)</td>
<td>None (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum cleaners with integral HEPA filter and double-thickness bags</td>
<td>None (D)</td>
<td>None (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cockroaches</strong></td>
<td>Minimal (D)</td>
<td>None (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bait plus professional extermination of cockroaches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rodents</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated pest management strategies</td>
<td>Some (B)</td>
<td>Some (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungi</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remediation of dampness or mold in homes</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air filters, air conditioning</td>
<td>Some (B)</td>
<td>None (D)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table is adapted from Custovic et al
Cockroaches: avoidance measures for cockroaches are only partially effective in removing residual allergens\(^\text{479}\) and evidence of clinical benefit is lacking.

Fungi: fungal exposure has been associated with asthma exacerbations. The number of fungal spores can best be reduced by removing or cleaning mold-laden objects.\(^\text{480}\) Air conditioners and dehumidifiers may be used to reduce humidity to less than 50% and to filter large fungal spores. However, air conditioning and sealing of windows have also been associated with increases in fungal and house dust mite allergens.\(^\text{481}\)

Advice
- Allergen avoidance is not recommended as a general strategy for people with asthma (Evidence A).
- For sensitized patients, although it would seem logical to attempt to avoid allergen exposure in the home, there is some evidence for clinical benefit with single avoidance strategies (Evidence A) and only limited evidence for benefit with multi-component avoidance strategies (in children) (Evidence B).
- Although allergen avoidance strategies may be beneficial for some sensitized patients (Evidence B), they are often complicated and expensive, and there are no validated methods for identifying those who are likely to benefit (Evidence D).

HEALTHY DIET

In the general population, a diet high in fresh fruit and vegetables has many health benefits, including prevention of many chronic diseases and forms of cancer. Many epidemiological studies report that a high fruit and vegetable diet is associated with a lower risk of asthma and lung function decline. There is some evidence that increasing fruit and vegetable intake leads to an improvement in asthma control and a reduced risk of exacerbations.\(^\text{482}\)

Advice
- Encourage patients with asthma to consume a diet high in fruit and vegetables for its general health benefits (Evidence A).

WEIGHT REDUCTION FOR OBESE PATIENTS

Asthma is more difficult to control in obese patients,\(^\text{483-485}\) and response to ICS may be reduced.\(^\text{486}\) Weight loss improves asthma control, lung function and health status, and reduces medication needs in obese patients with asthma.\(^\text{487,488}\) The most striking results have been observed after bariatric surgery,\(^\text{489,490}\) but even 5–10% weight loss with diet, with or without exercise, can lead to improved asthma control and quality of life.\(^\text{491}\)

In one study of obese patients with asthma, a weight loss program plus twice-weekly aerobic and strength exercises improved symptom control, lung function and inflammatory markers compared with weight loss alone (Evidence B). In this study, cases (n=28) received a weight loss program plus twice-weekly sessions of aerobic (50–75% of peak \(V_{\text{O}_2}\)) and resistance muscle training, and controls (n=27) received a weight loss program plus twice-weekly sham breathing and stretching exercises.\(^\text{492}\)

Advice
- Include weight reduction in the treatment plan for obese patients with asthma (Evidence B). Increased exercise alone appears to be insufficient (Evidence B).

ALLERGEN IMMUNOTHERAPY

Allergen-specific immunotherapy may be a treatment option where allergy plays a prominent role, e.g. asthma with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis. There are currently two approaches: subcutaneous immunotherapy (SCIT) and sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT). In the past, few studies in asthma have compared immunotherapy with pharmacological therapy, or used standardized outcomes such as exacerbations, and most studies have been in patients with mild asthma.
**Subcutaneous immunotherapy (SCIT)**

SCIT involves the identification and use of clinically relevant allergens, and administration of extracts in progressively higher doses to induce desensitization and/or tolerance. European physicians tend to favor single allergen immunotherapy whereas Northern American physicians prescribe multiple allergens for treatment. In people with asthma and allergic sensitization, SCIT is associated with a reduction in symptom scores and medication requirements, and improved allergen-specific and non-specific airway hyperresponsiveness.

For SCIT, local injection site reactions may range from a minimal immediate wheal and flare to a large, painful, delayed allergic response. Uncommon systemic effects include anaphylactic reactions, which may be life threatening, and severe asthma exacerbations. Deaths from SCIT, although rare, have occurred in people with asthma regardless of disease severity.

