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Asthma is a serious global health problem. People of all ages in countries throughout the world are affected by this chronic airway disorder that, when uncontrolled, can place severe limits on daily life and is sometimes fatal. The prevalence of asthma is increasing in most countries, especially among children. Asthma is a significant burden, not only in terms of health care costs but also of lost productivity and reduced participation in family life.

During the past two decades, we have witnessed many scientific advances that have improved our understanding of asthma and our ability to manage and control it effectively. However, the diversity of national health care service systems and variations in the availability of asthma therapies require that recommendations for asthma care be adapted to local conditions throughout the global community. In addition, public health officials require information about the costs of asthma care, how to effectively manage this chronic disorder, and education methods to develop asthma care services and programs responsive to the particular needs and circumstances within their countries.

In 1993, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute collaborated with the World Health Organization to convene a workshop that led to a Workshop Report: *Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention*. This presented a comprehensive plan to manage asthma with the goal of reducing chronic disability and premature deaths while allowing patients with asthma to lead productive and fulfilling lives.

At the same time, the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) was implemented to develop a network of individuals, organizations, and public health officials to disseminate information about the care of patients with asthma while at the same time assuring a mechanism to incorporate the results of scientific investigations into asthma care. Publications based on the GINA Report were prepared and have been translated into languages to promote international collaboration and dissemination of information. To disseminate information about asthma care, a GINA Assembly was initiated, comprised of asthma care experts from many countries to conduct workshops with local doctors and national opinion leaders and to hold seminars at national and international meetings. In addition, GINA initiated an annual World Asthma Day (in 2001) which has gained increasing attention each year to raise awareness about the burden of asthma, and to initiate activities at the local/national level to educate families and health care professionals about effective methods to manage and control asthma.

In spite of these dissemination efforts, international surveys provide direct evidence for suboptimal asthma control in many countries, despite the availability of effective therapies. It is clear that if recommendations contained within this report are to improve care of people with asthma, every effort must be made to encourage health care leaders to assure availability of and access to medications, and develop means to implement effective asthma management programs including the use of appropriate tools to measure success.

In 2002, the GINA Report stated that “it is reasonable to expect that in most patients with asthma, control of the disease can, and should be achieved and maintained.” To meet this challenge, in 2005, Executive Committee recommended preparation of a new report not only to incorporate updated scientific information but to implement an approach to asthma management based on asthma control, rather than asthma severity. Recommendations to assess, treat and maintain asthma control are provided in this document. The methods used to prepare this document are described in the Introduction.

It is a privilege for me to acknowledge the work of the many people who participated in this update project, as well as to acknowledge the superlative work of all who have contributed to the success of the GINA program.

The GINA program has been conducted through unrestricted educational grants from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi Group, GlaxoSmithKline, Meda Pharma, Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma, Novartis, Nycomed, PharmAxis and Schering-Plough. The generous contributions of these companies assured that Committee members could meet together to discuss issues and reach consensus in a constructive and timely manner. The members of the GINA Committees are, however, solely responsible for the statements and conclusions presented in this publication.

GINA publications are available through the Internet (http://www.ginasthma.org).

Eric Bateman, MD  
Chair, GINA Executive Committee  
University of CapeTown Lung Institute  
Cape Town, South Africa
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METHODOLOGY AND SUMMARY OF NEW RECOMMENDATIONS GLOBAL STRATEGY FOR ASTHMA MANAGEMENT AND PREVENTION: 2009 UPDATE*

When the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) program was initiated in 1993, the primary goal was to produce recommendations for asthma management based on the best scientific information available. Its first report, NHLBI/WHO Workshop Report: Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention was issued in 1995 and revised in 2002 and 2006. These reports, and their companion documents, have been widely distributed and translated into many languages. The 2006 report was based on research published through June, 2006 and can be found on the GINA website (www.ginasthma.org).

The GINA Science Committee was established in 2002 to review published research on asthma management and prevention, to evaluate the impact of this research on recommendations in the GINA documents related to management and prevention, and to post yearly updates on the GINA website. The first update of the 2006 report (2007 update) included the impact of publications from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007; the 2008 updated included the impact of publications from July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008. This 2009 update includes the impact of publications from July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009.

Methods: The methodology used to produce this 2009 update included a Pub Med search using search fields established by the Committee: 1) asthma, All Fields, All ages, only items with abstracts, Clinical Trial, Human, sorted by Authors; and 2) asthma AND systematic, All fields, ALL ages, only items with abstracts, Human, sorted by author. In addition, publications in peer review journals not captured by Pub Med could be submitted to individual members of the Committee providing an abstract and the full paper were submitted in (or translated into) English.

All members of the Committee received a summary of citations and all abstracts. Each abstract was assigned to at least two Committee members, although all members were offered the opportunity to provide an opinion on any abstract. Members evaluated the abstract or, up to her/his judgment, the full publication, by answering specific written questions from a short questionnaire, and to indicate if the scientific data presented impacted on recommendations in the GINA report. If so, the member was asked to specifically identify modifications that should be made. The entire GINA Science Committee met twice yearly to discuss each publication that was felt would have an impact on asthma management and prevention by at least 1 member of the Committee, and to reach a consensus on the changes in the report. In the absence of consensus disagreements were decided by an open vote of the full committee.

Summary of Recommendations in the 2009 Update: Between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009, 392 articles met the search criteria; 10 additional publications were brought to the attention of the committee. Of the 402 articles, 23 papers were identified as having an impact on the GINA Report (updated 2009) that was posted on the website in December 2009 either by: 1) confirming, that is, adding to or replacing an existing reference, or 2) modifying, that is, changing the text or introducing a concept requiring a new recommendation to the report. The summary is reported in three segments: A) Modifications in the text; B) References that provided confirmation or an update of previous recommendations; and C) Changes or modifications to the text.

Asthma in Children 5 Years and Younger: In 2008, a number of pediatric experts developed a report which focused on asthma care in children 5 years and younger. The Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention in Children 5 Years and Younger was released in early 2009 (can be found on www.ginasthma.org). Accordingly, the Executive Summary “Managing Asthma in Children 5 Years and Younger” that appeared on pages xiv – xvii is deleted – see section C.

Asthma Control: In review of the published literature, the Committee determined that many changes were required in the segment “Classification of Asthma.” Accordingly, a new segment appears beginning on page 22 – see section D.1).

Evidence Reviews: In the preparation of GINA reports, including this 2009 update, levels of evidence has been completed using four categories as described on page xiii. The committee has had extensive discussions internally, as well as with proponents of a new methodology for describing recommendations (the GRADE system). The implications for the widespread adaptation of this system has been explored by the Committee with regard to resource implications, especially given the already
rigorous method of reviewing the literature and updating recommendations that is currently in place. The committee has decided that it would be inappropriate to implement this methodology for all the recommendations within GINA and recommended instead to use the methodology, selectively, especially where the balance between efficacy and cost effectiveness is unclear or where there is controversy with regard to the recommendation. The Committee applied GRADE to two questions (see section D.2) and will continue to explore the use of GRADE-like methodology for issues that require more in-depth evaluation.

A. Modifications in the text:

Pg 19, right column, insert end of first paragraph: although it has been shown that the use of FeNo as a measure of asthma control does not improve control or enable reduction in dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroid55. Reference 55. Szefler SJ, Mitchell H, Sorkness CA, Gergen PJ, O’Connor GT, Morgan WJ, et al. Management of asthma based on exhaled nitric oxide in addition to guideline-based treatment for inner-city adolescents and young adults: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2008 Sep 20;372(9643):1065-72.

Pg 30, left column, line 11 from end, insert: A meta-analysis of case-control studies of non-vertebral fractures in adults using inhaled glucocorticosteroids (BDP or equivalent) indicated that in older adults, the relative risk of non-vertebral fractures increases by about 12% for each 1000 µg/day increase in the dose BDP or equivalent but that the magnitude of this risk was considerably less than other common risk factors for fracture in the older adult213. Reference 213. Weatherall M, James K, Clay J, Perrin K, Masoli M, Wijesinghe M, Beasley R. Dose-response relationship for risk of non-vertebral fracture with inhaled corticosteroids. Clin Exp Allergy. 2008 Sep;38(9):1451-8. Epub 2008 Jun 3.

Pg 30, right column, last paragraph delete last sentence and references 52-54 and replace with: No association was found between Churg-Strauss syndrome and leukotriene modifiers, after controlling for asthma drug use, although it is not possible to rule out modest associations given that Churg-Strauss syndrome is so rare and so highly correlated with asthma severity52. New Reference 52. Harrold LR, Patterson K, Andrade SE, Dube T, Go AS, Buist AS, et al. Asthma drug use and the development of Churg-Strauss syndrome (CSS). Pharmcoepidemiology and Drug Safety. 2007;16:620-26.


Pg 34, left column, end of paragraph 1, insert: Data on a human monoclonal antibody against tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha suggest that the risk benefit equation does not favor the use of this class of treatments in severe asthma216. Reference 216. Wenzel SE, Barnes PJ, Bleecker ER, Bouguet J, Busse W, Dahlén SE, et al; T03 Asthma Investigators. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of tumor necrosis factor-alpha blockade in severe persistent asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2009 Apr 1;179(7):549-58. Epub 2009 Jan 8.

Pg 35, right column, beginning of paragraph 4, insert: Dietary supplements, including selenium therapy217 are not of proven benefit and the use of a low sodium diet as an adjunctive therapy to normal treatment has no additional therapeutic benefit in adults with asthma. In addition it has no effect on bronchial reactivity to methacholine217. Reference 217. Pogson ZE, Antoniak MD, Pacey SJ, Lewis SA, Britton JR, Fogarty AW. Does a low sodium diet improve asthma control? A randomized controlled trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2008 Jul 15;178(2):132-8. Epub 2008 May 1.

Pg 38, Figure 3.6, delete last statement and insert: Inhaled glucocorticosteroid use has the potential for reducing bone mineral accretion in male children progressing through puberty, but this risk is likely to be outweighed by the ability to reduce the amount of oral corticosteroids used in these children218. Reference 218. Kelly HW, Van Natta ML, Covar RA, Tonascia J, Green RP, Strunk RC; CAMP Research Group. Effect of long-term corticosteroid use on bone mineral density in children: a prospective longitudinal assessment in the childhood Asthma Management Program (CAMP) study. Pediatrics. 2008 Jul;122(1):e53-61.


Pg 51, right column, end of last paragraph, insert: Lay educators can be recruited and trained to deliver a discrete area of respiratory care (for example, asthma self-management education) with comparable outcomes to those achieved by primary care based practice nurses363 (Evidence B).


Pg 52, right column, last paragraph, delete first sentence and replace with: Although interventions for enhancing medication adherence have been developed363, studies of adults and children with asthma34 have shown that around 50% of those on long-term therapy fail to take medications as directed at least part of the time. Reference 363. Haynes RB, Ackloo E, Sahota N, McDonald HP, Yao X. Interventions for enhancing medication adherence. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008 Apr 16;(2):CD000011

Pg 55, left column, end of first paragraph, insert: Patients with well-controlled asthma are less likely to experience exacerbations than those whose asthma is not well-controlled364.


Pg 56, left column, end of third paragraph delete as indicated and insert: Installation of non-polluting, more effective heating (heat pump, wood pellet burner, flued gas) in the homes of children with asthma does not significantly improve lung function but does significantly reduce symptoms of asthma, days off school, healthcare utilization, and visits to a pharmacist365.


Pg 89, right column, first paragraph, insert: Use of administrative datasets (e.g., dispensing records) or urgent health care utilization can help to identify at-risk patients or to audit the quality of health care23. Reference 23. Bereznicki BJ, Peterson GM, Jackson SL, Walters EH, Fitzmaurice KD, Gee PR. Data-mining of medication records to improve asthma management. Med J Aust. 2008 Jul 7;189(1):21-5.

B. References that provided confirmation or update of previous recommendations:


C. Changes or modifications to the text.

*Pg xiv – xvii:* Delete section Executive Summary: Managing Asthma in Children 5 Years and Younger. This has been replaced with new report *Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention in Children 5 Years and Younger.*

*Pg 28, left column line 10,* delete: and other systemic steroid-sparing therapies.

*Pg 29, Figure 3-1:* Change Fluticasone to Fluticasone propionate.

*Pg 31, left column, end of paragraph 2,* insert: See Appendix B, GINA Pocket Guide updated 2009 for information on Asthma Combination Medications For Adults and Children 5 Years and Older.

*Pg 31, left column, beginning of paragraph 3,* insert: …when used as a combination medication with inhaled glucocorticosteroids…

*Pg 37, Figure 3-4:* Change Fluticasone to Fluticasone propionate.

*Pg 59, Figure 4.3-2:* Modifications to clarify wording on Figure; modify lower segment to read Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention in Children 5 Years and Younger. Available at www.ginasthma.org

D. Committee recommended changes:

1. **Asthma Control:** Based on a number of recent publications, the Committee recommended significant changes to the section on Classification of Asthma, pages 22 – 23. Figure 2-4 has been deleted. Former Figure 2-5 (now appears as Figure 2-4), has been modified. This modified Figure also appears on page 58 (as Figure 4.3-1).

2. **Grading Evidence:** The GINA Science Committee is developing a system to identify recommendations where there maybe controversy or debate between efficacy and cost. In addition there are some recommendations that have a less robust evidence base. In this context we see a possible role for the GRADE methodology providing a framework collate the evidence and create evidence tables. To assess the feasibility of this approach two questions were reviewed in 2009 to begin the work:

   a. *In adults with asthma, does monoclonal anti-IgE, omalizumab, compared to placebo improve patient outcomes?*

   b. *In adults with acute exacerbations of asthma, does intravenous magnesium sulphate compared to placebo improve patient important outcomes?*

Evidence tables were produced and are being evaluated and the results from this work are being prepared for publication. Further information will be provided in the 2010 update.
INTRODUCTION

Asthma is a serious public health problem throughout the world, affecting people of all ages. When uncontrolled, asthma can place severe limits on daily life, and is sometimes fatal.

In 1993, the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) was formed. Its goals and objectives were described in a 1995 NHLBI/WHO Workshop Report, Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention. This Report (revised in 2002), and its companion documents, have been widely distributed and translated into many languages. A network of individuals and organizations interested in asthma care has been created and several country-specific asthma management programs have been initiated. Yet much work is still required to reduce morbidity and mortality from this chronic disease.

In January 2004, the GINA Executive Committee recommended that the Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention be revised to emphasize asthma management based on clinical control, rather than classification of the patient by severity. This important paradigm shift for asthma care reflects the progress that has been made in pharmacologic care of patients. Many asthma patients are receiving, or have received, some asthma medications. The role of the health care professional is to establish each patient's current level of treatment and control, then adjust treatment to gain and maintain control. This means that asthma patients should experience no or minimal symptoms (including at night), have no limitations on their activities (including physical exercise), have no (or minimal) requirement for rescue medications, have near normal lung function, and experience only very infrequent exacerbations.

FUTURE CHALLENGES

In spite of laudable efforts to improve asthma care over the past decade, a majority of patients have not benefited from advances in asthma treatment and many lack even the rudiments of care. A challenge for the next several years is to work with primary health care providers and public health officials in various countries to design, implement, and evaluate asthma care programs to meet local needs. The GINA Executive Committee recognizes that this is a difficult task and, to aid in this work, has formed several groups of global experts, including: a Dissemination Task Group; the GINA Assembly, a network of individuals who care for asthma patients in many different health care settings; and regional programs (the first two being GINA Mesoamerica and GINA Mediterranean). These efforts aim to enhance communication with asthma specialists, primary-care health professionals, other health care workers, and patient support organizations. The Executive Committee continues to examine barriers to implementation of the asthma management recommendations, especially the challenges that arise in primary-care settings and in developing countries.

While early diagnosis of asthma and implementation of appropriate therapy significantly reduce the socioeconomic burdens of asthma and enhance patients' quality of life, medications continue to be the major component of the cost of asthma treatment. For this reason, the pricing of asthma medications continues to be a topic for urgent need and a growing area of research interest, as this has important implications for the overall costs of asthma management.

Moreover, a large segment of the world's population lives in areas with inadequate medical facilities and meager financial resources. The GINA Executive Committee recognizes that “fixed” international guidelines and “rigid” scientific protocols will not work in many locations. Thus, the recommendations found in this Report must be adapted to fit local practices and the availability of health care resources.

As the GINA Committees expand their work, every effort will be made to interact with patient and physician groups at national, district, and local levels, and in multiple health care settings, to continuously examine new and innovative approaches that will ensure the delivery of the best asthma care possible. GINA is a partner organization in a program launched in March 2006 by the World Health Organization, the Global Alliance Against Chronic Respiratory Diseases (GARD). Through the work of the GINA Committees, and in cooperation with GARD initiatives, progress toward better care for all patients with asthma should be substantial in the next decade.

METHODOLOGY

A. Preparation of yearly updates: Immediately following the release of an updated GINA Report in 2002, the Executive Committee appointed a GINA Science Committee, charged with keeping the Report up-to-date by reviewing published research on asthma management and prevention, evaluating the impact of this research on the management and prevention recommendations in the GINA documents, and posting yearly updates of these documents on the GINA website. The first update was
posted in October 2003, based on publications from January 2000 through December 2002. A second update appeared in October 2004, and a third in October 2005, each including the impact of publications from January through December of the previous year.

The process of producing the yearly updates began with a PubMed search using search fields established by the Committee: 1) asthma, All Fields, All ages, only items with abstracts, Clinical Trial, Human, sorted by Authors; and 2) asthma AND systematic, All fields, ALL ages, only items with abstracts, Human, sorted by Author. In addition, peer-reviewed publications not captured by PubMed could be submitted to individual members of the Committee providing an abstract and the full paper were submitted in (or translated into) English.

All members of the Committee received a summary of citations and all abstracts. Each abstract was assigned to two Committee members, and an opportunity to provide an opinion on any single abstract was offered to all members. Members evaluated the abstract or, up to her/his judgment, the full publication, by answering specific written questions from a short questionnaire, indicating whether the scientific data presented affected recommendations in the GINA Report. If so, the member was asked to specifically identify modifications that should be made. The entire GINA Science Committee met on a regular basis to discuss each individual publication that was judged by at least one member to have an impact on asthma management and prevention recommendations, and to reach a consensus on the changes in the Report. Disagreements were decided by vote.

The publications that met the search criteria for each yearly update (between 250 and 300 articles per year) mainly affected the chapters related to clinical management. Lists of the publications considered by the Science Committee each year, along with the yearly updated reports, are posted on the GINA website, www.ginasthma.org.

B. Preparation of new 2006 report: In January 2005, the GINA Science Committee initiated its work on this new report. During a two-day meeting, the Committee established that the main theme of the new report should be the control of asthma. A table of contents was developed, themes for each chapter identified, and writing teams formed. The Committee met in May and September 2005 to evaluate progress and to reach consensus on messages to be provided in each chapter. Throughout its work, the Committee made a commitment to develop a document that would: reach a global audience, be based on the most current scientific literature, and be as concise as possible, while at the same time recognizing that one of the values of the GINA Report has been to provide background information about asthma management and the scientific information on which management recommendations are based.

In January 2006, the Committee met again for a two-day session during which another in-depth evaluation of each chapter was conducted. At this meeting, members reviewed the literature that appeared in 2005—using the same criteria developed for the update process. The list of 285 publications from 2005 that were considered is posted on the GINA website. At the January meeting, it was clear that work remaining would permit the report to be finished during the summer of 2006 and, accordingly, the Committee requested that all publications appeared throughout early 2006, they be reviewed carefully for their impact on the recommendations. At the Committee’s next meeting in May, 2006 publications meeting the search criteria were considered and incorporated into the current drafts of the chapters, where appropriate. A final meeting of the Committee was held in September 2006, at which publications that appear prior to July 31, 2006 were considered for their impact on the document.

Periodically throughout the preparation of this report, representatives from the GINA Science Committee have met with members of the GINA Assembly (May and September, 2005 and May 2006) to discuss the overall theme of asthma control and issues specific to each of the chapters. The GINA Assembly includes representatives from over 50 countries and many participated in these interim discussions. In addition, members of the Assembly were invited to submit comments on a DRAFT document during the summer of 2006. Their comments, along with comments received from several individuals who were invited to serve as reviewers, were considered by the Committee in September, 2006.

Summary of Major Changes

The major goal of the revision was to present information about asthma management in as comprehensive manner as possible but not in the detail that would normally be found in a textbook. Every effort has been made to select key references, although in many cases, several other publications could be cited. The document is intended to be a resource; other summary reports will be prepared, including a Pocket Guide specifically for the care of infants and young children with asthma.
Some of the major changes that have been made in this report include:

1. Every effort has been made to produce a more streamlined document that will be of greater use to busy clinicians, particularly primary care professionals. The document is referenced with the up-to-date sources so that interested readers may find further details on various topics that are summarized in the report.

2. The whole of the document now emphasizes asthma control. There is now good evidence that the clinical manifestations of asthma—symptoms, sleep disturbances, limitations of daily activity, impairment of lung function, and use of rescue medications—can be controlled with appropriate treatment.

3. Updated epidemiological data, particularly drawn from the report *Global Burden of Asthma*, are summarized. Although from the perspective of both the patient and society the cost to control asthma seems high, the cost of not treating asthma correctly is even higher.

4. The concept of difficult-to-treat asthma is introduced and developed at various points throughout the report. Patients with difficult-to-treat asthma are often relatively insensitive to the effects of glucocorticosteroid medications, and may sometimes be unable to achieve the same level of control as other asthma patients.

5. Lung function testing by spirometry or peak expiratory flow (PEF) continues to be recommended as an aid to diagnosis and monitoring. Measuring the variability of airflow limitation is given increased prominence, as it is key to both asthma diagnosis and the assessment of asthma control.