**Advice**
- Compared to pharmacological and avoidance options, potential benefits of SCIT must be weighed against the risk of adverse effects and the inconvenience and cost of the prolonged course of therapy, including the minimum half-hour wait required after each injection (Evidence D).

**Sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT)**

Modest effects were identified in a systematic review of SLIT for asthma in adults and children, but there was concern about the design of many of the studies. There are few studies comparing SLIT with pharmacological therapy for asthma. A recent trial of SLIT for house dust mites (HDM) in patients with asthma and HDM allergic rhinitis demonstrated a modest reduction of ICS with high dose SLIT. In another study in patients with asthma and HDM allergic rhinitis, SLIT added to low or moderate dose ICS showed increased time to exacerbation during ICS reduction.

Side effects from SLIT for inhalant allergens are predominantly limited to oral and gastrointestinal symptoms.

**Advice**
- For adult patients with allergic rhinitis and sensitized to house dust mite, with exacerbations despite low-high dose ICS, consider adding sublingual allergen immunotherapy (SLIT), provided FEV\textsubscript{1} is >70% predicted
- As for any treatment, potential benefits of SLIT for individual patients should be weighed against the risk of adverse effects, and the cost to the patient and health system.

**BREATHING EXERCISES**

A systematic review of studies of breathing and/or relaxation exercises for asthma and/or dysfunctional breathing, including the Buteyko method and the Papworth method, reported improvements in symptoms, quality of life and/or psychological measures, but not in physiological outcomes. In order for studies of non-pharmacological strategies such as breathing exercises to be considered high quality, control groups should be appropriately matched for level of contact with health professionals and for asthma education. A study of two physiologically contrasting breathing exercises, which were matched for contact with health professionals and instructions about rescue inhaler use, showed similar improvements in reliever use and ICS dose after down-titration in both groups. This suggests that perceived improvement with breathing exercises may be largely due to factors such as relaxation, voluntary reduction in use of rescue medication, or engagement of the patient in their care. The cost of some programs may be a potential limitation.

**Advice**
- Breathing exercises may be considered as a supplement to conventional asthma management strategies (Evidence B), including in anxious patients or those who habitually over-use rescue medication.
AVOIDANCE OF INDOOR AIR POLLUTION

In addition to passive and active smoking, other major indoor air pollutants that are known to impact on respiratory health include nitric oxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, sulfur dioxide, formaldehyde, and biologicals (endotoxin). Sources include cooking and heating devices, particularly if they are not externally flued (vented). Installation of non-polluting, more effective heating (heat pump, wood pellet burner, flued gas) in the homes of children with asthma does not significantly improve lung function but significantly reduces symptoms of asthma, days off school, healthcare utilization, and pharmacist visits.

Advice
- Encourage people with asthma to use non-polluting heating and cooking sources, and for sources of pollutants to be vented outdoors where possible (Evidence B).

VACCINATIONS

Influenza causes significant morbidity and mortality in the general population, and contributes to some acute asthma exacerbations. The risk of influenza infection itself can be reduced by annual vaccination. A systematic review of influenza vaccination for adults and children with asthma failed to demonstrate a protective effect against influenza infection, but few studies were included. This review also failed to identify any increase in asthma exacerbations in the immediate post-vaccination period when inactivated trivalent vaccines were compared to placebo. Limited evidence exists with respect to the safety and efficacy of live attenuated intranasal vaccination in children; however, most of the evidence that does exist is restricted to children 3 years and older.

People with asthma, particularly children and the elderly, are at higher risk of pneumococcal disease, but there is insufficient evidence to recommend routine pneumococcal vaccination in people with asthma.

Advice
- Advise patients with moderate to severe asthma to receive an influenza vaccination every year, or at least when vaccination of the general population is advised (Evidence D).
- Advise patients that influenza vaccination would not be expected to reduce the frequency or severity of asthma exacerbations (Evidence A).
- There is insufficient evidence to recommend routine pneumococcal vaccination in people with asthma (Evidence D).