6. The previous classification of asthma by severity into Intermittent, Mild Persistent, Moderate Persistent, and Severe Persistent is now recommended only for research purposes.

7. Instead, the document now recommends a classification of asthma by level of control: Controlled, Partly Controlled, or Uncontrolled. This reflects an understanding that asthma severity involves not only the severity of the underlying disease but also its responsiveness to treatment, and that severity is not an unvarying feature of an individual patient’s asthma but may change over months or years.

8. Throughout the report, emphasis is placed on the concept that the goal of asthma treatment is to achieve and maintain clinical control. Asthma control is defined as:

   - No (twice or less/week) daytime symptoms
   - No limitations of daily activities, including exercise
   - No nocturnal symptoms or awakening because of asthma
   - No (twice or less/week) need for reliever treatment
   - Normal or near-normal lung function results
   - No exacerbations

9. Emphasis is given to the concept that increased use, especially daily use, of reliever medication is a warning of deterioration of asthma control and indicates the need to reassess treatment.

10. The roles in therapy of several medications have evolved since previous versions of the report:

   - Recent data indicating a possible increased risk of asthma-related death associated with the use of long-acting ß2-agonists in a small group of individuals has resulted in increased emphasis on the message that long-acting ß2-agonists should not be used as monotherapy in asthma, and must only be used in combination with an appropriate dose of inhaled glucocorticoid.
   - Leukotriene modifiers now have a more prominent role as controller treatment in asthma, particularly in adults. Long-acting oral ß2-agonists alone are no longer presented as an option for add-on treatment at any step of therapy, unless accompanied by inhaled glucocorticosteroids.
   - Monotherapy with Cromones is no longer given as an alternative to monotherapy with a low dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroids in adults.
   - Some changes have been made to the tables of equipotent daily doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids for both children and adults.

11. The six-part asthma management program detailed in previous versions of the report has been changed. The current program includes the following five components:

   - Component 1. Develop Patient/Doctor Partnership
   - Component 2. Identify and Reduce Exposure to Risk Factors
   - Component 3. Assess, Treat, and Monitor Asthma
   - Component 4. Manage Asthma Exacerbations
   - Component 5. Special Considerations

12. The inclusion of Component 1 reflects the fact that effective management of asthma requires the development of a partnership between the person with asthma and his or her health care professional(s) (and parents/caregivers, in the case of children with asthma). The partnership is formed and strengthened as patients and their health care professionals discuss and agree on the goals of treatment, develop a personalized, written self-management action plan including self-monitoring, and periodically review the patient’s treatment and level of asthma control. Education remains a key element of all doctor-patient interactions.
13. Component 3 presents an overall concept for asthma management oriented around the new focus on asthma control. Treatment is initiated and adjusted in a continuous cycle (assessing asthma control, treating to achieve control, and monitoring to maintain control) driven by the patient’s level of asthma control.

14. Treatment options are organized into five “Steps” reflecting increasing intensity of treatment (dosages and/or number of medications) required to achieve control. At all Steps, a reliever medication should be provided for as-needed use. At Steps 2 through 5, a variety of controller medications are available.

15. If asthma is not controlled on the current treatment regimen, treatment should be stepped up until control is achieved. When control is maintained, treatment can be stepped down in order to find the lowest step and dose of treatment that maintains control.

16. Although each component contains management advice for all age categories where these are considered relevant, special challenges must be taken into account in making recommendations for managing asthma in children in the first 5 years of life. Accordingly, an Executive Summary has been prepared—and appears at the end of this introduction—that extracts sections on diagnosis and management for this very young age group.

17. It has been demonstrated in a variety of settings that patient care consistent with evidence-based asthma guidelines leads to improved outcomes. However, in order to effect changes in medical practice and consequent improvements in patient outcomes, evidence-based guidelines must be implemented and disseminated at national and local levels. Thus, a chapter has been added on implementation of asthma guidelines in health systems that details the process and economics of guideline implementation.

LEVELS OF EVIDENCE

In this document, levels of evidence are assigned to management recommendations where appropriate in Chapter 4, the Five Components of Asthma Management. Evidence levels are indicated in boldface type enclosed in parentheses after the relevant statement—e.g., (Evidence A). The methodological issues concerning the use of evidence from meta-analyses were carefully considered.

This evidence level scheme (Table A) has been used in previous GINA reports, and was in use throughout the preparation of this document. The GINA Science Committee was recently introduced to a new approach to evidence levels and plans to review and consider the possible introduction of this approach in future reports and extending it to evaluative and diagnostic aspects of care.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table A. Description of Levels of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evidence Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CHAPTER 1

DEFINITION AND OVERVIEW
KEY POINTS:

- Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the airways in which many cells and cellular elements play a role. The chronic inflammation is associated with airway hyperresponsiveness that leads to recurrent episodes of wheezing, breathlessness, chest tightness, and coughing, particularly at night or in the early morning. These episodes are usually associated with widespread, but variable, airflow obstruction within the lung that is often reversible either spontaneously or with treatment.

- Clinical manifestations of asthma can be controlled with appropriate treatment. When asthma is controlled, there should be no more than occasional flare-ups and severe exacerbations should be rare.

- Asthma is a problem worldwide, with an estimated 300 million affected individuals.

- Although from the perspective of both the patient and society the cost to control asthma seems high, the cost of not treating asthma correctly is even higher.

- A number of factors that influence a person's risk of developing asthma have been identified. These can be divided into host factors (primarily genetic) and environmental factors.

- The clinical spectrum of asthma is highly variable, and different cellular patterns have been observed, but the presence of airway inflammation remains a consistent feature.

This chapter covers several topics related to asthma, including definition, burden of disease, factors that influence the risk of developing asthma, and mechanisms. It is not intended to be a comprehensive treatment of these topics, but rather a brief overview of the background that informs the approach to diagnosis and management detailed in subsequent chapters. Further details are found in the reviews and other references cited at the end of the chapter.

DEFINITION

Asthma is a disorder defined by its clinical, physiological, and pathological characteristics. The predominant feature of the clinical history is episodic shortness of breath, particularly at night, often accompanied by cough.

Wheezing appreciated on auscultation of the chest is the most common physical finding.

The main physiological feature of asthma is episodic airway obstruction characterized by expiratory airflow limitation. The dominant pathological feature is airway inflammation, sometimes associated with airway structural changes.

Asthma has significant genetic and environmental components, but since its pathogenesis is not clear, much of its definition is descriptive. Based on the functional consequences of airway inflammation, an operational description of asthma is:

Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the airways in which many cells and cellular elements play a role. The chronic inflammation is associated with airway hyperresponsiveness that leads to recurrent episodes of wheezing, breathlessness, chest tightness, and coughing, particularly at night or in the early morning. These episodes are usually associated with widespread, but variable, airflow obstruction within the lung that is often reversible either spontaneously or with treatment.

Because there is no clear definition of the asthma phenotype, researchers studying the development of this complex disease turn to characteristics that can be measured objectively, such as atopy (manifested as the presence of positive skin-prick tests or the clinical response to common environmental allergens), airway hyperresponsiveness (the tendency of airways to narrow excessively in response to triggers that have little or no effect in normal individuals), and other measures of allergic sensitization. Although the association between asthma and atopy is well established, the precise links between these two conditions have not been clearly and comprehensively defined.

There is now good evidence that the clinical manifestations of asthma—symptoms, sleep disturbances, limitations of daily activity, impairment of lung function, and use of rescue medications—can be controlled with appropriate treatment. When asthma is controlled, there should be no more than occasional recurrence of symptoms and severe exacerbations should be rare.
THE BURDEN OF ASTHMA

Prevalence, Morbidity, and Mortality

Asthma is a problem worldwide, with an estimated 300 million affected individuals\(^2\,3\). Despite hundreds of reports on the prevalence of asthma in widely differing populations, the lack of a precise and universally accepted definition of asthma makes reliable comparison of reported prevalence from different parts of the world problematic. Nonetheless, based on the application of standardized methods to measure the prevalence of asthma and wheezing illness in children\(^3\) and adults\(^4\), it appears that the global prevalence of asthma ranges from 1% to 18% of the population in different countries (Figure 1-1)\(^2\,3\). There is good evidence that international differences in asthma symptom prevalence have been reduced, particularly in the 13-14 year age group, with decreases in prevalence in North America and Western Europe and increases in prevalence in regions where prevalence was previously low. Although there was little change in the overall prevalence of current wheeze, the percentage of children reported to have had asthma increased significantly, possibly reflecting greater awareness of this condition and/or changes in diagnostic practice. The increases in asthma symptom prevalence in Africa, Latin America and parts of Asia indicate that the global burden of asthma is continuing to rise, but the global prevalence differences are lessening\(^2\,6\). The World Health Organization has estimated that 15 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYS) are lost annually due to asthma, representing 1% of the total global disease burden\(^2\). Annual worldwide deaths from asthma have been estimated at 250,000 and mortality does not appear to correlate well with prevalence (Figure 1-1)\(^2\,3\). There are insufficient data to determine the likely causes of the described variations in prevalence within and between populations.

Social and Economic Burden

Social and economic factors are integral to understanding asthma and its care, whether viewed from the perspective of the individual sufferer, the health care professional, or entities that pay for health care. Absence from school and days lost from work are reported as substantial social and economic consequences of asthma in studies from the Asia-Pacific region, India, Latin America, the United Kingdom, and the United States\(^4\,\,12\). The monetary costs of asthma, as estimated in a variety of health care systems including those of the United States\(^13\,\,15\) and the United Kingdom\(^16\) are substantial. In analyses of economic burden of asthma, attention needs to be paid to both direct medical costs (hospital admissions and cost of medications) and indirect, non-medical costs (time lost from work, premature death)\(^17\). For example, asthma is a major cause of absence from work in many countries\(^4\,\,6\,\,12\), including Australia, Sweden, the United Kingdom, and the United States\(^16\,\,18\,\,20\). Comparisons of the cost of asthma in different regions lead to a clear set of conclusions:

- The costs of asthma depend on the individual patient’s level of control and the extent to which exacerbations are avoided.
- Emergency treatment is more expensive than planned treatment.
- Non-medical economic costs of asthma are substantial.
- Guideline-determined asthma care can be cost effective.
- Families can suffer from the financial burden of treating asthma.

Although from the perspective of both the patient and society the cost to control asthma seems high, the cost of not treating asthma correctly is even higher\(^2\,2\,2\). Proper treatment of the disease poses a challenge for individuals, health care professionals, health care organizations, and governments. There is every reason to believe that the substantial global burden of asthma can be dramatically reduced through efforts by individuals, their health care providers, health care organizations, and local and national governments to improve asthma control.

Detailed reference information about the burden of asthma can be found in the report Global Burden of Asthma\(^*\). Further studies of the social and economic burden of asthma and the cost effectiveness of treatment are needed in both developed and developing countries.

\(^*\)(http://www.ginasthma.org/ReportItem.asp?l1=2&i2=2&intId=94)
FACTORS INFLUENCING THE DEVELOPMENT AND EXPRESSION OF ASTHMA

Factors that influence the risk of asthma can be divided into those that cause the development of asthma and those that trigger asthma symptoms; some do both. The former include host factors (which are primarily genetic) and the latter are usually environmental factors (Figure 1-2). However, the mechanisms whereby they influence the development and expression of asthma are complex and interactive. For example, genes likely interact both with other genes and with environmental factors to determine asthma susceptibility. In addition, developmental aspects—such as the maturation of the immune response and the timing of infectious exposures during the first years of life—are emerging as important factors modifying the risk of asthma in the genetically susceptible person.

Additionally, some characteristics have been linked to an increased risk for asthma, but are not themselves true causal factors. The apparent racial and ethnic differences in the prevalence of asthma reflect underlying genetic variances with a significant overlay of socioeconomic and environmental factors. In turn, the links between asthma and socioeconomic status—with a higher prevalence of asthma in developed than in developing nations, in poor compared to affluent populations in developed nations, and in affluent compared to poor populations in developing nations—likely reflect lifestyle differences such as exposure to allergens, access to health care, etc.

Much of what is known about asthma risk factors comes from studies of young children. Risk factors for the development of asthma in adults, particularly de novo in adults who did not have asthma in childhood, are less well defined.

The lack of a clear definition for asthma presents a significant problem in studying the role of different risk factors in the development of this complex disease, because the characteristics that define asthma (e.g., airway hyperresponsiveness, atopy, and allergic sensitization) are themselves products of complex gene-environment interactions and are therefore both features of asthma and risk factors for the development of the disease.

Host Factors

Genetic. Asthma has a heritable component, but it is not simple. Current data show that multiple genes may be involved in the pathogenesis of asthma, and different genes may be involved in different ethnic groups. The search for genes linked to the development of asthma has focused on four major areas: production of allergen-specific IgE antibodies (atopy); expression of airway hyperresponsiveness; generation of inflammatory mediators, such as cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors; and determination of the ratio between Th1 and Th2 immune responses (as relevant to the hygiene hypothesis of asthma).

Family studies and case-control association analyses have identified a number of chromosomal regions associated with asthma susceptibility. For example, a tendency to produce an elevated level of total serum IgE is co-inherited with airway hyperresponsiveness, and a gene (or genes) governing airway hyperresponsiveness is located near a major locus that regulates serum IgE levels on chromosome 5q. However, the search for a specific gene (or genes) involved in susceptibility to atopy or asthma continues, as results to date have been inconsistent.

In addition to genes that predispose to asthma there are genes that are associated with the response to asthma treatments. For example, variations in the gene encoding the beta-adrenoreceptor have been linked to differences in
subjects’ responses to $\beta_2$-agonists\textsuperscript{28}. Other genes of interest modify the responsiveness to glucocorticosteroids\textsuperscript{29} and leukotriene modifiers\textsuperscript{30}. These genetic markers will likely become important not only as risk factors in the pathogenesis of asthma but also as determinants of responsiveness to treatment\textsuperscript{28,30-33}.

**Obesity.** Obesity has also been shown to be a risk factor for asthma. Certain mediators such as leptins may affect airway function and increase the likelihood of asthma development\textsuperscript{34,35}.

**Sex.** Male sex is a risk factor for asthma in children. Prior to the age of 14, the prevalence of asthma is nearly twice as great in boys as in girls\textsuperscript{36}. As children get older, the difference between the sexes narrows, and by adulthood the prevalence of asthma is greater in women than in men. The reasons for this sex-related difference are not clear. However, lung size is smaller in males than in females at birth\textsuperscript{37} but larger in adulthood.

**Environmental Factors**

There is some overlap between environmental factors that influence the risk of developing asthma, and factors that cause asthma symptoms—for example, occupational sensitizers belong in both categories. However, there are some important causes of asthma symptoms—such as air pollution and some allergens—which have not been clearly linked to the development of asthma. Risk factors that cause asthma symptoms are discussed in detail in Chapter 4.2.

**Allergens.** Although indoor and outdoor allergens are well known to cause asthma exacerbations, their specific role in the development of asthma is still not fully resolved. Birth-cohort studies have shown that sensitization to house dust mite allergens, cat dander, dog dander\textsuperscript{38,39}, and Aspergillus mold\textsuperscript{40} are independent risk factors for asthma-like symptoms in children up to 3 years of age. However, the relationship between allergen exposure and sensitization in children is not straightforward. It depends on the allergen, the dose, the time of exposure, the child’s age, and probably genetics as well.

For some allergens, such as those derived from house dust mites and cockroaches, the prevalence of sensitization appears to be directly correlated with exposure\textsuperscript{38,41}. However, although some data suggest that exposure to house dust mite allergens may be a causal factor in the development of asthma\textsuperscript{42}, other studies have questioned this interpretation\textsuperscript{43,44}. Cockroach infestation has been shown to be an important cause of allergic sensitization, particularly in inner-city homes\textsuperscript{45}.

In the case of dogs and cats, some epidemiologic studies have found that early exposure to these animals may protect a child against allergic sensitization or the development of asthma\textsuperscript{46-48}, but others suggest that such exposure may increase the risk of allergic sensitization\textsuperscript{47,49-51}. This issue remains unresolved.

The prevalence of asthma is reduced in children raised in a rural setting, which may be linked to the presence of endotoxin in these environments\textsuperscript{52}.

**Infections.** During infancy, a number of viruses have been associated with the inception of the asthmatic phenotype. Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and parainfluenza virus produce a pattern of symptoms including bronchiolitis that parallel many features of childhood asthma\textsuperscript{53,54}. A number of long-term prospective studies of children admitted to the hospital with documented RSV have shown that approximately 40% will continue to wheeze or have asthma into later childhood\textsuperscript{55}. On the other hand, evidence also indicates that certain respiratory infections early in life, including measles and sometimes even RSV, may protect against the development of asthma\textsuperscript{56}. The data do not allow specific conclusions to be drawn. Parasite infections do not in general protect against asthma, but infection with hookworm may reduce the risk\textsuperscript{57}.

The “hygiene hypothesis” of asthma suggests that exposure to infections early in life influences the development of a child’s immune system along a “nonallergic” pathway, leading to a reduced risk of asthma and other allergic diseases. Although the hygiene hypothesis continues to be investigated, this mechanism may explain observed associations between family size, birth order, day-care attendance, and the risk of asthma. For example, young children with older siblings and those who attend day care are at increased risk of infections, but enjoy protection against the development of allergic diseases, including asthma later in life\textsuperscript{57-59}.

The interaction between atopy and viral infections appears to be a complex relationship\textsuperscript{60}, in which the atopic state can influence the lower airway response to viral infections, viral infections can then influence the development of allergic sensitization, and interactions can occur when individuals are exposed simultaneously to both allergens and viruses.

**Occupational sensitizers.** Over 300 substances have been associated with occupational asthma\textsuperscript{61-65}, which is defined as asthma caused by exposure to an agent encountered in the work environment. These substances include highly reactive small molecules such as isocyanates, irritants that may cause an alteration in airway responsiveness, known immunogens such as platinum salts, and complex plant and animal biological products that stimulate the production of IgE (Figure 1-3).
Occupational asthma arises predominantly in adults, and occupational sensitizers are estimated to cause about 1 in 10 cases of asthma among adults of working age. Asthma is the most common occupational respiratory disorder in industrialized countries. Occupations associated with a high risk for occupational asthma include farming and agricultural work, painting (including spray painting), cleaning work, and plastic manufacturing.

Most occupational asthma is immunologically mediated and has a latency period of months to years after the onset of exposure. IgE-mediated allergic reactions and cell-mediated allergic reactions are involved.

Levels above which sensitization frequently occurs have been proposed for many occupational sensitizers. However, the factors that cause some people but not others to develop occupational asthma in response to the same exposures are not well identified. Very high exposures to inhaled irritants may cause “irritant induced asthma” (formerly called the reactive airways dysfunctional syndrome) even in non-atopic persons. Atopy and tobacco smoking may increase the risk of occupational sensitization, but screening individuals for atopy is of limited value in preventing occupational asthma. The most important method of preventing occupational asthma is elimination or reduction of exposure to occupational sensitizers.

**Tobacco smoke.** Tobacco smoking is associated with accelerated decline of lung function in people with asthma, increases asthma severity, may render patients less responsive to treatment with inhaled and systemic glucocorticosteroids, and reduces the likelihood of asthma being controlled.

Exposure to tobacco smoke both prenatally and after birth is associated with measurable harmful effects including a greater risk of developing asthma-like symptoms in early childhood. However, evidence of increased risk of allergic diseases is uncertain. Distinguishing the independent contributions of prenatal and postnatal maternal smoking is problematic. However, studies of lung function immediately after birth have shown that maternal smoking during pregnancy has an influence on lung development. Furthermore, infants of smoking mothers are 4 times more likely to develop wheezing illnesses in the first year of life. In contrast, there is little evidence (based on meta-analysis) that maternal smoking during pregnancy has an effect on allergic sensitization. Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke (passive smoking) increases the risk of lower respiratory tract illnesses in infancy and childhood.

**Outdoor/indoor air pollution.** The role of outdoor air pollution in causing asthma remains controversial. Children raised in a polluted environment have diminished lung function, but the relationship of this loss of function to the development of asthma is not known.

Outbreaks of asthma exacerbations have been shown to occur in relationship to increased levels of air pollution, and this may be related to a general increase in the level of pollutants or to specific allergens to which individuals are sensitized. However, the role of pollutants in the development of asthma is less well defined. Similar associations have been observed in relation to indoor pollutants, e.g., smoke and fumes from gas and biomass fuels used for heating and cooling, molds, and cockroach infestations.
**Diet.** The role of diet, particularly breast-feeding, in relation to the development of asthma has been extensively studied and, in general, the data reveal that infants fed formulas of intact cow's milk or soy protein have a higher incidence of wheezing illnesses in early childhood compared with those fed breast milk.

Some data also suggest that certain characteristics of Western diets, such as increased use of processed foods and decreased antioxidant (in the form of fruits and vegetables), increased n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid (found in margarine and vegetable oil), and decreased n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (found in oily fish) intakes have contributed to the recent increases in asthma and atopic disease.

**MECHANISMS OF ASTHMA**

Asthma is an inflammatory disorder of the airways, which involves several inflammatory cells and multiple mediators that result in characteristic pathophysiological changes.

In ways that are still not well understood, this pattern of inflammation is strongly associated with airway hyperresponsiveness and asthma symptoms.

**Airway Inflammation In Asthma**

The clinical spectrum of asthma is highly variable, and different cellular patterns have been observed, but the presence of airway inflammation remains a consistent feature. The airway inflammation in asthma is persistent even though symptoms are episodic, and the relationship between the severity of asthma and the intensity of inflammation is not clearly established. The inflammation affects all airways including in most patients the upper respiratory tract and nose but its physiological effects are most pronounced in medium-sized bronchi. The pattern of inflammation in the airways appears to be similar in all clinical forms of asthma, whether allergic, non-allergic, or aspirin-induced, and at all ages.

**Inflammatory cells.** The characteristic pattern of inflammation found in allergic diseases is seen in asthma, with activated mast cells, increased numbers of activated eosinophils, and increased numbers of T cell receptor invariant natural killer T cells and T helper 2 lymphocytes (Th2), which release mediators that contribute to symptoms (Figure 1-4). Structural cells of the airways also produce inflammatory mediators and contribute to the persistence of inflammation in various ways (Figure 1-5).

**Inflammatory mediators.** Over 100 different mediators are now recognized to be involved in asthma and mediate the complex inflammatory response in the airways (Figure 1-6).
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**Figure 1-4: Inflammatory Cells in Asthmatic Airways**

**Mast cells:** Activated mucosal mast cells release bronchoconstrictor mediators (histamine, cysteinyl leukotrienes, prostaglandin D2). These cells are activated by allergens through high-affinity IgE receptors, as well as by osmotic stimuli (accounting for exercise-induced bronchoconstriction). Increased mast cell numbers in airway smooth muscle may be linked to airway hyperresponsiveness.

**Eosinophils,** present in increased numbers in the airways, release basic proteins that may damage airway epithelial cells. They may also have a role in the release of growth factors and airway remodeling.

**T lymphocytes,** present in increased numbers in the airways, release basic proteins that may damage airway epithelial cells. They may also have a role in the release of growth factors and airway remodeling.

**Dendritic cells** sample allergens from the airway surface and migrate to regional lymph nodes, where they interact with regulatory T cells and ultimately stimulate production of Th2 cells from naïve T cells.

**Macrophages** are increased in number in the airways and may be activated by allergens through low-affinity IgE receptors to release inflammatory mediators and cytokines that amplify the inflammatory response.

**Neutrophils** numbers are increased in the airways and sputum of patients with severe asthma and in smoking asthmatics, but the pathophysiological role of these cells is uncertain and their increase may even be due to glucocorticosteroid therapy.
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**Figure 1-5: Airway Structural Cells Involved in the Pathogenesis of Asthma**

**Airway epithelial cells** sense their mechanical environment, express multiple inflammatory proteins in asthma, and release cytokines, chemokines, and lipid mediators. Viruses and air pollutants interact with epithelial cells.

**Airway smooth muscle cells** express similar inflammatory proteins to epithelial cells.

**Endothelial cells** of the bronchial circulation play a role in recruiting inflammatory cells from the circulation into the airway.

**Fibroblasts** and **myofibroblasts** produce connective tissue components, such as collagens and proteoglycans, that are involved in airway remodeling.

**Airway nerves** are also involved. Cholinergic nerves may be activated by reflex triggers in the airways and cause bronchoconstriction and mucus secretion. Sensory nerves, which may be sensitized by inflammatory stimuli including neurotrophins, cause reflex changes and symptoms such as cough and chest tightness, and may release inflammatory neuropeptides.
Pathophysicsiology

Airway narrowing is the final common pathway leading to symptoms and physiological changes in asthma. Several factors contribute to the development of airway narrowing in asthma (Figure 1-8).

**Airway smooth muscle** contraction in response to multiple bronchoconstrictor mediators and neurotransmitters is the predominant mechanism of airway narrowing and is largely reversed by bronchodilators.

**Airway edema** is due to increased microvascular leakage in response to inflammatory mediators. This may be particularly important during acute exacerbations.

**Airway thickening** due to structural changes, often termed “remodeling,” may be important in more severe disease and is not fully reversible by current therapy.

**Mucus hypersecretion** may lead to luminal occlusion (“mucus plugging”) and is a product of increased mucus secretion and inflammatory exudates.

**Airway hyperresponsiveness**. Airway hyperresponsiveness, the characteristic functional abnormality of asthma, results in airway narrowing in a patient with asthma in response to a stimulus that would be innocuous in a normal person. In turn, this airway narrowing leads to variable airflow limitation and intermittent symptoms. Airway hyperresponsiveness is linked to both inflammation and repair of the airways and is partially reversible with therapy. Its mechanisms (Figure 1-9) are incompletely understood.

**Figure 1-9: Mechanisms of Airway Hyperresponsiveness**

**Excessive contraction of airway smooth muscle** may result from increased volume and/or contractility of airway smooth muscle cells. 

**Uncoupling** of airway contraction as a result of inflammatory changes in the airway wall may lead to excessive narrowing of the airways and a loss of the maximum plateau of contraction found in normal airways when bronchoconstrictor substances are inhaled.

**Thickening of the airway wall** by edema and structural changes amplifies airway narrowing due to contraction of airway smooth muscle for geometric reasons.

**Sensory nerves** may be sensitized by inflammation, leading to exaggerated bronchoconstriction in response to sensory stimuli.

**Special Mechanisms**

**Acute exacerbations**. Transient worsening of asthma may occur as a result of exposure to risk factors for asthma symptoms, or “triggers,” such as exercise, air
Pollutants\textsuperscript{115}, and even certain weather conditions, e.g., thunderstorms\textsuperscript{116}. More prolonged worsening is usually due to viral infections of the upper respiratory tract (particularly rhinovirus and respiratory syncytial virus)\textsuperscript{117} or allergen exposure which increase inflammation in the lower airways (acute or chronic inflammation) that may persist for several days or weeks.

**Nocturnal asthma.** The mechanisms accounting for the worsening of asthma at night are not completely understood but may be driven by circadian rhythms of circulating hormones such as epinephrine, cortisol, and melatonin and neural mechanisms such as cholinergic tone. An increase in airway inflammation at night has been reported. This might reflect a reduction in endogenous anti-inflammatory mechanisms\textsuperscript{118}.

**Irreversible airflow limitation.** Some patients with severe asthma develop progressive airflow limitation that is not fully reversible with currently available therapy. This may reflect the changes in airway structure in chronic asthma\textsuperscript{119}.

**Difficult-to-treat asthma.** The reasons why some patients develop asthma that is difficult to manage and relatively insensitive to the effects of glucocorticosteroids are not well understood. Common associations are poor compliance with treatment and psychological and psychiatric disorders. However, genetic factors may contribute in some. Many of these patients have difficult-to-treat asthma from the onset of the disease, rather than progressing from milder asthma. In these patients airway closure leads to air trapping and hyperinflation. Although the pathology appears broadly similar to other forms of asthma, there is an increase in neutrophils, more small airway involvement, and more structural changes\textsuperscript{120}.

**Smoking and asthma.** Tobacco smoking makes asthma more difficult to control, results in more frequent exacerbations and hospital admissions, and produces a more rapid decline in lung function and an increased risk of death\textsuperscript{120}. Asthma patients who smoke may have a neutrophil-predominant inflammation in their airways and are poorly responsive to glucocorticosteroids\textsuperscript{120}.
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CHAPTER 2

DIAGNOSIS AND CLASSIFICATION
A correct diagnosis of asthma is essential if appropriate drug therapy is to be given. Asthma symptoms may be intermittent and their significance may be overlooked by patients and physicians, or, because they are non-specific, they may result in misdiagnosis (for example of wheezy bronchitis, COPD, or the breathlessness of old age). This is particularly true among children, where misdiagnoses include various forms of bronchitis or croup, and lead to inappropriate treatment.

A clinical diagnosis of asthma is often prompted by symptoms such as episodic breathlessness, wheezing, cough, and chest tightness. Measurements of lung function (spirometry or peak expiratory flow) provide an assessment of the severity of airflow limitation, its reversibility, and its variability, and provide confirmation of the diagnosis of asthma. Measurements of allergic status can help to identify risk factors that cause asthma symptoms in individual patients.

Extra measures may be required to diagnose asthma in children 5 years and younger and in the elderly, and occupational asthma.

For patients with symptoms consistent with asthma, but normal lung function, measurement of airway responsiveness may help establish the diagnosis.

Asthma has been classified by severity in previous reports. However, asthma severity may change over time, and depends not only on the severity of the underlying disease but also its responsiveness to treatment.

To aid in clinical management, a classification of asthma by level of control is recommended.

Clinical control of asthma is defined as:
- No (twice or less/week) daytime symptoms
- No limitations of daily activities, including exercise
- No nocturnal symptoms or awakening because of asthma
- No (twice or less/week) need for reliever treatment
- Normal or near-normal lung function
- No exacerbations

In some sensitized individuals, asthma may be exacerbated by seasonal increases in specific aeroallergens. Examples include Alternaria, and birch, grass, and ragweed pollens.

Cough-variant asthma. Patients with cough-variant asthma have chronic cough as their principal, if not only, symptom. It is particularly common in children, and is often more problematic at night; evaluations during the day can be normal. For these patients, documentation of variability in lung function or of airway hyperresponsiveness, and possibly a search for sputum eosinophils, are particularly important. Cough-variant asthma must be distinguished from so-called eosinophilic bronchitis in which patients have cough and sputum eosinophils but normal indices of lung function when assessed by spirometry and airway hyperresponsiveness.

Other diagnoses to be considered are cough-induced by angiotensin-converting-enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, gastroesophageal reflux, postnasal drip, chronic sinusitis, and vocal cord dysfunction.
Exercise-induced bronchoconstriction. Physical activity is an important cause of asthma symptoms for most asthma patients, and for some it is the only cause. Exercise-induced bronchoconstriction typically develops within 5-10 minutes after completing exercise (it rarely occurs during exercise). Patients experience typical asthma symptoms, or sometimes a troublesome cough, which resolve spontaneously within 30-45 minutes. Some forms of exercise, such as running, are more potent triggers. Exercise-induced bronchoconstriction may occur in any climatic condition, but it is more common when the patient is breathing dry, cold air and less common in hot, humid climates.

Rapid improvement of post-exertional symptoms after inhaled β₂-agonist use, or their prevention by pretreatment with an inhaled β₂-agonist before exercise, supports a diagnosis of asthma. Some children with asthma present only with exercise-induced symptoms. In this group, or when there is doubt about the diagnosis, exercise testing is helpful. An 8-minute running protocol is easily performed in clinical practice and can establish a firm diagnosis of asthma.

Physical Examination

Because asthma symptoms are variable, the physical examination of the respiratory system may be normal. The most usual abnormal physical finding is wheezing on auscultation, a finding that confirms the presence of airflow limitation. However, in some people with asthma, wheezing may be absent or only detected when the person exhales forcibly, even in the presence of significant airflow limitation. Occasionally, in severe asthma exacerbations, wheezing may be absent owing to severely reduced airflow and ventilation. However, patients in this state usually have other physical signs reflecting the exacerbation and its severity, such as cyanosis, drowsiness, difficulty speaking, tachycardia, hyperinflated chest, use of accessory muscles, and intercostal recession.

Other clinical signs are only likely to be present if patients are examined during symptomatic periods. Features of hyperinflation result from patients breathing at a higher lung volume in order to increase outward retraction of the airways and maintain the patency of smaller airways (which are narrowed by a combination of airway smooth muscle contraction, edema, and mucus hypersecretion). The combination of hyperinflation and airflow limitation in an asthma exacerbation markedly increases the work of breathing.

Tests for Diagnosis and Monitoring

Measurements of lung function. The diagnosis of asthma is usually based on the presence of characteristic symptoms. However, measurements of lung function, and particularly the demonstration of reversibility of lung function abnormalities, greatly enhance diagnostic confidence. This is because patients with asthma frequently have poor recognition of their symptoms and poor perception of symptom severity, especially if their asthma is long-standing. Assessment of symptoms such as dyspnea and wheezing by physicians may also be inaccurate. Measurement of lung function provides an assessment of the severity of airflow limitation, its reversibility and its variability, and provides confirmation of the diagnosis of asthma. Although measurements of lung function do not correlate strongly with symptoms or other measures of disease control in either adults or children, these measures provide complementary information about different aspects of asthma control.

Various methods are available to assess airflow limitation, but two methods have gained widespread acceptance for use in patients over 5 years of age. These are spirometry, particularly the measurement of forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC), and peak expiratory flow (PEF) measurement.

Predicted values of FEV₁, FVC, and PEF based on age, sex, and height have been obtained from population studies. These are being continually revised, and with the exception of PEF for which the range of predicted values is too wide, they are useful for judging whether a given value is abnormal or not.

The terms reversibility and variability refer to changes in symptoms accompanied by changes in airflow limitation that occur spontaneously or in response to treatment. The term reversibility is generally applied to rapid improvements in FEV₁ (or PEF), measured within minutes after inhalation of a rapid-acting bronchodilator—for example after 200-400 ug salbutamol (albuterol)—or more sustained improvement over days or weeks after the introduction of effective controller treatment such as inhaled glucocorticosteroids. Variability refers to improvement or deterioration in symptoms and lung function occurring over time. Variability may be experienced over the course of one day (when it is called diurnal variability), from day to day, from month to month, or seasonally. Obtaining a history of variability is an essential component of the diagnosis of asthma. In addition, variability forms part of the assessment of asthma control.
**Spirometry** is the recommended method of measuring airflow limitation and reversibility to establish a diagnosis of asthma. Measurements of FEV₁ and FVC are undertaken during a forced expiratory maneuver using a spirometer. Recommendations for the standardization of spirometry have been published. The degree of reversibility in FEV₁ which indicates a diagnosis of asthma is generally accepted as ≥ 12% and ≥ 200 ml from the pre-bronchodilator value. However, most asthma patients will not exhibit reversibility at each assessment, particularly those on treatment, and the test therefore lacks sensitivity. Repeated testing at different visits is advised.

Spirometry is reproducible, but effort-dependent. Therefore, proper instructions on how to perform the forced expiratory maneuver must be given to patients, and the highest value of three recordings taken. As ethnic differences in spirometric values have been demonstrated, appropriate predictive equations for FEV₁ and FVC should be established for each patient. The normal range of values is wider and predicted values are less reliable in young people (< age 20) and in the elderly (> age 70). Because many lung diseases may result in reduced FEV₁, a useful assessment of airflow limitation is the ratio of FEV₁ to FVC. The FEV₁/FVC ratio is normally greater than 0.75 to 0.80, and possibly greater than 0.90 in children. Any values less than these suggest airflow limitation.

**Peak expiratory flow** measurements are made using a peak flow meter and can be an important aid in both diagnosis and monitoring of asthma. Modern PEF meters are relatively inexpensive, portable, plastic, and ideal for patients to use in home settings for day-to-day objective measurement of airflow limitation. However, measurements of PEF are not interchangeable with other measurements of lung function such as FEV₁ in either adults or children. PEF can underestimate the degree of airflow limitation, particularly as airflow limitation and gas trapping worsen. Because values for PEF obtained with different peak flow meters vary and the range of predicted values is too wide, PEF measurements should preferably be compared to the patient’s own previous best measurements using his/her own peak flow meter. The previous best measurement is usually obtained when the patient is asymptomatic or on full treatment and serves as a reference value for monitoring the effects of changes in treatment.

Careful instruction is required to reliably measure PEF because PEF measurements are effort-dependent. Most commonly, PEF is measured first thing in the morning before treatment is taken, when values are often close to their lowest, and last thing at night when values are usually higher. One method of describing diurnal PEF variability is as the amplitude (the difference between the maximum and the minimum value for the day), expressed as a percentage of the mean daily PEF value, and averaged over 1-2 weeks. Another method of describing PEF variability is the minimum morning pre-bronchodilator PEF over 1 week, expressed as a percent of the recent best (Min%Max) (Figure 2-2). This latter method has been suggested to be the best PEF index of airway lability for clinical practice because it requires only a once-daily reading, correlates better than any other index with airway hyperresponsiveness, and involves a simple calculation.

PEF monitoring is valuable in a subset of asthmatic patients and can be helpful:

- **To confirm the diagnosis of asthma.** Although spirometry is the preferred method of documenting airflow limitation, a 60 L/min (or 20% or more of pre-bronchodilator PEF) improvement after inhalation of a bronchodilator, or diurnal variation in PEF of more than 20% (with twice daily readings, more than 10% ²) suggests a diagnosis of asthma.

- **To improve control of asthma, particularly in patients with poor perception of symptoms**. Asthma management plans which include self-monitoring of symptoms or PEF for treatment of exacerbations have been shown to improve asthma outcomes. It is easier to discern the response to therapy from a PEF chart than from a PEF diary, provided the same chart format is consistently used.
• To identify environmental (including occupational) causes of asthma symptoms. This involves the patient monitoring PEF daily or several times each day over periods of suspected exposure to risk factors in the home or workplace, or during exercise or other activities that may cause symptoms, and during periods of non-exposure.

Measurement of airway responsiveness. For patients with symptoms consistent with asthma, but normal lung function, measurements of airway responsiveness to direct airway challenges such as inhaled methacholine and histamine or indirect airway challenges such as inhaled mannitol or exercise challenge may help establish a diagnosis of asthma. Measurements of airway responsiveness reflect the “sensitivity” of the airways to factors that can cause asthma symptoms, sometimes called “triggers,” and the test results are usually expressed as the provocative concentration (or dose) of the agonist causing a given fall (often 20%) in FEV1. These tests are sensitive for a diagnosis of asthma, but have limited specificity. A negative test can be useful to exclude a diagnosis of persistent asthma in a patient who is not taking inhaled glucocorticosteroid treatment, but a positive test does not always mean that a patient has asthma. This is because airway hyperresponsiveness has been described in patients with allergic rhinitis and in those with airflow limitation caused by conditions other than asthma, such as cystic fibrosis, bronchiectasis, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Measurements of allergic status. Because of the strong association between asthma and allergic rhinitis, the presence of allergies, allergic diseases, and allergic rhinitis in particular, increases the probability of a diagnosis of asthma in patients with respiratory symptoms. Moreover, the presence of allergies in asthma patients (identified by skin testing or measurement of specific IgE in serum) can help to identify risk factors that cause asthma symptoms in individual patients. Deliberate provocation of the airways with a suspected allergen or sensitizing agent may be helpful in the occupational setting, but is not routinely recommended, because it is rarely useful in establishing a diagnosis, requires considerable expertise and can result in life-threatening bronchospasm.

Non-invasive markers of airway inflammation. The evaluation of airway inflammation associated with asthma may be undertaken by examining spontaneously produced or hypertonic saline-induced sputum for eosinophilic or neutrophilic inflammation. In addition, levels of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) and carbon monoxide (FeCO) have been suggested as non-invasive markers of airway inflammation in asthma. Levels of FeNO are elevated in people with asthma (who are not taking inhaled glucocorticosteroids) compared to people without asthma, yet these findings are not specific for asthma. Neither sputum eosinophilia nor FeNO have been evaluated prospectively as an aid in asthma diagnosis, but these measurements are being evaluated for potential use in determining optimal treatment, although it has been shown that the use of FeNO as a measure of asthma control does not improve control or enable reduction in dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroids.

Skin tests with allergens represent the primary diagnostic tool in determining allergic status. They are simple and rapid to perform, and have a low cost and high sensitivity. However, when improperly performed, skin tests can lead to falsely positive or negative results. Measurement of specific IgE in serum does not surpass the reliability of results from skin tests and is more expensive. The main limitation of methods to assess allergic status is that a positive test does not necessarily mean that the disease is allergic in nature or that it is causing asthma, as some individuals have specific IgE antibodies without any symptoms and it may not be causally involved. The relevant exposure and its relation to symptoms must be confirmed by patient history. Measurement of total IgE in serum has no value as a diagnostic test for atopy.
DIAGNOSTIC CHALLENGES AND DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

The differential diagnosis in patients with suspected asthma differs among different age groups: infants, children, young adults, and the elderly.

Children 5 years and Younger

The diagnosis of asthma in early childhood is challenging and has to be based largely on clinical judgment and an assessment of symptoms and physical findings. Since the use of the label “asthma” for wheezing in children has important clinical consequences, it must be distinguished from other causes persistent and recurrent wheeze.

Episodic wheezing and cough is very common even in children who do not have asthma and particularly in those under age 3. Three categories of wheezing have been described in children 5 years and younger:

- **Transient early wheezing**, which is often outgrown in the first 3 years. This is often associated with prematurity and parental smoking.
- **Persistent early-onset wheezing** (before age 3). These children typically have recurrent episodes of wheezing associated with acute viral respiratory infections, have no evidence of atopy and, unlike children in the next category of late onset wheezing/asthma, have no family history of atopy. The symptoms normally persist through school age and are still present at age 12 in a large proportion of children. The cause of the episode is usually the respiratory syncytial virus in children younger than age 2, while other viruses predominate in older preschool children.
- **Late-onset wheezing/asthma**. These children have asthma which often persists throughout childhood and into adult life. They typically have an atopic background, often with eczema, and airway pathology is characteristic of asthma.

The following categories of symptoms are highly suggestive of a diagnosis of asthma: frequent episodes of wheeze (more than once a month), activity-induced cough or wheeze, nocturnal cough in periods without viral infections, absence of seasonal variation in wheeze, and symptoms that persist after age 3. A simple clinical index based on the presence of a wheeze before the age of 3, and the presence of one major risk factor (parental history of asthma or eczema) or two of three minor risk factors (eosinophilia, wheezing without colds, and allergic rhinitis) has been shown to predict the presence of asthma in later childhood. However, treating children at risk with inhaled glucocorticosteroids has not been shown to affect the development of asthma.