BRONCHIAL THERMOPLASTY

In this bronchoscopic treatment, the airways are treated during three separate bronchoscopies with a localized radiofrequency pulse. The treatment is associated with a large placebo effect. In studies of patients taking high-dose ICS/LABA, the treatment is associated with an increase in asthma exacerbations during the 3 month treatment period, and a subsequent decrease in exacerbations, but no beneficial effect on lung function or asthma symptoms compared with sham-treated patients. Extended follow up of some of the cohort confirmed a sustained reduction in exacerbations compared with pre-treatment. However, longer-term follow up of larger cohorts comparing effectiveness and safety, including for lung function, in both active and sham-treated patients is needed.

Advice
- For adult patients whose asthma remains uncontrolled despite application of recommended therapeutic regimens and referral to an asthma specialty center, bronchial thermoplasty is a potential treatment option at Step 5 in some countries (Evidence B).
- Caution should be used in selecting patients for this procedure. The number of studies is small, and people with chronic sinus disease, frequent chest infections or FEV1 <60% predicted were excluded.
The initial consensus recommendations by GINA about bronchial thermoplasty were based on an assessment of evidence using GRADE methodology, and were updated in 2014 following a review of later evidence. The 2014 ERS/ATS Task Force on Severe Asthma recommends that bronchial thermoplasty should be performed in adults with severe asthma only in the context of an independent Institutional Review Board-approved systematic registry or a clinical study, so further evidence about effectiveness and safety of the procedure can be accumulated.198

VITAMIN D

Several cross-sectional studies have shown that low serum levels of Vitamin D are linked to impaired lung function, higher exacerbation frequency and reduced corticosteroid response.514 In a meta-analysis, benefit for asthma worsenings was seen in some studies, but to date, there is no good-quality evidence that Vitamin D supplementation leads to improvement in asthma control or reduction in exacerbations.515 More studies are needed.

STRATEGIES FOR DEALING WITH EMOTIONAL STRESS

Emotional stress may lead to asthma exacerbations in children516 and adults. Hyperventilation associated with laughing, crying, anger, or fear can cause airway narrowing.517,518 Panic attacks have a similar effect.519,520 However, it is important to note that asthma is not primarily a psychosomatic disorder. During stressful times, medication adherence may also decrease.

Advice
- Encourage patients to identify goals and strategies to deal with emotional stress if it makes their asthma worse (Evidence D).
- There is insufficient evidence to support one strategy over another, but relaxation strategies and breathing exercises may be helpful in reducing asthma symptoms (Evidence B).
- Arrange a mental health assessment for patients with symptoms of anxiety or depression (Evidence D).

AVOIDANCE OF OUTDOOR ALLERGENS

For patients sensitized to outdoor allergens such as pollens and molds, these are impossible to avoid completely.

Advice
- For sensitized patients, closing windows and doors, remaining indoors when pollen and mold counts are highest, and using air conditioning may reduce exposure (Evidence D).
- The impact of providing information in the media about outdoor allergen levels is difficult to assess.

AVOIDANCE OF OUTDOOR AIR POLLUTION

Meta-analysis of epidemiological studies showed a significant association between air pollutants such as ozone, nitrogen oxides, acidic aerosols, and particulate matter and symptoms or exacerbations of asthma, including emergency room visits and hospitalizations.109 Certain weather and atmospheric conditions like thunderstorms521 may trigger asthma exacerbations by a variety of mechanisms, including dust and pollution, by increasing the level of respirable allergens, and causing changes in temperature and/or humidity. Reduction of outdoor air pollutants usually requires national or local policy changes. For example, short-term traffic restrictions imposed in Beijing during the Olympics reduced pollution and was associated with a significant fall in asthma outpatient visits.522

Advice
- In general, when asthma is well-controlled, there is no need for patients to modify their lifestyle to avoid unfavorable outdoor conditions (air pollutants, weather).
- It may be helpful during unfavorable environmental conditions (very cold weather, low humidity or high air pollution) to avoid strenuous outdoor physical activity and stay indoors in a climate-controlled environment; and avoiding polluted environments during viral infections (Evidence D)
AVOIDANCE OF FOOD AND FOOD CHEMICALS

Food allergy as an exacerbating factor for asthma is uncommon and occurs primarily in young children. Confirmed food allergy is a risk factor for asthma-related mortality.523

Food chemicals, either naturally occurring or added during processing, may also trigger asthma symptoms especially when asthma is poorly controlled. Sulfites (common food and drug preservatives found in such foods as processed potatoes, shrimp, dried fruits, beer, and wine) have often been implicated in causing severe asthma exacerbations.524 However, the likelihood of a reaction is dependent on the nature of the food, the level and form of residual sulfite, the sensitivity of the patient, and the mechanism of the sulfite-induced reaction.524 There is little evidence to support any general role for other dietary substances including benzoate, the yellow dye, tartrazine, and monosodium glutamate in worsening asthma.