Alternative causes of recurrent wheezing must be considered and excluded. These include:

- Chronic rhino-sinusitis
- Gastroesophageal reflux
- Recurrent viral lower respiratory tract infections
- Cystic fibrosis
- Bronchopulmonary dysplasia
- Tuberculosis
- Congenital malformation causing narrowing of the intrathoracic airways
- Foreign body aspiration
- Primary ciliary dyskinesia syndrome
- Immune deficiency
- Congenital heart disease

Neonatal onset of symptoms (associated with failure to thrive), vomiting-associated symptoms, or focal lung or cardiovascular signs suggest an alternative diagnosis and indicate the need for further investigations.

A useful method for confirming the diagnosis of asthma in children 5 years and younger is a trial of treatment with short-acting bronchodilators and inhaled glucocorticosteroids. Marked clinical improvement during the treatment and deterioration when treatment is stopped supports a diagnosis of asthma. Use of spirometry and other measures recommended for older children and adults such as airway responsiveness and markers of airway inflammation is difficult and several require complex equipment making them unsuitable for routine use. However, children 4 to 5 years old can be taught to use a PEF meter, but to ensure reliability parental supervision is required.

Older Children and Adults

A careful history and physical examination, together with the demonstration of reversible and variable airflow obstruction (preferably by spirometry), will in most instances confirm the diagnosis. The following categories of alternative diagnoses need to be considered:

- Hyperventilation syndrome and panic attacks
- Upper airway obstruction and inhaled foreign bodies
- Vocal cord dysfunction
- Other forms of obstructive lung disease, particularly COPD
- Non-obstructive forms of lung disease (e.g., diffuse parenchymal lung disease)
- Non-respiratory causes of symptoms (e.g., left ventricular failure)

Because asthma is a common disease, it can be found in association with any of the above diagnoses, which complicates the diagnosis as well as the assessment of
severity and control. This is particularly true when asthma is associated with hyperventilation, vocal cord dysfunction, or COPD. Careful assessment and treatment of both the asthma and the comorbidity is often necessary to establish the contribution of each to a patient's symptoms.

The Elderly

Undiagnosed asthma is a frequent cause of treatable respiratory symptoms in the elderly, and the frequent presence of comorbid diseases complicates the diagnosis. Wheezing, breathlessness, and cough caused by left ventricular failure is sometimes labeled “cardiac asthma,” a misleading term, the use of which is discouraged. The presence of increased symptoms with exercise and at night may add to the diagnostic confusion because these symptoms are consistent with either asthma or left ventricular failure. Use of beta-blockers, even topically (for glaucoma) is common in this age group. A careful history and physical examination, combined with an ECG and chest X-ray, usually clarifies the picture. In the elderly, distinguishing asthma from COPD is particularly difficult, and may require a trial of treatment with bronchodilators and/or oral/inhaled glucocorticosteroids.

Asthma treatment and assessment and attainment of control in the elderly are complicated by several factors: poor perception of symptoms, acceptance of dyspnea as being “normal” in old age, and reduced expectations of mobility and activity.

Occupational Asthma

Asthma acquired in the workplace is a diagnosis that is frequently missed. Because of its insidious onset, occupational asthma is often misdiagnosed as chronic bronchitis or COPD and is therefore either not treated at all or treated inappropriately. The development of new symptoms of rhinitis, cough, and wheeze particularly in non-smokers should raise suspicion. Detection of asthma of occupational origin requires a systematic inquiry about work history and exposures. The diagnosis requires a defined history of occupational exposure to known or suspected sensitizing agents; an absence of asthma symptoms before beginning employment; or a definite worsening of asthma after employment. A relationship between symptoms and the workplace (improvement in symptoms away from work and worsening of symptoms on returning to work) can be helpful in establishing a link between suspected sensitizing agents and asthma.

Since the management of occupational asthma frequently requires the patient to change his or her job, the diagnosis carries considerable socioeconomic implications and it is important to confirm the diagnosis objectively. This may be achieved by specific bronchial provocation testing, although there are few centers with the necessary facilities for specific inhalation testing. Another method is to monitor PEF at least 4 times a day for a period of 2 weeks when the patient is working and for a similar period away from work. The increasing recognition that occupational asthma can persist, or continue to deteriorate, even in the absence of continued exposure to the offending agent, emphasizes the need for an early diagnosis so that appropriate strict avoidance of further exposure and pharmacologic intervention may be applied. Recent publications provide an evidence-based approach to the identification of occupational asthma and compare specific inhalation challenge testing with alternative tests for confirming the responsible agents.

Distinguishing Asthma from COPD

Both asthma and COPD are major chronic obstructive airways diseases that involve underlying airway inflammation. COPD is characterized by airflow limitation that is not fully reversible, is usually progressive, and is associated with an abnormal inflammatory response of the lungs to noxious particles or gases. Individuals with asthma who are exposed to noxious agents (particularly cigarette smoking) may develop fixed airflow limitation and a mixture of “asthma-like” inflammation and “COPD-like” inflammation. Thus, even though asthma can usually be distinguished from COPD, in some individuals who develop chronic respiratory symptoms and fixed airflow limitation, it may be difficult to differentiate the two diseases. A symptom-based questionnaire for differentiating COPD and asthma for use by primary health care professionals is available.

CLASSIFICATION OF ASTHMA

Etiology

Many attempts have been made to classify asthma according to etiology, particularly with regard to environmental sensitizing agents. However, such a classification is limited by the existence of patients in whom no environmental cause can be identified. Despite this, an effort to identify an environmental cause for asthma (for example, occupational asthma) should be part of the initial assessment to enable the use of avoidance strategies in asthma management. Describing patients as having allergic asthma is usually of little benefit in guiding treatment, unless a single specific trigger agent can be identified.
Phenotype

There is increasing awareness of heterogeneity in the manifestations of asthma and in its response to treatment. This is often described in terms of ‘phenotypes’ , the characteristics which result from the interaction between a patient’s genetic makeup and their environment. Several different clinical phenotypes are recognized on the basis of cluster analysis of clinical and other features of asthma, e.g. aspirin-induced asthma, exacerbation-prone asthma and the search for distinctive pathological or molecular features which could explain these clinical patterns continues. Most work has been done on inflammatory phenotypes, identified using sputum induction. Patients with eosinophilic and non-eosinophilic phenotypes have been shown to differ in their clinical response to inhaled glucocorticosteroids , and at a group level, inflammatory markers may be predictive of risk of exacerbation after glucocorticosteroid reduction . Inflammatory phenotypes appear to be moderately stable over time, although data are limited . At present, since few clinicians have access to qualified sputum laboratories, identification of the inflammatory phenotype is most likely to be useful for patients with severe asthma or in the context of research.

Asthma Control

Asthma control may be defined in a variety of ways. In lay terms, control may indicate disease prevention, or even cure. However, in asthma, where neither of these are realistic options at present, it refers to control of the manifestations of disease. The aim of treatment should be to achieve and maintain control for prolonged periods with due regard to the safety of treatment, potential for adverse effects, and the cost of treatment required to achieve this goal. Therefore, the assessment of asthma control should include not only control of the clinical manifestations (symptoms, night waking, reliever use, activity limitation, lung function), but also control of the expected future risk to the patient such as exacerbations, accelerated decline in lung function, and side-effects of treatment. In general, the achievement of good clinical control of asthma leads to reduced risk of exacerbations . However, certain patients may continue to experience exacerbations in spite of adequate interval control. Smokers are less likely to achieve control and remain at risk of exacerbations . It should be noted that inhaled corticosteroids both improve clinical control and reduce future risk, but some pharmacological agents are more effective in improving features of clinical control, while others are relatively more effective at reducing exacerbations. Thus, for some patient phenotypes, treatment may be selected to address the predominant problem.

Figure 2-4 describes the clinical characteristics of **Controlled, Partly Controlled and Uncontrolled** asthma. This is a working scheme based on current opinion and has not been formally validated. However, this classification has been shown to correlate well with the Asthma Control Test and with assessment of asthma control according to the US National Expert Panel Report 3 guidelines. In clinical practice, this classification should be used in conjunction with an assessment of the patient’s clinical condition and the potential risks and benefits of changing treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Controlled (All of the following)</th>
<th>Partly Controlled (Any measure present in any week)</th>
<th>Uncontrolled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daytime symptoms</td>
<td>Twice or less/week</td>
<td>More than twice/week</td>
<td>Three or more features of partly controlled asthma present in any week*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitations of activities</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocturnal symptoms/awakening</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need for reliever/rescue treatment</td>
<td>Twice or less/week</td>
<td>More than twice/week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung function (PEF or FEV₁)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>&lt;80% predicted or personal best (if known)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Assessment of Future Risk (risk of exacerbations, instability, rapid decline in lung function, side-effects)

Features that are associated with increased risk of adverse events in the future include:
Poor clinical control, frequent exacerbations in past year, ever admission to critical care for asthma, low FEV₁, exposure to cigarette smoke, high dose medications.

---

* Any exacerbation should prompt review of maintenance treatment to ensure that it is adequate.
† By definition, an exacerbation in any week makes that an uncontrolled asthma week.
‡ Lung function testing is not reliable for children 5 years and younger.
Several standardized measures for assessing clinical control of asthma have been developed, which score the goals of treatment as continuous variables and provide numerical values to distinguish different levels of control. Examples of validated instruments are the Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ) (www.qoltech.co.uk/Asthma1.htm), the Asthma Control Test (ACT) (www.asthmacontrol.com), the Childhood Asthma Control Test (C-ACT), the Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ) (www.ataqinstrument.com), and the Asthma Control Scoring System. Few of these instruments include a measure of lung function. They are being promoted for use not only in research but for patient care as well, even in the primary care setting. Some are suitable for self-assessment of asthma control by patients, and some are available in many languages, on the Internet, and in paper form and may be completed by patients prior to, or during, consultations with their health care provider. They have the potential to improve the assessment of asthma control, providing a reproducible objective measure that may be charted over time (week by week or month by month) and representing an improvement in communication between patient and health care professional. Their value in clinical use, as distinct from research settings, has yet to be demonstrated but will likely become evident in coming years. All of these tools are subject to copyright restrictions, and some have fees associated with their use in research.

There is considerable interest in controlling not only the clinical manifestations of asthma, but also the inflammatory and patho-physiological features of the disease. There is evidence that reducing inflammation with controller therapy achieves good clinical control and reduces the risk of exacerbations. In addition, inflammatory and patho-physiological markers may be predictors of future risk of exacerbations and decline in lung function, independent of the patient’s level of clinical control. Biomarker-guided treatment appears, primarily, to be of value in asthma phenotypes in which there is dissociation between measures of clinical control and airway inflammation. For example, treatment based on the proportion of eosinophils in sputum has resulted in a reduction of exacerbations or minimization of doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids in patients with uncontrolled asthma in spite of moderate levels of treatment.

However, in primary care, because of the cost and/or unavailability of tests such as endobronchial biopsy and measurement of sputum eosinophils and exhaled nitric oxide, the current recommendation is that treatment should be aimed at controlling the clinical features of disease, including lung function abnormalities.

Asthma Severity

For patients not receiving inhaled glucocorticosteroid treatment, previous GINA documents subdivided asthma by severity based on the level of symptoms, airflow limitation, and lung function variability into four categories: Intermittent, Mild Persistent, Moderate Persistent, or Severe Persistent, although this classification was often erroneously applied to patients already on treatment. A copy of this classification system is archived at www.ginasthma.com. It is important to recognize, however, that asthma severity involves both the severity of the underlying disease and its responsiveness to treatment. Thus, asthma could present with severe symptoms and airflow obstruction, but become completely controlled with low-dose treatment. In addition, severity is not a static feature of an individual patient’s asthma, but may change over months or years. The main limitation of this previous method of classification of asthma severity was its poor value in predicting what treatment would be required and what a patient’s response to that treatment might be. For this reason, an assessment of asthma control at initial presentation and periodically during treatment is more relevant and useful.

In view of these limitations, asthma severity is now by consensus classified on the basis of the intensity of treatment required to achieve good asthma control. Mild asthma is asthma that can be well-controlled with low intensity treatment such as low-dose inhaled glucocorticosteroids, leukotriene modifiers or cromones. Severe asthma is asthma that requires high intensity treatment, e.g. GINA Step 4, to maintain good control, or where good control is not achieved despite high intensity treatment. It is recognized that different asthma phenotypes may have different levels of responsiveness to conventional treatment. As phenotype-specific treatment becomes available, asthma which was previously considered to be severe could become mild.

Terminology around asthma severity is confusing because “severity” is also used to describe the magnitude of airway obstruction or the intensity of symptoms. Patients will often perceive their asthma as severe if they have intense or frequent symptoms, but it is important to convey that this may merely represent inadequate treatment. Because the terms “control” and “severity” are used in other contexts in lay language, it is important that health professionals communicate clearly how the words are used in the context of asthma.
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CHAPTER 3

ASTHMA TREATMENTS
INTRODUCTION

The goal of asthma treatment is to achieve and maintain clinical control. Medications to treat asthma can be classified as controllers or relievers. Controllers are medications taken daily on a long-term basis to keep asthma under clinical control chiefly through their anti-inflammatory effects. Relievers are medications used on an as-needed basis that act quickly to reverse bronchoconstriction and relieve its symptoms.

Asthma treatment can be administered in different ways—inhaled, orally, or by injection. The major advantage of inhaled therapy is that drugs are delivered directly into the airways, producing higher local concentrations with significantly less risk of systemic side effects.

Inhaled glucocorticosteroids are the most effective controller medications currently available.

Rapid-acting inhaled β2-agonists are the medications of choice for relief of bronchoconstriction and for the pretreatment of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction, in both adults and children of all ages.

Increased use, especially daily use, of reliever medication is a warning of deterioration of asthma control and indicates the need to reassess treatment.

KEY POINTS:
- Medications to treat asthma can be classified as controllers or relievers. Controllers are medications taken daily on a long-term basis to keep asthma under clinical control chiefly through their anti-inflammatory effects. Relievers are medications used on an as-needed basis that act quickly to reverse bronchoconstriction and relieve its symptoms.
- Asthma treatment can be administered in different ways—inhaled, orally, or by injection. The major advantage of inhaled therapy is that drugs are delivered directly into the airways, producing higher local concentrations with significantly less risk of systemic side effects.
- Inhaled glucocorticosteroids are the most effective controller medications currently available.
- Rapid-acting inhaled β2-agonists are the medications of choice for relief of bronchoconstriction and for the pretreatment of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction, in both adults and children of all ages.
- Increased use, especially daily use, of reliever medication is a warning of deterioration of asthma control and indicates the need to reassess treatment.

ASTHMA MEDICATIONS: ADULTS

Route of Administration

Asthma treatment for adults can be administered in different ways—inhaled, orally or parenterally (by subcutaneous, intramuscular, or intravenous injection). The major advantage of inhaled therapy is that drugs are delivered directly into the airways, producing higher local concentrations with significantly less risk of systemic side effects.

Inhaled medications for asthma are available as pressurized metered-dose inhalers (MDIs), breath-actuated MDIs, dry powder inhalers (DPIs), soft mist inhalers, and nebulized or “wet” aerosols. Inhaler devices differ in their efficiency of drug delivery to the lower respiratory tract, depending on the form of the device, formulation of medication, particle size, velocity of the aerosol cloud or plume (where applicable), and ease with which the device can be used by the majority of patients. Individual patient preference, convenience, and ease of use may influence not only the efficiency of drug delivery but also patient adherence to treatment and long-term control.

Pressurized MDIs (pMDIs) require training and skill to coordinate activation of the inhaler and inhalation. Medications in these devices can be dispensed as a suspension in chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) or as a solution in hydrofluoroalkanes (HFAs). For a pMDI containing CFCs, the use of a spacer (holding chamber) improves drug delivery, increases lung deposition, and may reduce local and systemic side effects. However, CFC inhaler devices are being phased out due to the impact of CFCs upon the atmospheric ozone layer, and are being replaced by HFA devices. For pMDIs containing bronchodilators, the switch from CFC to HFA inhalers does not result in a change in efficacy at the same nominal dose. However, for some glucocorticosteroids, the HFA formulations provide an aerosol of smaller particle size that results in less oral deposition (with associated reduction in oral side effects), and correspondingly greater lung deposition. This may result in greater systemic efficacy at equivalent ex-actuator doses, but also greater systemic exposure and risk of side effects. Clinicians are advised to consult the package inserts of each product to confirm the recommended dose equivalent to currently used drugs. Some of these comparisons are provided in Figure 3-1.

*Information on various inhaler devices available can be found on the GINA Website (http://www.ginasthma.org).
Pressurized MDIs may be used by patients with asthma of any severity, including during exacerbations. Breath-actuated aerosols may be helpful for patients who have difficulty using the “press and breathe” pressurized MDI. Soft mist inhalers appear to require less coordination. Dry powder inhalers are generally easier to use, but they require a minimal inspiratory flow rate and may prove difficult for some patients. DPIs differ with respect to the fraction of ex-actuator dose delivered to the lung. For some drugs, the dose may need to be adjusted when switching from an MDI to a DPI. Nebulized aerosols are rarely indicated for the treatment of chronic asthma in adults.

**CONTROLLER MEDICATIONS**

**Inhaled glucocorticosteroids**

*Role in therapy* - Inhaled glucocorticosteroids are currently the most effective anti-inflammatory medications for the treatment of persistent asthma. Studies have demonstrated their efficacy in reducing asthma symptoms, improving quality of life, improving lung function, decreasing airway hyperresponsiveness, controlling airway inflammation, reducing frequency and severity of exacerbations, and reducing asthma mortality. However, they do not cure asthma, and when they are discontinued deterioration of clinical control follows within weeks to months in a proportion of patients.

Inhaled glucocorticosteroids differ in potency and bioavailability, but because of relatively flat dose-response relationships in asthma relatively few studies have been able to confirm the clinical relevance of these differences. Figure 3-1 lists approximately equipotent doses of different inhaled glucocorticosteroids based upon the available efficacy literature, but the categorization into dosage categories does not imply that clear dose-response relationships have been demonstrated for each drug.

The efficacy of some products varies when administered via different inhaler devices. Most of the benefit from inhaled glucocorticosteroids is achieved in adults at relatively low doses, equivalent to 400 ug of budesonide per day. Increasing to higher doses provides little further benefit in terms of asthma control but increases the risk of side effects. However, there is marked individual variability of responsiveness to inhaled glucocorticosteroids and because of this and the recognized poor adherence to treatment with inhaled glucocorticosteroids, many patients will require higher doses to achieve full therapeutic benefit. As tobacco smoking reduces the responsiveness to inhaled glucocorticosteroids, higher doses may be required in patients who smoke.

---

**Figure 3-1. Estimated Equipotent Daily Doses of Inhaled Glucocorticosteroids for Adults**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Low Daily Dose (µg)</th>
<th>Medium Daily Dose (µg)</th>
<th>High Daily Dose (µg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beclomethasone dipropionate</td>
<td>200 - 500</td>
<td>&gt;500 - 1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000 - 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budesonide*</td>
<td>200 - 400</td>
<td>&gt;400 - 800</td>
<td>&gt;800 - 1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciclesonide*</td>
<td>80 - 160</td>
<td>&gt;160 - 320</td>
<td>&gt;320 - 1280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flunisolide</td>
<td>500 - 1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000 - 2000</td>
<td>&gt;2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluticasone propionate</td>
<td>100 - 250</td>
<td>&gt;250 - 500</td>
<td>&gt;500 - 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mometasone furoate*</td>
<td>200 - 400</td>
<td>&gt;400 - 800</td>
<td>&gt;800 - 1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triamcinolone acetonide</td>
<td>400 - 1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000 - 2000</td>
<td>&gt;2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Comparisons based upon efficacy data.
‡ Patients considered for high daily doses except for short periods should be referred to a specialist for assessment to consider alternative combinations of controllers. Maximum recommended doses are arbitrary but with prolonged use are associated with increased risk of systemic side effects.
* Approved for once-daily dosing in mild patients.

**Notes**

- The most important determinant of appropriate dosing is the clinician’s judgment of the patient’s response to therapy. The clinician must monitor the patient’s response in terms of clinical control and adjust the dose accordingly. Once control of asthma is achieved, the dose of medication should be carefully titrated to the minimum dose required to maintain control, thus reducing the potential for adverse effects.
- Designation of low, medium, and high doses is provided from manufacturers’ recommendations where possible. Clear demonstration of dose-response relationships is seldom provided or available. The principle is therefore to establish the minimum effective controlling dose in each patient, as higher doses may not be more effective and are likely to be associated with greater potential for adverse effects.
- As CFC preparations are taken from the market, medication inserts for HFA preparations should be carefully reviewed by the clinician for the equivalent correct dosage.

*In this section recommendations for doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids are given as “µg/day budesonide or equivalent,” because a majority of the clinical literature on these medications uses this standard.*
To reach clinical control, add-on therapy with another class of controller is preferred over increasing the dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroids\(^{211}\). There is, however, a clear relationship between the dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroids and the prevention of severe acute exacerbations of asthma\(^{27}\), although there appear to be differences in response according to symptom/inflammation phenotype\(^{212}\). Therefore, some patients with severe asthma may benefit from long-term treatment with higher doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids.

**Side effects:** Local adverse effects from inhaled glucocorticosteroids include oropharyngeal candidiasis, dysphonia, and occasionally coughing from upper airway irritation. For pressurized MDIs the prevalence of these effects may be reduced by using certain spacer devices\(^{1}\). Mouth washing (rinsing with water, gargling, and spitting out) after inhalation may reduce oral candidiasis. The use of prodrugs that are activated in the lungs but not in the pharynx (e.g., ciclesonide)\(^{19}\), and new formulations and devices that reduce oropharyngeal deposition, may minimize such effects without the need for a spacer or mouth washing.