Advice

- Ask people with asthma about symptoms associated with any specific foods (Evidence D).
- Food avoidance should not be recommended unless an allergy or food chemical sensitivity has been clearly demonstrated (Evidence D), usually by carefully supervised oral challenges.523
- If food allergy is confirmed, food allergen avoidance can reduce asthma exacerbations (Evidence D).
- If food chemical sensitivity is confirmed, complete avoidance is not usually necessary, and sensitivity often decreases when overall asthma control improves (Evidence D).
CHAPTER 7
Implementing asthma management strategies in health systems

KEY POINTS

- In order to improve asthma care and patient outcomes, evidence-based recommendations must be not only developed, but also adequately disseminated and implemented at a national and local level, and integrated into current practice.
- Implementation requires an evidence-based strategy involving professional groups and stakeholders, and should take into account local cultural and socioeconomic conditions and cost-effectiveness, so a decision can be made to pursue or modify them.
- GINA aims to guide implementation of its recommendations, provide examples of current implementation strategies, and offer a series of tools to help achieve this goal worldwide.

INTRODUCTION

Due to the exponential increase in medical research publications, practical syntheses are needed to guide health care providers in delivering evidence-based care. Where asthma care is consistent with evidence-based recommendations, outcomes improve.\textsuperscript{525-527} Strategy documents such as the Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention provide a common template for health professionals to identify the main goals of treatment and the actions required to ensure their fulfilment in their own health system, as well as to facilitate the establishment of standards of care.

Guidelines and clinical practice recommendations now generally utilize specific methodology for evaluating and adapting evidence, ensuring development of unbiased, well-adapted recommendations.\textsuperscript{528,529} However, increasing effort should be devoted to dissemination of recommendations and, most importantly, to their implementation at different levels so that integration into care is promoted and facilitated.

The recent adoption of rigorous methodologies such as GRADE\textsuperscript{528} for the development of clinical practice recommendations, and the ADAPTE and similar approaches for assisting the adaptation of recommendations for local country and regional conditions, has assisted in reducing biased opinion as the basis for asthma programs worldwide. However, use of the GRADE method is costly and often requires expertise that is not available locally, and regular revision to remain abreast of developments (drug availability and new evidence) is not easily achieved.\textsuperscript{528,529} In addition, there is generally very limited high quality evidence addressing the many decision nodes in comprehensive clinical practice guidelines, particularly in developing countries.

GINA provides assistance for the processes of adaptation and implementation through provision of the Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention report,\textsuperscript{160} which contains evidence relevant to asthma diagnosis, management and prevention that may be used in the formulation and adaptation of local guidelines; where evidence is lacking, the GINA report provides approaches for consideration. An implementation ‘toolkit’ is also being developed, to provide a guide to local adaptation and implementation, with materials and advice from successful examples of asthma clinical practice guideline development and implementation in different settings.

Many barriers to, and facilitators of, implementation procedures have been described.\textsuperscript{530-533} Some of these are related to delivery of care, while others relate to patients’ attitudes and behaviors (Box A7-1). Cultural and economic barriers can particularly affect the application of recommendations.
Box A7-1 Examples of barriers to the implementation of evidence-based recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health care providers</th>
<th>Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Insufficient knowledge of recommendations</td>
<td>• Low health literacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of agreement with recommendations or expectation that they will be effective</td>
<td>• Insufficient understanding of asthma and its management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Resistance to change</td>
<td>• Lack of agreement with recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• External barriers (organizational, health policies, financial constraints)</td>
<td>• Cultural and economic barriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of time and resources</td>
<td>• Peer influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Medico-legal issues</td>
<td>• Attitudes, beliefs, preferences, fears and misconceptions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PLANNING AN IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

Implementation of asthma management strategies can be carried out at national, regional or local levels. Ideally, this should be a multidisciplinary effort involving many stakeholders, and using methods of knowledge translation that are considered cost effective. Any implementation initiative needs to consider the structure and function of the relevant health network and its components. Moreover, goals and implementation strategies will vary from country to country and within countries based on economics, culture and the physical and social environment.

The essential elements required to implement a health-related strategy are summarized in Box A7-2. The goals and processes for each of these components are summarized in the paragraphs that follow.

Box A7-2. Essential elements required to implement a health-related strategy

1. Develop a multidisciplinary working group
2. Assess the current status of asthma care delivery, care gaps and current needs
3. Select the material to be implemented, agree on main goals, identify key recommendations for diagnosis and treatment, and adapt them to the local context or environment
4. Identify barriers to, and facilitators of, implementation
5. Select an implementation framework and its component strategies
6. Develop a step-by-step implementation plan:
   o Select target populations and evaluable outcomes
   o Identify local resources to support implementation
   o Set timelines
   o Distribute tasks to members
   o Evaluate outcomes
7. Continuously review progress and results to determine if the strategy requires modification
1. Develop a multidisciplinary working group

From its initiation, the working group should ideally include representation from diverse professional groups including primary and secondary care health professionals and their associations, public health officials, non-governmental associations, patients, asthma advocacy groups, and the general public. Each member will contribute according to his or her expertise, resources and contacts. This may be done under the umbrella of national or local health societies or professional or scientific organizations, or through initiatives such as the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) and the Global Alliance against Chronic Respiratory Diseases (GARD). Knowledge translation specialists can be consulted to ensure optimal evidence-based implementation methods. Ideally, a project coordinator should be involved.

Public health strategies involving a broad coalition of stakeholders in asthma care, including medical societies, health care professionals, patient support groups, government, and the private sector, have been implemented in Australia, in the United States, and other countries.

2. Assess the current status of care delivery, care gaps and current needs in the target area

The working group should assess the current status of asthma care in the target country/region in terms of mortality and morbidity, indicators of delivery of quality care and available resources for implementation. Processes for referral, current care facilities and access to asthma medications, as well as the degree of understanding of the management recommendations by practitioners/caregivers also need to be evaluated. Current ‘care gaps’ and their determinants should be identified and their respective consequences estimated. This will aid in setting priorities (Box A7-3) and planning strategies that can fill the care gaps.

3. Select the material to be implemented, agree on main goals, identify key recommendations, and adapt them to the local context or environment

Once the material to be implemented has been selected (e.g. specific management recommendations from the GINA report), the working group should determine if any of the material requires adaptation to the local/regional context and environment. The working group should agree on realistic goals, and set priorities. Instruments such as the ADAPTE tool are available to guide the process of adaptation, including recommendations on planning and set-up, the adaptation process, and the production of the final document.