Inhaled glucocorticosteroids are absorbed from the lung, accounting for some degree of systemic bioavailability. The risk of systemic adverse effects from an inhaled glucocorticosteroid depends upon its dose and potency, the delivery system, systemic bioavailability, first-pass metabolism (conversion to inactive metabolites) in the liver, and half-life of the fraction of systemically absorbed drug (from the lung and possibly gut)\(^{20}\). Therefore, the systemic effects differ among the various inhaled glucocorticosteroids. Several comparative studies have demonstrated that ciclesonide, budesonide, and fluticasone propionate at equipotent doses have less systemic effect\(^{20-23}\). Current evidence suggests that in adults, systemic effects of inhaled glucocorticosteroids are not a problem at doses of 400 \(\mu\)g or less budesonide or equivalent daily.

The systemic side effects of long-term treatment with high doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids include easy bruising\(^{24}\), adrenal suppression\(^{120,20}\), and decreased bone mineral density\(^{25,26}\). A meta-analysis of case-control studies of non-vertebral fractures in adults using inhaled glucocorticosteroids (BDP or equivalent) indicated that in older adults, the relative risk of non-vertebral fractures increases by about 12% for each 1000 \(\mu\)g/day increase in the dose BDP or equivalent but that the magnitude of this risk was considerably less than other common risk factors for fracture in the older adult\(^{13}\). Inhaled glucocorticosteroids have also been associated with cataracts\(^{27}\) and glaucoma in cross-sectional studies\(^{28,29}\), but there is no evidence of posterior-subcapsular cataracts in prospective studies\(^{30-32}\). One difficulty in establishing the clinical significance of such adverse effects lies in dissociating the effect of high-dose inhaled glucocorticosteroids from the effect of courses of oral glucocorticosteroids taken by patients with severe asthma. There is no evidence that use of inhaled glucocorticosteroids increases the risk of pulmonary infections, including tuberculosis, and inhaled glucocorticosteroids are not contraindicated in patients with active tuberculosis\(^{29}\).

**Leukotriene modifiers.**

**Role in therapy** - Leukotriene modifiers include cysteinyl-leukotriene 1 (CysLT1) receptor antagonists (montelukast, pranlukast, and zafirlukast) and a 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor (zileuton). Clinical studies have demonstrated that leukotriene modifiers have a small and variable bronchodilator effect, reduce symptoms including cough\(^{34}\), improve lung function, and reduce airway inflammation and asthma exacerbations\(^{35-37}\). They may be used as an alternative treatment for adult patients with mild persistent asthma\(^{38-40}\), and some patients with aspirin-sensitive asthma respond well to leukotriene modifiers\(^{41}\). However, when used alone as controller, the effect of leukotriene modifiers are generally less than that of low doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids, and, in patients already on inhaled glucocorticosteroids, leukotriene modifiers cannot substitute for this treatment without risking the loss of asthma control\(^{42,43}\). Leukotriene modifiers used as add-on therapy may reduce the dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroids required by patients with moderate to severe asthma\(^{44}\), and may improve asthma control in patients whose asthma is not controlled with low or high doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids\(^{45-47}\). With the exception of one study that demonstrated equivalence in preventing exacerbations\(^{46}\), several studies have demonstrated that leukotriene modifiers are less effective than long-acting inhaled \(\beta_2\)-agonists as add-on therapy\(^{48,51,152}\). A controlled release formulation of zileuton allows this medication to be used on a twice daily basis with effects equivalent to that of standard zileuton used four times a day\(^{49}\).

**Side effects** - Leukotriene modifiers are well tolerated, and few if any class-related effects have so far been recognized. Zileuton has been associated with liver toxicity\(^{50}\), and monitoring of liver tests is recommended during treatment with this medication. No association was found between Churg-Strauss syndrome and leukotriene modifiers after controlling for asthma drug use, although it is not possible to rule out modest associations given that Churg-Strauss syndrome is so rare and so highly correlated with asthma severity\(^{52}\).
Long-acting inhaled $\beta_2$-agonists.

Role in therapy - Long-acting inhaled $\beta_2$-agonists, including formoterol and salmeterol, should not be used as monotherapy in asthma as these medications do not appear to influence the airway inflammation in asthma. They are most effective when combined with inhaled glucocorticosteroids and this combination therapy is the preferred treatment when a medium dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroid alone fails to achieve control of asthma. Addition of long-acting inhaled $\beta_2$-agonist to a daily regimen of inhaled glucocorticosteroids improves symptom scores, decreases nocturnal asthma, improves lung function, decreases the use of rapid-acting inhaled $\beta_2$-agonists, reduces the number of exacerbations, does not increase the risk of asthma-related hospitalizations, and achieves clinical control of asthma in more patients, more rapidly, and at a lower dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroids than inhaled glucocorticosteroids given alone.

This greater efficacy of combination treatment has led to the development of fixed combination inhalers that deliver both glucocorticosteroid and long-acting $\beta_2$-agonist simultaneously (fluticasone propionate plus salmeterol, budesonide plus formoterol). Controlled studies have shown that delivering this therapy in a combination inhaler is as effective as giving each drug separately. Fixed combination inhalers are more convenient for patients, may increase compliance, and ensure that the long-acting $\beta_2$-agonist is always accompanied by a glucocorticosteroid. In addition, combination inhalers containing formoterol and budesonide may be used for both rescue and maintenance. Both components of budesonide-formoterol given as needed contribute to enhanced protection from severe exacerbations in patients receiving combination therapy for maintenance and provide improvements in asthma control at relatively low doses of treatment. (See Appendix B, GINA Pocket Guide updated 2009 for information on Asthma Combination Medications For Adults and Children 5 Years and Older.)

Long-acting $\beta_2$-agonists when used as a combination medication with inhaled glucocorticosteroids, may also be used to prevent exercise-induced bronchospasm, and for this purpose may provide longer protection than rapid-acting inhaled $\beta_2$-agonists. Salmeterol and formoterol provide a similar duration of bronchodilation and protection against bronchoconstrictors, but there are pharmacological differences between them. Formoterol has a more rapid onset of action than salmeterol, which may make formoterol suitable for symptom relief as well as symptom prevention.

Side effects - Therapy with long-acting inhaled $\beta_2$-agonists causes fewer systemic adverse effects—such as cardiovascular stimulation, skeletal muscle tremor, and hypokalemia—than oral therapy. The regular use of rapid-acting $\beta_2$-agonists in both short and long acting forms may lead to relative refractoriness to $\beta_2$-agonists. Data indicating a possible increased risk of asthma-related death associated with the use of salmeterol in a small group of individuals led to advisories from the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and Health Canada that long-acting $\beta_2$-agonists are not a substitute for inhaled or oral glucocorticosteroids, and should only be used in combination with an appropriate dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroid as determined by a physician. A meta-analysis of all studies of salmeterol added to inhaled glucocorticosteroids has concluded that this combination does not increase the risk for asthma-related deaths or intubations when compared to inhaled glucocorticosteroids alone. No influence of $\beta_2$-adrenergic receptor phenotypes upon the efficacy or safety of long-acting $\beta_2$-agonist therapy has been observed when administered in combination with inhaled glucocorticosteroids whether by the single inhaler for maintenance and relief method or at a regular fixed dose in adults.

Theophylline.

Role in therapy - Theophylline is a bronchodilator and, when given in a lower dose, has modest anti-inflammatory properties. It is available in sustained-release formulations that are suitable for once- or twice-daily dosing. Data on the relative efficacy of theophylline as a long-term controller is lacking. However, available evidence suggests that sustained-release theophylline has little effect as a first-line controller. It may provide benefit as add-on therapy in patients who do not achieve control on inhaled glucocorticosteroids alone. Additionally in such patients the withdrawal of sustained-release theophylline has been associated with deterioration of control. As add-on therapy, theophylline is less effective than long-acting inhaled $\beta_2$-agonists.

Side effects - Side effects of theophylline, particularly at higher doses (10 mg/kg body weight/day or more), are significant and reduce their usefulness. Side effects can be reduced by careful dose selection and monitoring, and generally decrease or disappear with continued use. Adverse effects include gastrointestinal symptoms, loose stools, cardiac arrhythmias, seizures, and even death. Nausea and vomiting are the most common early events. Monitoring is advised when a high dose is started, if the patient develops an adverse effect on the usual dose, when expected therapeutic aims are not achieved, and

when conditions known to alter theophylline metabolism exist. For example, febrile illness, pregnancy, and anti-tuberculosis medications reduce blood levels of theophylline, while liver disease, congestive heart failure, and certain drugs including cimetidine, some quinolones, and some macrolides increase the risk of toxicity. Lower doses of theophylline, which have been demonstrated to provide the full anti-inflammatory benefit of this drug, are associated with less frequent side effects, and plasma theophylline levels in patients on low-dose therapy need not be measured unless overdose is suspected.

Cromones: sodium cromoglycate and nedocromil sodium.

Role in therapy – The role of sodium cromoglycate and nedocromil sodium in long-term treatment of asthma in adults is limited. Efficacy has been reported in patients with mild persistent asthma and exercise-induced bronchospasm. Their anti-inflammatory effect is weak and they are less effective than a low dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroids.

Side effects - Side effects are uncommon and include coughing upon inhalation and sore throat. Some patients find the taste of nedocromil sodium unpleasant.

Long-acting oral β₂-agonists.

Role in therapy - Long acting oral β₂-agonists include slow release formulations of salbutamol, terbutaline, and bambuterol, a prodrug that is converted to terbutaline in the body. They are used only on rare occasions when additional bronchodilation is needed.

Side effects - The side effect profile of long acting oral β₂-agonists is higher than that of inhaled β₂-agonists, and includes cardiovascular stimulation (tachycardia), anxiety, and skeletal muscle tremor. Adverse cardiovascular reactions may also occur with the combination of oral β₂-agonists and theophylline. Regular use of long-acting oral β₂-agonists as monotherapy is likely to be harmful and these medications must always be given in combination with inhaled glucocorticosteroids.

Anti-IgE.

Role in therapy - Anti-IgE (omalizumab) is a treatment option limited to patients with elevated serum levels of IgE. Its current indication is for patients with severe allergic asthma who are uncontrolled on inhaled glucocorticosteroids, although the dose of concurrent treatment has varied in different studies. Improved asthma control is reflected by fewer symptoms, less need for reliever medications, and fewer exacerbations. Further investigations will likely provide additional clarification of the role of anti-IgE in other clinical settings.

Side effects: As indicated by several studies involving asthma patients ages 12 years and older, who were already receiving treatment with glucocorticosteroids (inhaled and/or oral) and long-acting β₂-agonists, anti-IgE appears to be safe as add-on therapy.

Systemic glucocorticosteroids.

Role in therapy - Long-term oral glucocorticosteroid therapy (that is, for periods longer than two weeks as a glucocorticosteroid “burst”) may be required for severely uncontrolled asthma, but its use is limited by the risk of significant adverse effects. The therapeutic index (effect/side effect) of long-term inhaled glucocorticosteroids is always more favorable than long-term systemic glucocorticosteroids in asthma. If oral glucocorticosteroids have to be administered on a long-term basis, attention must be paid to measures that minimize the systemic side effects. Oral preparations are preferred over parenteral (intramuscular or intravenous) for long-term therapy because of their lower mineralocorticoid effect, relatively short half-life, and lesser effects on striated muscle, as well as the greater flexibility of dosing that permits titration to the lowest acceptable dose that maintains control.

Side effects - The systemic side effects of long-term oral or parenteral glucocorticosteroid treatment include osteoporosis, arterial hypertension, diabetes, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis suppression, obesity, cataracts, glaucoma, skin thinning leading to cutaneous striae and easy bruising, and muscle weakness. Patients with asthma who are on long-term systemic glucocorticosteroids in any form should receive preventive treatment for osteoporosis (Figure 3-2). Although it is rare, withdrawal of oral glucocorticosteroids can elicit adrenal failure or unmask underlying disease, such as Churg-Strauss Syndrome. Caution and close medical supervision are recommended when considering the use of systemic glucocorticosteroids in patients with asthma who also have tuberculosis, parasitic infections, osteoporosis, glaucoma, diabetes, severe depression, or peptic ulcers. Fatal herpes virus infections have been reported among patients who are exposed to these viruses while taking systemic glucocorticosteroids, even short bursts.

Oral anti-allergic compounds.

Role in therapy - Several oral anti-allergic compounds have been introduced in some countries for the treatment
Various therapeutic regimens to reduce the role in therapy of asthma medications. Sedation is a potential side effect of some of these compounds. The long-term treatment of asthma before recommendations can be made about their role in the relative efficacy of these compounds are needed. The anti-asthma effect appears to be limited, but studies on the potential steroid-sparing effects may not outweigh the risk of serious side effects. Two meta-analyses of the steroid-sparing effect of low-dose methotrexate showed a small overall benefit, but a relatively high frequency of adverse effects. This small potential to reduce the impact of glucocorticosteroid side effects is probably insufficient to offset the adverse effects of methotrexate. Cyclosporin and gold have also been shown to be effective in some patients. The macrolide, troleandomycin, has a small steroid-sparing effect when used with systemic methylprednisolone, but its effect may result from the macrolide decreasing metabolism of the glucocorticosteroids.

**Screening** - Chest X-rays should be reviewed for the presence of vertebral fractures. Wedging, compressions, and cod-fishing of vertebral bodies are synonymous with fractures, and indicate those who are at the highest risk for future fractures. In men, this may be a better predictor of fracture risk than bone mineral density (BMD). BMD measurements by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA scan) should be undertaken in:

- Any patient with asthma who has been taking oral glucocorticosteroids for over 6 months duration at a mean daily dose of 7.5 mg prednisone/prednisolone or above.
- Post-menopausal women taking over 5 mg prednisone/prednisolone daily for more than 3 months.
- Any patient with asthma and a history of vertebral or other fractures that may be related to osteoporosis.

Bone density measurements should also be offered to:

- Post-menopausal women taking > 2 mg inhaled BDP or equivalent daily
- Any patient who is receiving frequent short courses of high-dose oral glucocorticosteroids

Osteoporosis is present if the bone density in lumbar spine or femoral neck shows:

- T-score below -2.5 (2.5 standard deviations below the mean value of young normal subjects of the same sex in patients 19-69 years).
- Z-score below -1 (1 standard deviation below the predicted value for age and sex).

**Follow-up scanning** - Repeat scanning should be done:

- In 2 years in those whose initial scan was not osteoporotic but in whom treatment (as above) with oral glucocorticosteroids continues.
- In 1 year for those with osteoporosis on the first scan who are started on osteoporosis treatment.

**Management**

- General measures include avoidance of smoking, regular exercise, use of the lowest dose of oral glucocorticosteroid possible, and a good dietary intake of calcium.
- For women with osteoporosis up to 10 years post-menopausal offer bisphosphonates or hormone therapy (Evidence A).
- For men, pre-menopausal women, and women more than 10 years since menopause consider treatment with a bisphosphonate (Evidence A).

**References**

steroid and therefore not improving safety. However, other effects of the long-term use of macrolides in asthma remain under study. The use of intravenous immunoglobulin is not recommended. Data on a human monoclonal antibody against tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha suggest that the risk benefit equation does not favor the use of this class of treatments in severe asthma.

Side effects - Macrolide use is frequently associated with nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain and occasionally liver toxicity. Methotrexate also causes gastrointestinal symptoms, and on rare occasions hepatic and diffuse pulmonary parenchymal disease, and hematological and teratogenic effects.

Allergen-specific immunotherapy.

Role in therapy - The role of specific immunotherapy in adult asthma is limited. Appropriate immunotherapy requires the identification and use of a single well-defined clinically relevant allergen. The later is administered in progressively higher doses in order to induce tolerance. A Cochrane review that examined 75 randomized controlled trials of specific immunotherapy compared to placebo confirmed the efficacy of this therapy in asthma in reducing symptom scores and medication requirements, and improving allergen-specific and non-specific airway hyperresponsiveness. Similar modest effects were identified in a systematic review of sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT). Specific immunotherapy has long-term clinical effects and the potential of preventing development of asthma in children with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis up to 7 years after treatment termination.

However, in view of the relatively modest effect of allergen-specific immunotherapy compared to other treatment options, these benefits must be weighed against the risk of adverse effects and the inconvenience of the prolonged course of injection therapy, including the minimum half-hour wait required after each injection. Specific immunotherapy should be considered only after strict environmental avoidance and pharmacologic intervention, including inhaled glucocorticosteroids, have failed to control a patient’s asthma. There are no studies that compare specific immunotherapy with pharmacologic therapy for asthma. The value of immunotherapy using multiple allergens does not have support.

Side effects - Local and systemic side effects may occur in conjunction with specific immunotherapy administration. Reactions localized to the injection site may range from a minimal immediate wheal and flare to a large, painful, delayed allergic response. Systemic effects may include anaphylactic reactions, which may be life threatening, as well as severe exacerbations of asthma. Deaths from specific immunotherapy have occurred in patients with severe asthma.

Reliever Medications

Reliever medications act quickly to relieve bronchoconstriction and its accompanying acute symptoms.

Rapid-acting inhaled β2-agonists.

Role in therapy - Rapid-acting inhaled β2-agonists are the medications of choice for relief of bronchospasm during acute exacerbations of asthma and for the pretreatment of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction. They include salbutamol, terbutaline, fenoterol, levalbuterol HFA, reprotol, and pibuterol. Formoterol, a long-acting β2-agonist, is approved for symptom relief because of its rapid onset of action, but it should only be used for this purpose in patients on regular controller therapy with inhaled glucocorticosteroids.

Rapid-acting inhaled β2-agonists should be used only on an as-needed basis at the lowest dose and frequency required. Increased use, especially daily use, is a warning of deterioration of asthma control and indicates the need to reassess treatment. Similarly, failure to achieve a quick and sustained response to β2-agonist treatment during an exacerbation mandates medical attention, and may indicate the need for short-term treatment with oral glucocorticosteroids.

Side effects - Use of oral β2-agonists given in standard doses are associated with more adverse systemic effects such as tremor and tachycardia than occur with inhaled preparations.

Systemic glucocorticosteroids.

Role in therapy - Although systemic glucocorticosteroids are not usually thought of as reliever medications, they are important in the treatment of severe acute exacerbations because they prevent progression of the asthma exacerbation, reduce the need for referral to emergency departments and hospitalization, prevent early relapse after emergency treatment, and reduce the morbidity of the illness. The main effects of systemic glucocorticosteroids in acute asthma are only evident after 4 to 6 hours. Oral therapy is preferred and is as effective as intravenous hydrocortisone. A typical short course of oral glucocorticosteroids for an exacerbation is 40-50 mg prednisolone given daily for 5 to 10 days depending on the severity of the exacerbation. When symptoms have
subsided and lung function has approached the patient’s personal best value, the oral glucocorticosteroids can be stopped or tapered, provided that treatment with inhaled glucocorticosteroids continues. Intramuscular injection of glucocorticosteroids has no advantage over a short course of oral glucocorticosteroids in preventing relapse.

Side effects - Adverse effects of short-term high-dose systemic therapy are uncommon but include reversible abnormalities in glucose metabolism, increased appetite, fluid retention, weight gain, rounding of the face, mood alteration, hypertension, peptic ulcer, and aseptic necrosis of the femur.

Anticholinergics.

Role in therapy - Anticholinergic bronchodilators used in asthma include ipratropium bromide and oxitropium bromide. Inhaled ipratropium bromide is a less effective reliever medication in asthma than rapid-acting inhaled β<sub>2</sub>-agonists. A meta-analysis of trials of inhaled ipratropium bromide used in association with an inhaled β<sub>2</sub>-agonist in acute asthma showed that the anticholinergic produces a statistically significant, albeit modest, improvement in pulmonary function, and significantly reduces the risk of hospital admission. The benefits of ipratropium bromide in the long-term management of asthma have not been established, although it is recognized as an alternative bronchodilator for patients who experience such adverse effects as tachycardia, arrhythmia, and tremor from rapid-acting β<sub>2</sub>-agonists.

Side effects - Inhalation of ipratropium or oxitropium can cause a dryness of the mouth and a bitter taste. There is no evidence for any adverse effects on mucus secretion.

Theophylline.

Role in therapy - Short-acting theophylline may be considered for relief of asthma symptoms. The role of theophylline in treating exacerbations remains controversial. Short-acting theophylline may provide no additive bronchodilator effect over adequate doses of rapid-acting β<sub>2</sub>-agonists, but it may benefit respiratory drive.

Side effects - Theophylline has the potential for significant adverse effects, although these can generally be avoided by appropriate dosing and monitoring. Short-acting theophylline should not be administered to patients already on long-term treatment with sustained-release theophylline unless the serum concentration of theophylline is known to be low and/or can be monitored.

Short-acting oral β<sub>2</sub>-agonists.

Short-acting oral β<sub>2</sub>-agonists are appropriate for use in the few patients who are unable to use inhaled medication. However, their use is associated with a higher prevalence of adverse effects.

Complementary And Alternative Medicine

The roles of complementary and alternative medicine in adult asthma treatment are limited because these approaches have been insufficiently researched and their effectiveness is largely unproven. Generally, these therapies have not been validated by conventional standards. Although the psychotherapeutic role of the therapist forms part of the placebo effect of all treatments, this aspect is viewed as an integral part of the so-called holistic approach used by practitioners of complementary and alternative methods, and mitigates against performance of the large, multicenter, placebo-controlled randomized studies required to confirm efficacy. However, without these the relative efficacy of these alternative measures will remain unknown.

Complementary and alternative therapies include acupuncture, homeopathy, herbal medicine, Ayurvedic medicine, ionizers, osteopathy and chiropractic manipulation, and speleotherapy among others. Apart from those mentioned below, there have been no satisfactory studies from which conclusions about their efficacy can be drawn.