4. Identify barriers to, and facilitators of, implementation

The next step is to identify barriers to, and facilitators of, implementation in the target country/region, and develop appropriate strategies around this. In some areas, particularly in low-income countries, asthma may not be considered a high priority health concern in comparison to other respiratory diseases like tuberculosis and pneumonia. In such areas, practical asthma management strategies could include a simple algorithm for separating non-infectious from infectious respiratory illnesses; simple objective measurements for diagnosis and management such as peak flow variability; available, affordable and low-risk medications for achieving good asthma control; a simple process for recognizing severe asthma; and simple diagnosis and management approaches relevant to the facilities and limited resources available. Other local barriers such as the lack of availability of resources/medications, organizational problems, or communication issues between caregivers should also be addressed (Box A7-3).
### Box A7-3. Common asthma management care gaps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management care gap</th>
<th>Barriers to reducing the gap (examples)</th>
<th>Possible implementation strategy</th>
<th>Process and outcome measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Over/under-diagnosis of asthma</td>
<td>Lack of availability of lung function tests</td>
<td>Identification of nearby lung function facilities</td>
<td>% patients having lung function tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate assessment of asthma control</td>
<td>Lack of knowledge of criteria</td>
<td>Education/continuing medical education (CME)</td>
<td>Survey of use of criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of assessment of SABA use</td>
<td>Lack of direct questioning</td>
<td>Automated letter based on pharmacy dispensing</td>
<td>Number of canisters dispensed per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient environmental or preventative measures</td>
<td>Lack of time to explain</td>
<td>Increase access to educators; involve patients as educators</td>
<td>Survey implementation of intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of individualized pharmacotherapy</td>
<td>Insufficient knowledge of guideline</td>
<td>Education/CME</td>
<td>Assessment of treatment (e.g., audit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of education and guided self-management</td>
<td>Lack of availability of educators</td>
<td>Increase access to educators; involve patients as educators</td>
<td>% patients offered education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of an asthma action plan, or failure by</td>
<td>Not enough time to produce and explain the plan</td>
<td>Increase access to educators; involve patients as educators; provide clinicians with templates</td>
<td>% patients receiving written asthma action plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>patients to use their action plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No assessment of patients’ skills with inhalers,</td>
<td>Lack of time or knowledge</td>
<td>Systematic assessment at visits; provide device-specific checklists</td>
<td>% patients in whom technique is checked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No assessment of adherence to therapy</td>
<td>Not integrated into practice</td>
<td>Reminders; sample wording (see GINA report, Box 2-4); automated pharmacy letter</td>
<td>% patients in whom adherence is checked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No regular follow up; discontinuity of care</td>
<td>Lack of follow-up arrangements</td>
<td>Improved management</td>
<td>% patients having follow-up visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable/insufficient access to care; lack of</td>
<td>Insufficient resources</td>
<td>Increase resources; revise process</td>
<td>Assess continuity of care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>availability of asthma controllers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor communication between various groups of health</td>
<td>Lack of willingness to change</td>
<td>Organize joint sessions on asthma care</td>
<td>Focus group assessing this aspect of care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>care providers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Boulet et al. *A guide to the translation of the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) strategy into improved care.*534

**NOTE:** These are considered important care gaps according to current guidelines and consensus, but for some, specific evidence of improvement in asthma outcomes following their application is not yet available.

### 5. Select an implementation framework and its component strategies

The *Knowledge to action* model has been proposed as a framework for guideline implementation but other models can also be considered.541 This framework allows a continuing circle of improvement and the integration of new evidence/guidelines updates into the intervention process. Using this framework, a series of strategies can be proposed based on their ability to address the previously identified care gaps and barriers. Box A7-4 lists examples of high-impact interventions for asthma management. Quality of care improvements are made in progressive steps with regular assessment of their performance.
Ideally, interventions should be conducted at the level of both the patient and the health care provider. Studies of the most effective means of medical education show that it may be difficult to induce changes in clinical practice. However, among the most effective methods are:

- Reminders at the point of care
- Automated letter to patient and/or prescriber based on pharmacy dispensing
- Interactive workshops
- Audit and feedback
- Multifaceted interventions. These include methods such as medical audit and feedback, reminders, local consensus processes, marketing, and use of practice facilitators.
- Publications in journals that are associated with multidisciplinary symposia, workshops or conferences involving national and local experts, along with involvement of the professional and mass media can help to communicate key messages.
- Embedding guidelines into electronic health records is promising, but a recent review showed the challenges of developing integrated care pathways.

A useful resource for choosing the best implementation strategy is provided in the recommendations of the Cochrane Effective Practice and Organization of Care Review Group.

**Box A7-4 Examples of high-impact interventions in asthma management**

- Optimized ICS use for patients with a recent hospital admission and/or severe asthma
- Early treatment with ICS, guided self-management, reduction in exposure to tobacco smoke, improved access to asthma education
- Self-inking stamp prompting assessment of asthma control and treatment strategies
- Use of individualized written asthma action plans as part of self-management education
- An evidence-based care process model for acute and chronic pediatric asthma management, implemented at multiple hospitals.

ICS: inhaled corticosteroids

According to the *Knowledge to action* conceptual framework, the implementation process should include:

- A planning phase: in which key recommendations are prioritized for the targeted population, and key messages, main outcomes and actions to be taken are determined.
- An assessment phase: to review uptake by the target group and the impact of interventions.
- A monitoring and adjustment phase: in which outcomes selected for determination of the impact and sustainability of the intervention are assessed, and interventions are adjusted based on the findings.

Potential new tools for implementation include internet-based programs, social networks and electronic tools, although their effectiveness remains to be determined. In all cases, the messages must be simple, easily understood, practical and implementable.

### 6. Develop a step-by-step implementation plan

**Select target populations and outcomes**

Efforts should be devoted to the entire asthma population, but particularly to ‘at-risk’ or ‘high-morbidity’ populations. This includes patients with poor adherence to treatment or follow up; those who experience frequent exacerbations or frequently use the health care system; adolescents; elderly patients; and those with socioeconomic, psychological, psychosocial and economic problems. An alternative approach is to select a particular intervention and implement...
this in a population that is already under care; for example, patients attending for another clinical problem could be offered an asthma control assessment at that time.

Key outcomes and realistic targets should be identified, and the expected degree of change estimated (Box A7-5).

Box A7-5 Potential key outcomes and targets to consider for implementation programs

- Reduce asthma-related hospital admissions by 50% in the next 3 years
- Reduce emergency attendances (hospital and primary care) by 50% in the next 3 years
- Reduce asthma mortality rates by 80% in the next 5 years
- Have asthma control assessed in >80% of patients in the targeted population
- Achieve good asthma control in >80% of the patient population
- Ensure that >80% of patients with poor asthma control have had their medication optimized
- Have written asthma action plans provided to >80% of patients with diagnosed asthma
- Reduce acute health care costs related to asthma by 50%

Identify resources

Local support of implementation initiatives is essential, and funding should be identified at the level of governments, funding agencies, medical or professional societies and industry.

Set timelines

A specific agenda should be established, with timelines for roll-out and assessment of interventions.

Distribute tasks to members

Participants should understand their assigned tasks and agree with the agenda. The process could start on a small scale with the most motivated people. Successes are a source of motivation for all, so it is helpful to initially select interventions with the highest chance of success and with an achievable timeframe for their implementation (e.g. 3–6 months). Involvement of participants and their performance should be monitored.

Evaluate outcomes

An important part of the implementation process is to establish a means of evaluating the effectiveness of the program and any improvements in quality of care. The Cochrane Effective Practice and Organization of Care Group (EPOC) offers suggestions on how to assess the effectiveness of interventions.

Evaluation involves surveillance of traditional epidemiological parameters, such as morbidity and mortality, as well as specific audits of both process and outcome within different sectors of the health care system. Each country should determine its own minimum sets of data to audit health outcomes.

A variety of assessment tools are available to facilitate consistent and objective assessment of asthma morbidity and asthma control in the target population. Recording the results of these assessments at each clinical visit can provide the clinician with a long-term record of a patient’s response to their treatment. This type of direct feedback has several benefits. It is a means for the patient and health care provider to become familiar with good versus poor control of asthma (and to start to aim for the former); an indicator of changes in asthma control in response to changes in treatment; and a reference point against which deteriorating asthma can be evaluated. Use of administrative datasets (e.g. dispensing records) or urgent health care utilization can help to identify at-risk patients or to audit the quality of health care. A strategy that includes providing health care providers with direct feedback about specific health care results of their patients may be particularly important for general practitioners, who treat many diseases in addition to asthma, and thus could not be expected to know every guideline in detail.
7. Continuously review progress and results to determine if the strategy requires modification

Following the initial evaluation of outcomes of the implementation program, the working party should determine whether the strategies or initiatives need to be changed or improved. Methods should be established for ensuring that the intervention can be sustained, and individuals who will be responsible for ensuring its continuity should be identified, especially in terms of on-going financial and organizational support. Regular communications on the project’s impact on asthma outcomes may help to maintain interest in the project and ensure continued resources.

ECONOMIC VALUE OF IMPLEMENTING MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ASTHMA CARE

Cost is recognized as an important barrier to the delivery of optimal evidence-based health care in almost every country, although its impact on patients’ access to treatment varies widely both between and within countries. At the country or local level, health authorities make resource availability and allocation decisions that affect populations of asthma patients by considering the balance and trade-offs between costs and clinical outcomes (benefits and harms), often in the context of competing public health and medical needs. Treatment costs must also be explicitly considered at each consultation between health care provider and patient to assure that cost does not present a barrier to achieving good asthma control. Thus, those involved in the adaptation and implementation of asthma guidelines require an understanding of both the cost and cost effectiveness of various management recommendations in asthma care.

GINA DISSEMINATION AND IMPLEMENTATION RESOURCES

Educational materials based on the Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention are available in several forms and can be found on the GINA Website (www.ginasthma.org).
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