Dietary supplements, including selenium therapy are not of proven benefit and the use of a low sodium diet as an adjunctive therapy to normal treatment has no additional therapeutic benefit in adults with asthma. In addition, it has no effect on bronchial reactivity to methacholine. A single controlled trial of chiropractic spinal manipulation failed to show benefit of this therapy in asthma, and a systematic review of homeopathy found only three relevant trials with inconclusive results. Although one study of the Butyeko breathing method suggested minor benefit, a later study of two physiologically-contrasting breathing techniques showed similar improvements in reliever and inhaled glucocorticosteroids use in both groups, suggesting that perceived improvement with these methods are the result of non-physiological factors. A randomized controlled trial indicated that the practice of Sahaja yoga has limited beneficial effects on asthma for some objective and subjective measures, although there were no significant differences between the intervention and control groups at the 2 month follow up assessment.
An integrated breathing and relaxation technique (Papworth method) appears to ameliorate respiratory symptoms, dysfunctional breathing and adverse mood but there is no evidence of effect on objective measures of respiratory function\textsuperscript{210}.

**Side effects** - Acupuncture-associated hepatitis B, bilateral pneumothorax, and burns have been described. Side effects of other alternative and complementary medicines are largely unknown. However, some popular herbal medicines could potentially be dangerous, as exemplified by the occurrence of hepatic veno-occlusive disease associated with the consumption of the commercially available herb comfrey. Comfrey products are sold as herbal teas and herbal root powders, and their toxicity is due to the presence of pyrrolizidine alkaloids.

**Asthma Treatment: Children**

**Route of Administration**

Inhaled therapy is the cornerstone of asthma treatment for children of all ages. Almost all children can be taught to effectively use inhaled therapy. Different age groups require different inhalers for effective therapy, so the choice of inhaler must be individualized. Information about the lung dose for a particular drug formulation is seldom available for children, and marked differences exist between the various inhalers. This should be considered whenever one inhaler device is substituted with another. In addition, the choice of inhaler device should include consideration of the efficacy of drug delivery, cost, safety, ease of use, convenience, and documentation of its use in the patient’s age group\textsuperscript{123-125}. In general, a metered-dose inhaler (MDI) with spacer is preferable to nebulized therapy due to its greater convenience, more effective lung deposition, lower risk of side effects, and lower cost. Based on these considerations, a general strategy for choosing inhalers in children is given in Figure 3-3. Spacers retain large drug particles that would normally be deposited in the oropharynx, reducing oral and gastrointestinal absorption and thus systemic availability of the inhaled drug. This is mainly important when inhaled glucocorticosteroids with first-pass metabolism (beclomethasone dipropionate, flunisolide, triamcinolone, and budesonide) are given via pressurized MDI. Use of a spacer also reduces oropharyngeal side effects. During acute asthma attacks, an MDI should always be used with a spacer, as in this situation a child may be unable to correctly coordinate inhalation with actuation of the MDI. Commercially produced spacers with well-characterized drug output characteristics are preferable. If these are not available or feasible, a homemade spacer (for example, one made from a 500 ml plastic cold drink bottle) may be used\textsuperscript{126}. Nebulizers have rather imprecise dosing, are expensive, are time consuming to use and care for, and require maintenance. They are mainly reserved for children who cannot use other inhaler devices. In severe acute asthma exacerbations a nebulizer is often used, although an MDI with a spacer is equally effective\textsuperscript{127}.

**Controller Medications**

Controller medications for children include inhaled and systemic glucocorticosteroids, leukotriene modifiers, long-acting inhaled $\beta_2$-agonists, theophylline, cromones, and long-acting oral $\beta_2$-agonists.

**Inhaled glucocorticosteroids.**

**Role in Therapy** - Inhaled glucocorticosteroids are the most effective controller therapy, and are therefore the recommended treatment for asthma for children of all ages. Figure 3-4 lists approximately equipotent doses of different inhaled glucocorticosteroids administered via different inhalation devices for children older than 5 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Preferred Device</th>
<th>Alternate Device</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Younger than 4 yrs</td>
<td>Pressurized metered-dose inhaler plus dedicated spacer with face mask</td>
<td>Nebulizer with face mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – 6 yrs</td>
<td>Pressurized metered-dose inhaler plus dedicated spacer with mouthpiece</td>
<td>Nebulizer with mouthpiece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older than 6 yrs</td>
<td>Dry powder inhaler, or breath-actuated pressurized metered-dose inhaler, or pressurized metered-dose inhaler with spacer and mouthpiece</td>
<td>Nebulizer with mouthpiece</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on efficacy of drug delivery, cost effectiveness, safety, ease of use, and convenience.

**See also the "Asthma Medications:  Adults" section at the beginning of this chapter for more information on the therapeutic role and side effects of various therapies. In this section, only information specific to children is provided.**
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(400 µg/day) to achieve optimal asthma control and effective protection against exercise-induced asthma. Only a minority of patients require treatment with high doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids\textsuperscript{133,134}. In children older than 5 years, maintenance treatment with inhaled glucocorticosteroids controls asthma symptoms, reduces the frequency of acute exacerbations and the number of hospital admissions, improves quality of life, lung function, and bronchial hyperresponsiveness, and reduces exercise-induced bronchoconstriction\textsuperscript{10}. Symptom control and improvements in lung function occur rapidly (after 1 to 2 weeks), although longer treatment (over the course of months) and sometimes higher doses may be required to achieve maximum improvements in airway hyperresponsiveness\textsuperscript{10}. When glucocorticosteroid treatment is discontinued, asthma control deteriorates within weeks to months\textsuperscript{10}.

**Children 5 years and younger.** Treatment with inhaled glucocorticosteroids in children 5 years and younger with asthma generally produces similar clinical effects as in older children, but dose-response relationships have been less well studied. The clinical response may differ depending on the inhaler and the child's ability to use the inhaler correctly. With use of a spacer device, a low-dose inhaled glucocorticosteroid results in near-maximum benefits in the majority of patients\textsuperscript{136,137}. Use of inhaled glucocorticosteroids does not induce remission of asthma and it returns when treatment is stopped\textsuperscript{134}. The clinical benefits of intermittent systemic or inhaled glucocorticosteroids for children with intermittent, viral-induced wheeze remain controversial. While some studies in older children found small benefits, a study in young children found no effects on wheezing symptoms\textsuperscript{139}. There is no evidence to support the use of maintenance low-dose inhaled glucocorticosteroids for preventing early transient wheezing\textsuperscript{136,139,199}.

**Side effects -** The majority of studies evaluating the systemic effects of inhaled glucocorticosteroids have been undertaken in children older than 5 years.

**Growth.** When assessing the effects of inhaled glucocorticosteroids on growth in children with asthma, it is important to consider potential confounding factors. For example, many children with asthma receiving inhaled glucocorticosteroids experience a reduction in growth rate toward the end of the first decade of life\textsuperscript{140}. This reduced growth rate continues into the mid-teens and is associated with a delay in the onset of puberty. The pre-pubertal deceleration of growth velocity resembles growth retardation. However, the delay in pubertal growth is also associated with a delay in skeletal maturation, so that the child’s bone age corresponds to his or her height\textsuperscript{140,141}. Ultimately, adult height is not decreased, although it is reached at a later than normal age. The use of 400 µg inhaled budesonide or equivalent per day to control...
asthma has less impact on growth than does low socioeconomic status\textsuperscript{141}. A summary of the findings of studies on inhaled glucocorticosteroids and growth is provided in Figure 3-5.

**Figure 3-5. Summary: Glucocorticosteroids and Growth in Children\textsuperscript{140,142}**

- Uncontrolled or severe asthma adversely affects growth and final adult height.
- No long-term controlled studies have reported any statistically or clinically significant adverse effects on growth of 100 to 200 $\mu$g per day of inhaled glucocorticosteroids.
- Growth retardation may be seen with all inhaled glucocorticosteroids when a high dose is administered.
- Growth retardation in both short- and medium-term studies is dose dependent.
- Important differences seem to exist between the growth-retarding effects of various inhaled glucocorticosteroids and inhalers.
- Different age groups seem to differ in their susceptibility to the growth-retarding effects of inhaled glucocorticosteroids; children aged 4 to 10 are more susceptible than adolescents.
- Glucocorticosteroid-induced changes in growth rate during the first year of treatment appear to be temporary.
- Children with asthma treated with inhaled glucocorticosteroids attain normal adult height (predicted from family members) but at a later age.

**Bones.** The potential clinically relevant adverse effects of inhaled glucocorticosteroids on bones in children are osteoporosis and fracture. Several cross-sectional and longitudinal epidemiologic studies have assessed the effects of long-term inhaled glucocorticosteroid treatment on these outcomes\textsuperscript{132,135,143-149}. The conclusions are summarized in Figure 3-6.

**Figure 3-6. Summary: Bones and Glucocorticosteroids in Children\textsuperscript{10,143,144}**

- No studies have reported any statistically significant increased risk of fractures in children taking inhaled glucocorticosteroids.
- Oral or systemic glucocorticosteroid use increases the risk of fracture. The risk of fracture increases along with the number of treatments, with a 32% increase at four courses ever. Use of inhaled glucocorticosteroids reduces the need for systemic courses.
- Controlled longitudinal studies of 2 to 5 years’ duration and several cross-sectional studies found no adverse effects of inhaled glucocorticosteroid treatment on bone mineral density.
- Inhaled glucocorticosteroid use has the potential for reducing bone mineral accretion in male children progressing through puberty, but this risk is likely to be outweighed by the ability to reduce the amount of oral corticosteroids used in these children\textsuperscript{136}.

Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. Though differences exist between the various inhaled glucocorticosteroids and inhaler devices, treatment with inhaled glucocorticosteroid doses of less than 200 $\mu$g budesonide or equivalent daily is normally not associated with any significant suppression of the HPA axis in children\textsuperscript{136}. At higher doses, small changes in HPA axis function can be detected with sensitive methods\textsuperscript{146}. The clinical relevance of these findings is not known, since there have not been reports of adrenal crisis in clinical trials of inhaled glucocorticosteroids in children. However, adrenal crisis has been reported in children treated with excessively high doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids\textsuperscript{150}.

Cataracts. Inhaled glucocorticosteroids have not been associated with an increased occurrence of cataract development in children\textsuperscript{135,151}.

Central nervous system effects. Although isolated case reports have suggested that hyperactive behavior, aggressiveness, insomnia, uninhibited behavior, and impaired concentration may be seen with inhaled glucocorticosteroid treatment, no increase in such effects has been found in two long-term controlled trials of inhaled budesonide involving more than 10,000 treatment years\textsuperscript{132,135}.

Oral candidiasis, hoarseness, and bruising. Clinical thrush is seldom a problem in children treated with inhaled or systemic glucocorticosteroids. This side effect seems to be related to concomitant use of antibiotics, high daily doses, dose frequency, and inhaler device. Spacers reduce the incidence of oral candidiasis\textsuperscript{151}. Mouth rinsing is beneficial\textsuperscript{152}. The occurrence of hoarseness or other noticeable voice changes during budesonide treatment is similar to placebo\textsuperscript{153}. Treatment with an average daily dose of 500 $\mu$g budesonide for 3 to 6 years is not associated with an increased tendency to bruise\textsuperscript{154}.

Dental side effects. Inhaled glucocorticosteroid treatment is not associated with increased incidence of caries. However, the increased level of dental erosion reported in children with asthma\textsuperscript{153} may be due to a reduction in oral pH that may result from inhalation of $\beta_2$-agonists\textsuperscript{154}.

Other local side effects. The long-term use of inhaled glucocorticosteroids is not associated with an increased incidence of lower respiratory tract infections, including tuberculosis.

**Leukotriene modifiers.**

Children older than 5 years. Leukotriene modifiers provide clinical benefit in children older than 5 years at all levels of severity\textsuperscript{155-159}, but generally less than that of low-dose inhaled
glucocorticosteroids. Leukotriene modifiers provide partial protection against exercise-induced bronchoconstriction within hours after administration with no loss of bronchoprotective effect. As add-on treatment in children whose asthma is insufficiently controlled by low doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids, leukotriene modifiers provide moderate clinical improvements, including a significant reduction in exacerbations. Combination therapy is less effective in controlling asthma in children with moderate persistent asthma than increasing to moderate doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids. Montelukast has not been demonstrated to be an effective inhaled glucocorticosteroid sparing alternative in children with moderate-to-severe persistent asthma.

Children 5 years and younger. In addition to the efficacy as described above, leukotriene modifiers reduce viral-induced asthma exacerbations in children ages 2-5 with a history of intermittent asthma.

Side effects - No safety concerns have been demonstrated from the use of leukotriene modifiers in children.

Long-acting inhaled β₂-agonists.

Role in therapy - Long-acting inhaled β₂-agonists are primarily used as add-on therapy in children older than 5 years whose asthma is insufficiently controlled by medium doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids or as single-dose therapy before vigorous exercise. Monotherapy with long-acting inhaled β₂-agonists should be avoided.

Children older than 5 years. Long-acting inhaled β₂-agonists have mainly been studied in children older than 5 years as add-on therapy for patients whose asthma is not controlled on low to high doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids. Significant improvements in peak flow and other lung function measurements have been found in most studies. However, their effects on other outcomes such as symptoms and need for reliever medication have been less consistent and have only been observed in about half of the trials conducted. Add-on treatment with long-acting inhaled β₂-agonists has not been shown to reduce the frequency of exacerbations. Inhalation of a single dose of long-acting inhaled β₂-agonist effectively blocks exercise-induced bronchoconstriction for several hours. With daily therapy the duration of the protection is somewhat reduced, but is still longer than that provided by short-acting β₂-agonists.

Combination products containing an inhaled glucocorticosteroid and a long-acting inhaled β₂-agonist are preferred to long-acting inhaled β₂-agonist and inhaled glucocorticosteroids administered by separate inhalers. Fixed combination inhalers ensure that the long-acting β₂-agonist is always accompanied by a glucocorticosteroid.

Children 5 years or younger. The effect of long-acting inhaled β₂-agonists has not yet been adequately studied. Combination therapy with budesonide and formoterol used both as maintenance and rescue has been shown to reduce asthma exacerbations in children ages 4 years and older with moderate to severe asthma.

Side effects - Although long-acting inhaled β₂-agonists are well-tolerated in children, even after long-term use, because of inconsistency of reports on their effects on exacerbations of asthma, they are not the recommended option when more than one controller is required. If used, long-acting β₂-agonists should only be used in combination with an appropriate dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroid as determined by a physician, preferably in a fixed combination inhaler.

Theophylline.

Role in therapy - Theophylline has been shown to be effective as monotherapy and as add-on treatment to oral glucocorticosteroids in children older than 5 years. It is significantly more effective than placebo at controlling day and night symptoms and improving lung function. Maintenance treatment offers a marginal protective effect against exercise-induced bronchoconstriction. Add-on treatment with theophylline has been found to improve asthma control and reduce the maintenance glucocorticosteroid dose necessary in children with severe asthma treated with inhaled or oral glucocorticosteroids. A few studies in children 5 years and younger also suggest some clinical benefit. However, the efficacy of theophylline is less than that of low-dose inhaled glucocorticosteroids.

Most clinical evidence regarding the use of theophylline in children has been obtained from studies in which plasma theophylline levels were maintained within the therapeutic range of 55-110 μmol/L (5-10 μg/ml). Further studies suggest that its controller functions may occur at lower plasma levels (corresponding to doses of around 10 mg/kg/day). Sustained-release products are preferable for maintenance therapy, since they enable twice-daily dosing. Sustained-release products with reliable absorption profiles and complete bioavailability with and without concomitant food intake are preferred.
Theophylline elimination may vary up to tenfold between individuals. Measurement of plasma theophylline levels is not necessary in otherwise healthy children when doses less than 10 mg/kg/day are used. However, when higher doses are used or when drugs that may increase theophylline levels are also used chronically, plasma theophylline levels should be measured two hours before administration of the next dose once steady state has been reached (after 3 days).

**Side effects** - The most common side effects of theophylline are anorexia, nausea, vomiting, and headache\(^{178}\). Mild central nervous stimulation, palpitations, tachycardia, arrhythmias, abdominal pain, diarrhea, and, rarely, gastric bleeding may also occur. These side effects are mainly seen at doses higher than 10 mg/kg/day. The risk of adverse effects is reduced if treatment is initiated with daily doses around 5 mg/kg/day and then gradually increased to 10 mg/kg/day. Severe overdosing with theophylline can be fatal.

**Cromones: sodium cromoglycate and nedocromil sodium.**

**Role in therapy** - Sodium cromoglycate and nedocromil sodium have a limited role in the long-term treatment of asthma in children. One meta-analysis has concluded that long-term treatment with sodium cromoglycate is not significantly better than placebo for management of asthma in children\(^{179}\). Another has confirmed superiority of low dose inhaled glucocorticosteroids over sodium cromoglycate in persistent asthma, but as there were no placebo arms in these studies, the efficacy of sodium cromoglycate cannot be confirmed from the studies reviewed; no between treatment difference in safety was observed\(^{180}\).

Nedocromil sodium has been shown to reduce exacerbations, but its effect on other asthma outcomes is not significantly superior to placebo\(^{181}\). A single dose of sodium cromoglycate or nedocromil sodium attenuates bronchospasm induced by exercise or cold air\(^{182}\). Studies of the use of these medications in children 5 years and younger are sparse and results are conflicting.

**Side effects** - Cough, throat irritation, and bronchoconstriction occur in a small proportion of patients treated with sodium cromoglycate. A bad taste, headache, and nausea are the most common side effects of nedocromil\(^{182}\).

**Long-acting oral β\(_2\)-agonists.**

Treatment with long-acting oral β\(_2\)-agonist such as slow release formulations of salbutamol, terbutaline, and bambuterol reduces nocturnal symptoms of asthma\(^{183,184}\). Due to their potential side effects of cardiovascular stimulation, anxiety, and skeletal muscle tremor, their use is not encouraged. If used, dosing should be individualized, and the therapeutic response monitored to limit side effects\(^{185}\). Long-acting oral B2-agonist therapy offers little or no protection against exercise-induced bronchoconstriction.

**Systemic glucocorticosteroids.**

Because of the side effects of prolonged use, oral glucocorticosteroids in children with asthma should be restricted to the treatment of acute severe exacerbations, whether viral-induced or otherwise.

**Reliever Medications**

**Rapid-acting inhaled β2-agonists and short-acting oral β\(_2\)-agonists.**

**Role in therapy** - Rapid-acting inhaled β\(_2\)-agonists are the most effective bronchodilators available and therefore the preferred treatment for acute asthma in children of all ages. The inhaled route results in more rapid bronchodilation at a lower dose and with fewer side effects than oral or intravenous administration\(^{186}\). Furthermore, inhaled therapy offers significant protection against exercise-induced bronchoconstriction and other challenges for 0.5 to 2 hours (long acting β\(_2\)-agonists offer longer protection)\(^{187}\). This is not seen after systemic administration\(^{188}\). Oral therapy is rarely needed and reserved mainly for young children who cannot use inhaled therapy.

**Side effects** - Skeletal muscle tremor, headache, palpitations, and some agitation are the most common complaints associated with high doses of β\(_2\)-agonists in children. These complaints are more common after systemic administration and disappear with continued treatment\(^{189}\).

**Anticholinergics.**

**Role in therapy** - Inhaled anticholinergics are not recommended for long-term management of asthma in children\(^{190}\).
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CHAPTER 4

ASTHMA MANAGEMENT AND PREVENTION
INTRODUCTION

Asthma has a significant impact on individuals, their families, and society. Although there is no cure for asthma, appropriate management that includes a partnership between the physician and the patient/family most often results in the achievement of control.

The goals for successful management of asthma are to:

- Achieve and maintain control of symptoms
- Maintain normal activity levels, including exercise
- Maintain pulmonary function as close to normal as possible
- Prevent asthma exacerbations
- Avoid adverse effects from asthma medications
- Prevent asthma mortality.

These goals for therapy reflect an understanding of asthma as a chronic inflammatory disorder of the airways characterized by recurrent episodes of wheezing, breathlessness, chest tightness, and coughing. Clinical studies have shown that asthma can be effectively controlled by intervening to suppress and reverse the inflammation as well as treating the bronchoconstriction and related symptoms. Furthermore, early intervention to stop exposure to the risk factors that sensitized the airway may help improve the control of asthma and reduce medication needs. Experience in occupational asthma indicates that long-standing exposure to sensitizing agents may lead to irreversible airflow limitation.

The management of asthma can be approached in different ways, depending on the availability of the various forms of asthma treatment and taking into account cultural preferences and differing health care systems. The recommendations in this chapter reflect the current scientific understanding of asthma. They are based as far as possible on controlled clinical studies, and the text references many of these studies. For those aspects of the clinical management of asthma that have not been the subject of specific clinical studies, recommendations are based on literature review, clinical experience, and expert opinion of project members.

The recommendations for asthma management are laid out in five interrelated components of therapy:

1. Develop Patient/Doctor Partnership
2. Identify and Reduce Exposure to Risk Factors
3. Assess, Treat, and Monitor Asthma
4. Manage Asthma Exacerbations
5. Special Considerations.

COMPONENT 1: DEVELOP PATIENT/DOCTOR PARTNERSHIP

KEY POINTS:

- The effective management of asthma requires the development of a partnership between the person with asthma and his or her health care professional(s) (and parents/caregivers, in the case of children with asthma).

- The aim of this partnership is guided self-management—that is, to give people with asthma the ability to control their own condition with guidance from health care professionals.

- The partnership is formed and strengthened as patients and their health care professionals discuss and agree on the goals of treatment, develop a personalized, written self-management plan including self-monitoring, and periodically review the patient’s treatment and level of asthma control.

- Education should be an integral part of all interactions between health care professionals and patients, and is relevant to asthma patients of all ages.

- Personal asthma action plans help individuals with asthma make changes to their treatment in response to changes in their level of asthma control, as indicated by symptoms and/or peak expiratory flow, in accordance with written predetermined guidelines.

INTRODUCTION

The effective management of asthma requires the development of a partnership between the person with asthma and his or her health care professional(s) (and parents/caregivers in the case of children with asthma). The aim of this partnership is to enable patients with asthma to gain the knowledge, confidence, and skills to assume a major role in the management of their asthma. The partnership is formed and strengthened as patients and their health care professionals discuss and agree on the goals of treatment, develop a personalized, written self-management action plan including self-monitoring, and periodically review the patient’s treatment and level of asthma control (Figure 4.1-1).

This approach is called guided self-management and has been shown to reduce asthma morbidity in both adults (Evidence A) and children (Evidence A). A number of specific systems of guided self-management have been
developed for use in a wide range of settings, including primary care, hospitals, emergency departments, and internet-based home monitoring, and among such diverse groups as pregnant women with asthma, children and adolescents, and in multi-racial populations. Guided self-management may involve varying degrees of independence, ranging broadly from patient-directed self-management in which patients make changes without reference to their caregiver, but in accordance with a prior written action plan, to doctor-directed self-management in which patients rely follow a written action plan, but refer most major treatment changes to their physician at the time of planned or unplanned consultations. Cochrane systematic reviews have examined the role of education and self-management strategies in the care of asthma patients.

**Figure 4.1-1. Essential Features of the Doctor-Patient Partnership to Achieve Guided Self-management in Asthma**

- Education
- Joint setting of goals
- Self-monitoring. The person with asthma is taught to combine assessment of asthma control with educated interpretation of key symptoms
- Regular review of asthma control, treatment, and skills by a health care professional
- Written action plan. The person with asthma is taught which medications to use regularly and which to use as needed, and how to adjust treatment in response to worsening asthma control
- Self-monitoring is integrated with written guidelines for both the long-term treatment of asthma and the treatment of asthma exacerbations.

**Figure 4.1-2. Education and the Patient/Doctor Partnership**

**Goal:** To provide the person with asthma, their family, and other caregivers with suitable information and training so that they can keep well and adjust treatment according to a medication plan developed with the health care professional.

**Key components:**
- Focus on the development of the partnership
- Acceptance that this is a continuing process
- A sharing of information
- Full discussion of expectations
- Expression of fears and concerns

**Provide specific information, training, and advice about:**
- Diagnosis
- Difference between “relievers” and “controllers”
- Potential side effects of medications
- Use of inhaler devices
- Prevention of symptoms and attacks
- Signs that suggest asthma is worsening and actions to take
- Monitoring control of asthma
- How and when to seek medical attention

**The person then requires:**
- A guided self-management plan
- Regular supervision, revision, reward, and reinforcement

**Good communication** is essential as the basis for subsequent good compliance/adherence (Evidence B). Key factors that facilitate good communication are:

- A congenial demeanor (friendliness, humor, and attentiveness)
- Engaging in interactive dialogue
- Giving encouragement and praise
- Empathy, reassurance, and prompt handling of any concerns
- Giving of appropriate (personalized) information
- Eliciting shared goals
- Feedback and review

**Teaching health care professionals to improve their communication skills** can result in measurably better outcomes—including increased patient satisfaction, better health, and reduced use of health care—and these benefits may be achieved without any increase in consultation times. Studies have also shown that** patients can be trained to benefit more from consultations. Patients taught how to give information to doctors in a clearer manner, information-seeking techniques, and methods of checking their understanding of what the doctor had told them gained significant improvements in compliance and overall health. Lay educators can be recruited and trained to deliver a

**Asthma Education**

Education should be an integral part of all interactions between health care professionals and patients, and is relevant to asthma patients of all ages. Although the focus of education for small children will be on the parents and caregivers, children as young as 3 years of age can be taught simple asthma management skills. Adolescents may have some unique difficulties regarding adherence that may be helped through peer support group education in addition to education provided by the health care professional.

**Figure 4.1-2:** outlines the key features and components of an asthma education program. The information and skills training required by each person may vary, and their ability or willingness to take responsibility similarly differs. Thus all individuals require certain core information and skills, but most education must be personalized and given to the person in a number of steps. Social and psychological support may also be required to maintain positive behavioral change.
At the Initial Consultation

Early in the consultation the person with asthma needs information about the diagnosis and simple information about the types of treatment available, the rationale for the specific therapeutic interventions being recommended, and strategies for avoiding factors that cause asthma symptoms. Different inhaler devices can be demonstrated, and the person with asthma encouraged to participate in the decision as to which is most suitable for them. Some of these devices and techniques for their use are illustrated on the GINA Website (http://www.ginasthma.org). Criteria for initial selection of inhaler device include device availability and cost, patient skills, and preferences of the health professional and patient36,28. Patients should be given adequate opportunity to express their expectations of both their asthma and its treatment. A frank appraisal should be made of how far their expectations may or may not be met, and agreement should be made about specific goals for therapy.

At the initial consultation, verbal information should be supplemented by the provision of written or pictorial29,30 information about asthma and its treatment. The GINA Website (www.ginasthma.org) contains patient educational materials, as well as links to several asthma websites. The patient and his or her family should be encouraged to make a note of any questions that arise from reading this information or as a result of the consultation, and should be given time to address these during the next consultation.

Personal Asthma Action Plans

Personal asthma action plans help individuals with asthma make changes to their treatment in response to changes in their level of asthma control, as indicated by symptoms and/or peak expiratory flow, in accordance with written predetermined guidelines25,31,32.

The effects were greatest where the intervention involved each of the following elements: education, self-monitoring, regular review, and patient-directed self-management using a written self-management action plan (Evidence A). Patients experience a one-third to two-thirds reduction in hospitalizations, emergency room visits, unscheduled visits to the doctor for asthma, missed days of work, and nocturnal wakening. It has been estimated that the implementation of a self-management program in 20 patients prevents one hospitalization, and successful completion of such a program by eight patients prevents one emergency department visit16-18,23. Less intensive interventions that involve self-management education but not a written plan are less effective9. The efficacy is similar regardless of whether patients self-adjust their medications according to an individual written plan or adjustments of medication are made by a doctor15 (Evidence B). Thus, patients who are unable to undertake guided self-management can still achieve benefit from a structured program of regular medical review. Although interactive computerized asthma education programs may improve patient asthma knowledge and symptoms, their effect on objective clinical outcomes is less consistent35.

Examples of self-management plans that have been recommended can be found on several Websites (UK National Asthma Campaign Plan, www.asthma.org.uk; International Asthma Management Plan “Zone System,” www.halbisupport.com/asthma/index.html; New Zealand “Credit Card” System, www.asthmanz.co.nz.

An example of the contents for an asthma plan for patients to maintain control of asthma is shown in Figure 4.1-3.

Follow-Up and Review

Follow-up consultations should take place at regular intervals. At these visits, the patient’s questions are discussed, and any problems with asthma and its initial treatment are reviewed. Inhaler device technique should be assessed regularly, and corrected if inadequate33. Follow-up consultations should also include checking the person’s adherence/compliance to the medication plan and recommendations for reducing exposure to risk factors. Symptoms (and where appropriate, home peak flow recordings) noted in the diary are also reviewed regularly. After a period of initial training, the frequency of home peak flow and symptom monitoring depends in part on the level of control of the person’s asthma. Routine follow-up visits may be an effective time to review the written self-management plan and its understanding34. Educational messages should be reviewed and repeated or added to if necessary. A single page prompt to clinicians has been shown to improve the provision of preventive care to children with asthma during office visits34.

Improving Adherence

Although interventions for enhancing medication adherence have been developed35, studies of adults and children with asthma34 have shown that around 50% of those on long-term therapy fail to take medications as directed at least part of the time. Patient concern about side-effects of inhaled glucocorticosteroids whether real or perceived may influence adherence34. Non-adherence may be defined in a nonjudgmental way as the failure of
treatment to be taken as agreed upon by the patient and the health care professional. Non-adherence may be identified by prescription monitoring, pill counting, or drug assay, but at a clinical level it is best detected by asking about therapy in a way that acknowledges the likelihood of incomplete adherence (e.g., “So that we may plan therapy, do you mind telling me how often you actually take the medicine?”). Specific drug and non-drug factors involved in non-adherence are listed in Figure 4.1-4.

Self-Management in Children

Children with asthma (with the help of their parents/caregivers) also need to know how to manage their own condition. Simple educational interventions (designed to teach self-management skills) among children admitted to the hospital with asthma have been shown to significantly reduce the readmission rate and reduce morbidity. A systematic review found that educational programs for the self-management of asthma in children and adolescents led to improvements in lung function and feelings of self-control, and reduced absences from school, the number of days with restricted activity, and the number of emergency department visits. For children, symptom-based action plans are more effective than those based on peak flows.

THE EDUCATION OF OTHERS

The education of the general public about asthma is helpful in that it enables members of the public to recognize asthma symptoms and their consequences and encourages those with asthma to seek medical attention and follow their asthma management program. Greater awareness of asthma is also likely to help dispel misconceptions that may exist about the condition and reduce feelings of stigmatization on the part of patients.

Specific advice about asthma and its management should be offered to school teachers and physical education instructors, and several organizations produce materials for this purpose. Schools may need advice on improving the environment and air quality for children with asthma. It is also helpful for employers to have access to clear advice about asthma. Most occupations are as suitable for those with asthma as for those without, but there may be some circumstances where caution is needed.
COMPONENT 2: IDENTIFY AND REDUCE EXPOSURE TO RISK FACTORS

KEY POINTS:

- Pharmacologic intervention to treat established asthma is highly effective in controlling symptoms and improving quality of life. However, measures to prevent the development of asthma, asthma symptoms, and asthma exacerbations by avoiding or reducing exposure to risk factors should be implemented wherever possible.

- At this time, few measures can be recommended for prevention of asthma because the development of the disease is complex and incompletely understood.

- Asthma exacerbations may be caused by a variety of risk factors, sometimes referred to as “triggers,” including allergens, viral infections, pollutants, and drugs.

- Reducing a patient’s exposure to some categories of risk factors improves the control of asthma and reduces medication needs.

- The early identification of occupational sensitizers and the removal of sensitized patients from any further exposure are important aspects of the management of occupational asthma.

INTRODUCTION

Although pharmacologic intervention to treat established asthma is highly effective in controlling symptoms and improving quality of life, measures to prevent the development of asthma, asthma symptoms, and asthma exacerbations by avoiding or reducing exposure to risk factors should be implemented wherever possible. At this time, few measures can be recommended for prevention of asthma because the development of the disease is complex and incompletely understood. This area is a focus of intensive research, but until such measures are developed prevention efforts must primarily focus on prevention of asthma symptoms and attacks.

ASTHMA PREVENTION

Measures to prevent asthma may be aimed at the prevention of allergic sensitization (i.e., the development of atopy, likely to be most relevant prenatally and perinatally), or the prevention of asthma development in sensitized people. Other than preventing tobacco exposure both in utero and after birth, there are no proven and widely accepted interventions that can prevent the development of asthma. Allergic sensitization can occur prenatally. There is currently insufficient information on the critical doses and timing of allergen exposure to permit intervention in this process, and no strategies can be recommended to prevent allergic sensitization prenatally. Prescription of an antigen-avoidance diet to a high-risk woman during pregnancy is unlikely to reduce substantially her risk of giving birth to an atopic child. Moreover, such a diet may have an adverse effect on maternal and/or fetal nutrition.

The role of diet, particularly breast-feeding, in relation to the development of asthma has been extensively studied and, in general, infants fed formulas of intact cow’s milk or soy protein compared with breast milk have a higher incidence of wheezing illnesses in early childhood. Exclusive breast-feeding during the first months after birth is associated with lower asthma rates during childhood.

The “hygiene hypothesis” of asthma, though controversial, has led to the suggestion that strategies to prevent allergic sensitization should focus on redirecting the immune response of infants toward a Th1, nonallergic response or on modulating T regulator cells, but such strategies currently remain in the realm of hypothesis and require further investigation. The role of probiotics in the prevention of allergy and asthma is also unclear. Exposure to cats has been shown to reduce risk of atopy in some studies.

Exposure to tobacco smoke both prenatally and postnatally is associated with measurable harmful effects, including effects on lung development and a greater risk of developing wheezing illnesses in childhood. Although there is little evidence that maternal smoking during pregnancy has an effect on allergic sensitization, passive smoking increases the risk of allergic sensitization in children. Both prenatal and postnatal maternal smoking is problematic. Pregnant women and parents of young children should be advised not to smoke (Evidence B).

Once allergic sensitization has occurred, there are theoretically still opportunities to prevent the actual development of asthma. Whether H1-antagonists (antihistamines) or allergen-specific immunotherapy can prevent the development of asthma in children who have other atopic diseases remains an area of investigation, and these interventions cannot be recommended for wide adoption in clinical practice at this time.

PREVENTION OF ASTHMA SYMPTOMS AND EXACERBATIONS

Asthma exacerbations may be caused by a variety of factors, sometimes referred to as “triggers,” including allergens, viral infections, pollutants, and drugs. Reducing
a patient’s exposure to some of these categories of risk factors (e.g., smoking cessation, reducing exposure to secondhand smoke, reducing or eliminating exposure to occupational agents known to cause symptoms, and avoiding foods/additives/drugs known to cause symptoms) improves the control of asthma and reduces medication needs. In the case of other factors (e.g., allergens, viral infections and pollutants), measures where possible should be taken to avoid these. Because many asthma patients react to multiple factors that are ubiquitous in the environment, avoiding these factors completely is usually impractical and very limiting to the patient. Thus, medications to maintain asthma control have an important role because patients are often less sensitive to these risk factors when their asthma is under good control. Patients with well-controlled asthma are less likely to experience exacerbations than those whose asthma is not well-controlled.

Indoor Allergens

Among the wide variety of allergen sources in human dwellings are domestic mites, furred animals, cockroaches, and fungi. However, there is conflicting evidence about whether measures to create a low-allergen environment in patients’ homes and reduce exposure to indoor allergens are effective at reducing asthma symptoms. The majority of single interventions have failed to achieve a sufficient reduction in allergen load to lead to clinical improvement. It is likely that no single intervention will achieve sufficient benefits to be cost-effective. However, among inner-city children with atopic asthma, an individualized, home-based, comprehensive environmental intervention decreased exposure to indoor allergens and resulted in reduced asthma-associated morbidity. More properly powered and well-designed studies of combined allergen-reduction strategies in large groups of patients are needed.

Domestic mites. Domestic mite allergy is a universal health problem. Since mites live and thrive in many sites throughout the house, they are difficult to reduce and impossible to eradicate (Figure 4.2-1). No single measure is likely to reduce exposure to mite allergens, and single chemical and physical methods aimed at reducing mite allergens are not effective in reducing asthma symptoms in adults (Evidence A). One study showed some efficacy of mattress encasing at reducing airway hyperresponsiveness in children (Evidence B). An integrated approach including barrier methods, dust removal, and reduction of microhabitats favorable to mites has been suggested, although its efficacy at reducing symptoms has only been confirmed in deprived populations with a specific environmental exposure (Evidence B) and a recommendation for its widespread use cannot be made.

Furred animals. Complete avoidance of pet allergens is impossible, as the allergens are ubiquitous and can be found in many environments outside the home, including schools, public transportation, and cat-free buildings. Although removal of such animals from the home is encouraged, even after permanent removal of the animal it can be many months before allergen levels decrease and the clinical effectiveness of this and other interventions remains unproven (Figure 4.2-1).

Cockroaches. Avoidance measures for cockroaches include eliminating suitable environments (restricting havens by caulking and sealing cracks in the plasterwork and flooring, controlling dampness, and reducing the availability of food), restricting access (sealing entry sources such as around paperwork and doors), chemical control, and traps. However, these measures are only partially effective in removing residual allergens (Evidence C).

Fungi. Fungal exposure has been associated with exacerbations from asthma and the number of fungal spores can best be reduced by removing or cleaning mold-laden objects. In tropical and subtropical climates, fungi may grow on the walls of the house due to water seepage.
and humidity. To avoid this, the walls could be tiled or cleaned as necessary. Air conditioners and dehumidifiers may be used to reduce humidity to levels less than 50% and to filter large fungal spores. However, air conditioning and sealing of windows have also been associated with increases in fungal and house dust mite allergens70.

Outdoor Allergens

Outdoor allergens such as pollens and molds are impossible to avoid completely. Exposure may be reduced by closing windows and doors, remaining indoors when pollen and mold counts are highest, and using air conditioning if possible. Some countries use radio, television, and the Internet to provide information on outdoor allergen levels. The impact of these measures is difficult to assess.

Indoor Air Pollutants

The most important measure in controlling indoor air pollutants is to avoid passive and active smoking. Secondhand smoke increases the frequency and severity of symptoms in children with asthma. Parents/caregivers of children with asthma should be advised not to smoke and not to allow smoking in rooms their children use. In addition to increasing asthma symptoms and causing long-term impairments in lung function, active cigarette smoking reduces the efficacy of inhaled and systemic glucocorticosteroids71,72 (Evidence B), and smoking cessation needs to be vigorously encouraged for all patients with asthma who smoke. Other major indoor air pollutants include nitric oxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, sulfur dioxide, formaldehyde, and biologicals (endotoxin). Installation of non-polluting, more effective heating (heat pump, wood pellet burner, flued gas) in the homes of children with asthma does not significantly improve lung function but does significantly reduce symptoms of asthma, days off school, healthcare utilization, and visits to a pharmacist365.

Outdoor Air Pollutants

Several studies have suggested that outdoor pollutants aggravate asthma symptoms74,366, possibly having an additive effect with allergen exposure35. Outbreaks of asthma exacerbations have been shown to occur in relationship to increased levels of air pollution, and this may be related to a general increase in pollutant levels or to an increase in specific allergens to which individuals are sensitized75,76. Most epidemiological studies show a significant association between air pollutants—such as ozone, nitrogen oxides, acidic aerosols, and particulate matter—and symptoms or exacerbations of asthma. On occasion, certain weather and atmospheric conditions, e.g., thunderstorms79 favor the development of asthma exacerbations by a variety of mechanisms, including dust and pollution, increases in respirable allergens, and changes in temperature/humidity.

Avoidance of unfavorable environmental conditions is usually unnecessary for patients whose asthma is controlled. For patients with asthma that is difficult to control, practical steps to take during unfavorable environmental conditions include avoiding strenuous physical activity in cold weather, low humidity, or high air pollution; avoiding smoking and smoke-filled rooms; and staying indoors in a climate-controlled environment.

Occupational Exposures

Occupational exposures account for a substantial proportion of adult asthma incidence357. The early identification of occupational sensitizers and the removal of sensitized patients from any further exposure are important aspects of the management of occupational asthma (Evidence B). Once a patient has become sensitized to an occupational allergen, the level of exposure necessary to induce symptoms may be extremely low, and resulting exacerbations become increasingly severe. Attempts to reduce occupational exposure have been successful especially in industrial settings, and some potent sensitizers, such as soy castor bean, have been replaced by less allergenic substances36 (Evidence B). Prevention of latex sensitization has been made possible by the production of hypoallergenic gloves, which are powder free and have a lower allergen content81,82 (Evidence C). Although more expensive than untreated gloves, they are cost effective.

Food and Food Additives

Food allergy as an exacerbating factor for asthma is uncommon and occurs primarily in young children. Food avoidance should not be recommended until an allergy has been clearly demonstrated (usually by oral challenges)83. When food allergy is demonstrated, food allergen avoidance can reduce asthma exacerbations84 (Evidence D). Sulfites (common food and drug preservatives found in such foods as processed potatoes, shrimp, dried fruits, beer, and wine) have often been implicated in causing severe asthma exacerbations but the likelihood of a reaction is dependent on the nature of the food, the level of residual sulfite, the sensitivity of the patient, the form of residual sulfite and the mechanism of the sulfite-induced reaction85. The role of other dietary substances—including the yellow dye tartrazine, benzoate, and monosodium glutamate—in exacerbating asthma is probably minimal; confirmation of their relevance requires double-blind challenge before making specific dietary restrictions.

Drugs

Some medications can exacerbate asthma. Aspirin and other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs can cause
severe exacerbations and should be avoided in patients with a history of reacting to these agents. Beta-blocker drugs administered orally or intraocularly may exacerbate bronchospasm (Evidence A) and close medical supervision is essential when these are used by patients with asthma. Beta blockers have a proven benefit in the management of patients with acute coronary syndromes and for secondary prevention of coronary events. Data suggest that patients with asthma who receive newer more cardio-selective beta blockers within 24 hours of hospital admission for an acute coronary event have lower in-hospital mortality rates.

**Influenza Vaccination**

Patients with moderate to severe asthma should be advised to receive an influenza vaccination every year or at least when vaccination of the general population is advised. However, routine influenza vaccination of children and adults with asthma does not appear to protect them from asthma exacerbations or improve asthma control. Inactivated influenza vaccines are associated with few side effects and are safe to administer to asthmatic adults and children over the age of 3 years, including those with difficult-to-treat asthma. There are data to suggest that intranasal vaccination in children under age 3 may be associated with an increased incidence of asthma exacerbations.

**Obesity**

Increases in body mass index (BMI) have been associated with increased prevalence of asthma, although the mechanisms behind this association are unclear. Weight reduction in obese patients with asthma has been demonstrated to improve lung function, symptoms, morbidity, and health status (Evidence B).

**Emotional Stress**

Emotional stress may lead to asthma exacerbations, primarily because extreme emotional expressions (laughing, crying, anger, or fear) can lead to hyperventilation and hypocapnia, which can cause airway narrowing. Panic attacks, which are rare but not exceptional in some patients with asthma, have a similar effect. However, it is important to note that asthma is not primarily a psychosomatic disorder.

**Other Factors That May Exacerbate Asthma**

Rhinitis, sinusitis, and polyposis are frequently associated with asthma and need to be treated. In children, antibiotic treatment of bacterial sinusitis has been shown to reduce the severity of asthma. However, sinusitis and asthma may simply coexist. Apart from sinusitis, there is little evidence that bacterial infections exacerbate asthma. Gastroesophageal reflux can exacerbate asthma, especially in children, and asthma sometimes improves when the reflux is corrected. Many women complain that their asthma is worse at the time of menstruation, and premenstrual exacerbations have been documented. Similarly, asthma may improve, worsen, or remain unchanged during pregnancy.

A randomized clinical trial of a self-regulation, telephone counseling intervention emphasizing sex and gender role factors in the management of asthma indicated that a program with a focus on asthma management problems particular to women can significantly assist female asthma patients.

**COMPONENT 3: ASSESS, TREAT, AND MONITOR ASTHMA**

**INTRODUCTION**

**KEY POINTS:**

- The goal of asthma treatment, to achieve and maintain clinical control, can be reached in a majority of patients with a pharmacologic intervention strategy developed in partnership between the patient/family and the doctor.
- Treatment should be adjusted in a continuous cycle driven by the patients’ asthma control status. If asthma is not controlled on the current treatment regimen, treatment should be stepped up until control is achieved. When control is maintained for at least three months, treatment can be stepped down.
- In treatment-naive patients with persistent asthma, treatment should be started at Step 2, or, if very symptomatic (uncontrolled), at Step 3. For Steps 2 through 5, a variety of controller medications are available.
- At each treatment step, reliever medication should be provided for quick relief of symptoms as needed.
- Ongoing monitoring is essential to maintain control and to establish the lowest step and dose of treatment to minimize cost and maximize safety.

The goal of asthma treatment, to achieve and maintain clinical control, can be reached in a majority of patients with a pharmacologic intervention strategy developed in partnership between the patient/family and the doctor. Each patient is assigned to one of five “treatment steps” depending on their current level of control and treatment is adjusted in a continuous cycle driven by changes in their asthma control status. This cycle involves:

- Assessing Asthma Control
- Treating to Achieve Control
- Monitoring to Maintain Control
In this Component, this cycle is described for long-term treatment of asthma. Treatment for exacerbations is detailed in Component 4.

**ASSESSING ASTHMA CONTROL**

Each patient should be assessed to establish his or her current treatment regimen, adherence to the current regimen, and level of asthma control. A simplified scheme for recognizing controlled, partly controlled, and uncontrolled asthma in a given week is provided in Figure 4.3-1. This is a working scheme based on current opinion and has not been validated. Several composite control measures (e.g., Asthma Control Test105, Asthma Control Questionnaire106-108, Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire109, Asthma Control Scoring System110) have been developed and are being validated for various applications, including use by health care providers to assess the state of control of their patients’ asthma and by patients for self-assessments as part of a written personal asthma action plan. Uncontrolled asthma may progress to the point of an exacerbation, and immediate steps, described in Component 4, should be taken to regain control.

**TREATING TO ACHIEVE CONTROL**

The patient’s current level of asthma control and current treatment determine the selection of pharmacologic treatment. For example, if asthma is not controlled on the current treatment regimen, treatment should be stepped up until control is achieved. If control has been maintained for at least three months, treatment can be stepped down with the aim of establishing the lowest step and dose of treatment that maintains control (see *Monitoring to Maintain Control* below). If asthma is partly controlled, an increase in treatment should be considered, subject to whether more effective options are available (e.g., increased dose or an additional treatment), safety and cost of possible treatment options, and the patient’s satisfaction with the level of control achieved. The scheme presented in Figure 4.3-2 is based upon these principles, but the range and sequence of medications used in each clinical setting will vary depending on local availability (for cost or other reasons), acceptability, and preference.

**Treatment Steps for Achieving Control**

Most of the medications available for asthma patients, when compared with medications used for other chronic diseases, have extremely favorable therapeutic ratios. Each step represents treatment options that, although not of identical efficacy, are alternatives for controlling asthma. Steps 1 to 5 provide options of increasing efficacy, except for Step 5 where issues of availability and safety influence the selection of treatment. Step 2 is the initial treatment for most treatment-naïve patients with persistent asthma symptoms. If symptoms at the initial consultation suggest that asthma is severely uncontrolled (Figure 4.3-1), treatment should be commenced at Step 3.

At each treatment step, a reliever medication (rapid-onset bronchodilator, either short-acting or long-acting) should be provided for quick relief of symptoms. However, regular use of reliever medication is one of the elements defining uncontrolled asthma, and indicates that controller treatment should be increased. Thus, reducing or eliminating the need for reliever treatment is both an important goal and measure of success of treatment. For Steps 2 through 5, a variety of controller medications are available.

---

### Figure 4.3-1. Levels of Asthma Control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Controlled (All of the following)</th>
<th>Partly Controlled (Any measure present in any week)</th>
<th>Uncontrolled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daytime symptoms</td>
<td>Twice or less/week</td>
<td>More than twice/week</td>
<td>Three or more features of partly controlled asthma present in any week*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitations of activities</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocturnal symptoms/awakening</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need for reliever/rescue treatment</td>
<td>Twice or less/week</td>
<td>More than twice/week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung function (PEF or FEV₁)†</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>&lt;80% predicted or personal best (if known)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

B. Assesment of Future Risk (risk of exacerbations, instability, rapid decline in lung function, side-effect)

Patients with any of the following features are at increased risk of adverse events in the future:

Poor clinical control, frequent exacerbations in past year*, ever admitted to critical care for asthma, low FEV₁, exposure to cigarette smoke, high dose medication requirement.

---

* Any exacerbation should prompt review of maintenance treatment to ensure that it is adequate.
† By definition, an exacerbation in any week makes that an uncontrolled asthma week.
‡ Lung function testing is not reliable for children 5 years and younger.
Management Approach Based On Control
For Children Older Than 5 Years, Adolescents and Adults

- **Controller options***
  - ICS = inhaled glucocorticosteroids
  - ** = Receptor antagonist or synthesis inhibitors
  - *** = Preferred controller options are shown in shaded boxes

- **Treatment Steps**
  - As needed rapid-acting $\beta_2$-agonist
  - Low-dose ICS plus long-acting $\beta_2$-agonist
  - Select one Leukotriene modifier**
  - Medium-or high-dose ICS
  - Low-dose ICS plus sustained release theophylline
  - To Step 3 treatment, select one or more
  - To Step 4 treatment, add either
  - Asthma education
  - Environmental control

- **Treatment Action**
  - Reduce
  - Increase
  - Reduce
  - Increase
  - Reduce
  - Increase
  - Reduce
  - Increase
  - Reduce
  - Increase

- **Controlled**
  - Maintain and find lowest controlling step

- **Partly controlled**
  - Consider stepping up to gain control

- **Uncontrolled**
  - Step up until controlled

- **Exacerbation**
  - Treat as exacerbation

For guidelines on management of asthma in children 5 years and younger, please refer to the Global Strategy for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma in Children 5 Years and Younger, available at www.ginasthma.org.
**Step 1: As-needed reliever medication.** Step 1 treatment with an as-needed reliever medication is reserved for untreated patients with occasional daytime symptoms (cough, wheeze, dyspnea occurring twice or less per week, or less frequently if nocturnal) of short duration (lasting only a few hours) comparable with controlled asthma (Figure 4.3-1). Between episodes, the patient is asymptomatic with normal lung function and there is no nocturnal awakening. When symptoms are more frequent, and/or worsen periodically, patients require regular controller treatment (see Steps 2 or higher) in addition to as-needed reliever medication111-113 (Evidence B).

For the majority of patients in Step 1, a rapid-acting inhaled β2-agonist is the recommended reliever treatment114 (Evidence A). An inhaled anticholinergic, short-acting oral β2-agonist, or short-acting theophylline may be considered as alternatives, although they have a slower onset of action and higher risk of side effects (Evidence A).

**Exercise-induced bronchoconstriction.** Physical activity is an important cause of asthma symptoms for most asthma patients, and for some it is the only cause. However, exercise-induced bronchoconstriction often indicates that the patient’s asthma is not well controlled, and stepping up controller therapy generally results in the reduction of exercise-related symptoms. For those patients who still experience exercise-induced bronchoconstriction despite otherwise well-controlled asthma, and for those in whom exercise-induced bronchoconstriction is the only manifestation of asthma, a rapid-acting inhaled β2-agonist (short- or long-acting), taken prior to exercise or to relieve symptoms that develop after exercise, is recommended115. A leukotriene modifier116,345 or cromone117 are alternatives (Evidence A). Training and sufficient warm-up also reduce the incidence and severity of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction118,119 (Evidence B). Information on treatment of exercise-induced asthma in athletes can be found in a Joint Task Force Report prepared by the European Respiratory Society, the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, and GA(2)LEN359 and the European Respiratory Society, and in a joint statement from the World Anti-Doping Agency website (www.wada-ama.org).

**Step 2: Reliever medication plus a single controller.** Treatment Steps 2 through 5, combine an as-needed reliever treatment with regular controller treatment. At Step 2, a low-dose inhaled glucocorticosteroid is recommended as the initial controller treatment for asthma patients of all ages111,120 (Evidence A). Equivalent doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids, some of which may be given as a single daily dose, are provided in Figure 3-1 for adults and in Figure 3-4 for children older than 5 years.

Alternative controller medications include leukotriene modifiers121-123 (Evidence A), appropriate particularly for patients who are unable or unwilling to use inhaled glucocorticosteroids, or who experience intolerable side effects such as persistent hoarseness from inhaled glucocorticosteroid treatment and those with concomitant allergic rhinitis124,125 (Evidence C).

Other options are available but not recommended for routine use as initial or first-line controllers in Step 2. Sustained-release theophylline has only weak anti-inflammatory and controller efficacy126-130 (Evidence B) and is commonly associated with side effects that range from trivial to intolerable131,132. Cromones (nedocromil sodium and sodium cromoglycate) have comparatively low efficacy, though a favorable safety profile133-136 (Evidence A).

**Step 3: Reliever medication plus one or two controllers.** At Step 3, the recommended option for adolescents and adults is to combine a low-dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroid with an inhaled long-acting β2-agonist, either in a combination inhaler device or as separate components137-144 (Evidence A). Because of the additive effect of this combination, the low-dose of glucocorticosteroid is usually sufficient, and need only be increased if control is not achieved within 3 or 4 months with this regimen (Evidence A). The long-acting β2-agonist formoterol, which has a rapid onset of action whether given alone145-148 or in combination inhaler with budesonide149,150, has been shown to be as effective as short-acting β2-agonist in acute asthma exacerbation. However its use as monotherapy as a reliever medication is strongly discouraged since it must always be used in association with an inhaled glucocorticosteroid.

For all children but particularly those 5 years and younger, combination therapy has been less well studied and the addition of a long-acting β2-agonist may not be as effective as increasing the dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroids in reducing exacerbations151-153. However, the interpretation of some studies is problematic as not all children received concurrent inhaled glucocorticosteroids152,153.

If a combination inhaler containing formoterol and budesonide is selected, it may be used for both rescue and maintenance. This approach has been shown to result in reductions in exacerbations and improvements in asthma control in adults and adolescents at relatively low doses of treatment154-157 (Evidence A). Whether this approach can be employed with other combinations of controller and reliever requires further study.

Another option for both adults and children, but the one recommended for children158, is to increase to a medium-dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroids159-161 (Evidence A). For patients of all ages on medium- or high-dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroid delivered by a pressurized...
metered-dose inhaler, use of a spacer device is recommended to improve delivery to the airways, reduce oropharyngeal side effects, and reduce systemic absorption. (Evidence A).

Another option at Step 3 is to combine a low-dose inhaled glucocorticosteroid with leukotriene modifiers (Evidence A). Alternatively, the use of sustained-release theophylline given at low-dose may be considered (Evidence B). These options have not been fully studied in children 5 years and younger.

**Step 4: Reliever medication plus two or more controllers.** The selection of treatment at Step 4 depends on prior selections at Steps 2 and 3. However, the order in which additional medications should be added is based, as far as possible, upon evidence of their relative efficacy in clinical trials. Where possible, patients who are not controlled on Step 3 treatments should be referred to a health professional with expertise in the management of asthma for investigation of alternative diagnoses and/or causes of difficult-to-treat asthma.

The preferred treatment at Step 4 is to combine a medium- or high-dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroid with a long-acting inhaled β2-agonist. However, in most patients, the increase from a medium- to a high-dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroid provides relatively little additional benefit (Evidence A), and the high-dose is recommended only on a trial basis for 3 to 6 months when control cannot be achieved with medium-dose inhaled glucocorticosteroid combined with a long-acting β2-agonist and/or a third controller (e.g., leukotriene modifiers or sustained-release theophylline) (Evidence B). Prolonged use of high-dose inhaled glucocorticosteroids is also associated with increased potential for adverse effects. At medium- to high-doses, twice-daily dosing is necessary for most but not all inhaled glucocorticosteroids (Evidence A). With budesonide, efficacy may be improved with more frequent dosing (four times daily) (Evidence B). (Refer to Figure 3-1 for adults and Figure 3-4 for children older than 5 years for recommendations on dosing and frequency for different inhaled glucocorticosteroids.)

Leukotriene modifiers as add-on treatment to medium- to high-dose inhaled glucocorticosteroids have been shown to provide benefit (Evidence A), but usually less than that achieved with the addition of a long-acting β2-agonist (Evidence A). The addition of a low-dose of sustained-release theophylline to medium- or high-dose inhaled glucocorticosteroid and long-acting β2-agonist may also provide benefit (Evidence B).

**Step 5: Reliever medication plus additional controller options.** Addition of oral glucocorticosteroids to other controller medications may be effective (Evidence D) but is associated with severe side effects (Evidence A) and should only be considered if the patient’s asthma remains severely uncontrolled on Step 4 medications with daily limitation of activities and frequent exacerbations. Patients should be counseled about potential side effects and all other alternative treatments must be considered.

Addition of anti-IgE treatment to other controller medications has been shown to improve control of allergic asthma when control has not been achieved on combinations of other controllers including high-doses of inhaled or oral glucocorticosteroids (Evidence B).  

**MONITORING TO MAINTAIN CONTROL**

When asthma control has been achieved, ongoing monitoring is essential to maintain control and to establish the lowest step and dose of treatment necessary, which minimizes the cost and maximizes the safety of treatment. On the other hand, asthma is a variable disease, and treatment has to be adjusted periodically in response to loss of control as indicated by worsening symptoms or the development of an exacerbation.

Asthma control should be monitored by the health care professional and preferably also by the patient at regular intervals, using either a simplified scheme as presented in Figure 4.3-1 or a validated composite measure of control. The frequency of health care visits and assessments depends upon the patient’s initial clinical severity, and the patient’s training and confidence in playing a role in the ongoing control of his or her asthma. Typically, patients are seen one to three months after the initial visit, and every three months thereafter. After an exacerbation, follow-up should be offered within two weeks to one month (Evidence D).

**Duration and Adjustments to Treatment**

For most classes of controller medications, improvement begins within days of initiating treatment, but the full benefit may only be evident after 3 or 4 months. In severe and chronically undertreated disease, this can take even longer.

The reduced need for medication once control is achieved is not fully understood, but may reflect the reversal of some of the consequences of long-term inflammation of the airways. Higher doses of anti-inflammatory medication may be required to achieve this benefit than to maintain it. Alternatively, the reduced need for medication might simply represent spontaneous improvement as part of the cyclical natural history of asthma. Rarely, asthma may go...
into remission particularly in children aged 5 years and younger and during puberty. Whatever the explanation, in all patients the minimum controlling dose of treatment must be sought through a process of regular follow-up and staged dose reductions.

At other times treatment may need to be increased either in response to loss of control or threat of loss of control (return of symptoms) or an acute exacerbation, which is defined as a more acute and severe loss of control that requires urgent treatment. (An approach to exacerbations is provided in Component 4.4.)

Stepping Down Treatment When Asthma Is Controlled

There is little experimental data on the optimal timing, sequence, and magnitude of treatment reductions in asthma, and the approach will differ from patient to patient depending on the combination of medications and the doses that were needed to achieve control. These changes should ideally be made by agreement between patient and health care professional, with full discussion of potential consequences including reappearance of symptoms and increased risk of exacerbations.

Although further research on stepping down asthma treatment is needed, some recommendations can be made based on the current evidence:

- When inhaled glucocorticosteroids alone in medium- to high-doses are being used, a 50% reduction in dose should be attempted at 3 month intervals188-191 (Evidence B).

- Where control is achieved at a low-dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroids alone, in most patients treatment may be switched to once-daily dosing188-190 (Evidence A).

- When asthma is controlled with a combination of inhaled glucocorticosteroid and long-acting β2-agonist, the preferred approach is to begin by reducing the dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroid by approximately 50% while continuing the long-acting β2-agonist190 (Evidence B). If control is maintained, further reductions in the glucocorticosteroid should be attempted until a low-dose is reached, when the long-acting β2-agonist may be stopped (Evidence D). An alternative is to switch the combination treatment to once-daily dosing194. A second alternative is to discontinue the long-acting β2-agonist at an earlier stage and substitute the combination treatment with inhaled glucocorticosteroid monotherapy at the same dose contained in the combination inhaler. However, this is more likely to lead to loss of asthma control197, 368 (Evidence B).

- When asthma is controlled with inhaled glucocorticosteroids in combination with controllers other than long-acting β2-agonists, the dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroid should be reduced by 50% until a low-dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroid is reached, then the combination treatment stopped as described above (Evidence D).

- Controller treatment may be stopped if the patient’s asthma remains controlled on the lowest dose of controller and no recurrence of symptoms occurs for one year (Evidence D).

Stepping Up Treatment In Response To Loss Of Control

Treatment has to be adjusted periodically in response to worsening control, which may be recognized by the minor recurrence or worsening of symptoms195. Treatment options are as follows:

- Rapid-onset, short-acting or long-acting β2-agonist bronchodilators. Repeated dosing with bronchodilators in this class provides temporary relief until the cause of the worsening symptoms passes. The need for repeated doses over more than one or two days signals the need for review and possible increase of controller therapy.

- Inhaled glucocorticosteroids. Temporarily doubling the dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroids has not been demonstrated to be effective, and is no longer recommended194,196 (Evidence A). A four-fold or greater increase has been demonstrated to be equivalent to a short course of oral glucocorticosteroids in adult patients with an acute deterioration195 (Evidence A). The higher dose should be maintained for seven to fourteen days but more research is needed in both adults and children to standardize the approach.

- Combination of inhaled glucocorticosteroids and rapid and long-acting β2-agonist bronchodilator (e.g. formoterol) for combined relief and control. The use of the combination of a rapid and long-acting β2-agonist (formoterol) and an inhaled glucocorticosteroid (budesonide) in a single inhaler both as a controller and reliever is effective in maintaining a high level of asthma control and reduces exacerbations requiring systemic glucocorticosteroids and hospitalization111,156,157,197 (Evidence A). The benefit in preventing exacerbations appears to be the consequence of early intervention at a very early stage of a threatened exacerbation since studies involving doubling or quadrupling doses of combination treatment once deterioration is established (for 2 or more days) show some benefit but results are inconsistent195. Because there are no studies using
Difficult-to-Treat Asthma

Although the majority of asthma patients can obtain the targeted level of control (Figure 4.3-1), some patients will not do so even with the best therapy. Patients who do not reach an acceptable level of control at Step 4 ( reliever medication plus two or more controllers) can be considered to have difficult-to-treat asthma. These patients may have an element of poor glucocorticosteroid responsiveness, and require higher doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids than are routinely used in patients whose asthma is easy to control. However, there is currently no evidence to support continuing these high-doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids beyond 6 months in the hope of achieving better control. Instead, dose optimization should be pursued by stepping down to a dose that maintains the maximal level of control achieved on the higher dose.

Because very few patients are completely resistant to glucocorticosteroids, these medications remain a mainstay of therapy for difficult-to-treat asthma, while additional diagnostic and generalized therapeutic options can and should also be considered:

- Confirm the diagnosis of asthma. In particular, the presence of COPD must be excluded. Vocal cord dysfunction must be considered.
- Investigate and confirm compliance with treatment. Incorrect or inadequate use of medications remains the most common reason for failure to achieve control.
- Consider smoking, current or past, and encourage complete cessation. A history of past tobacco smoking is associated with a reduced likelihood of complete asthma control, and this is only partly attributable to the presence of fixed airflow obstruction. In addition, current smoking reduces the effectiveness of inhaled and oral glucocorticosteroids. Counseling and smoking cessation programs should be offered to all asthma patients who smoke.
- Investigate the presence of comorbidities that may aggravate asthma. Chronic sinusitis, gastroesophageal reflux, and obesity/obstructive sleep apnea have been reported in higher percentages in patients with difficult-to-treat asthma. Psychological and psychiatric disorders should also be considered. If found, these comorbidities should be addressed and treated as appropriate, although the ability to improve asthma control by doing so remains unconfirmed.

When these reasons for lack of treatment response have been considered and addressed, a compromise level of control may need to be accepted and discussed with the patient to avoid futile over-treatment (with its attendant cost and potential for adverse effects). The objective is then to minimize exacerbations and need for emergency medical interventions while achieving as high a level of clinical control with as little disruption of activities and as few daily symptoms as possible. For these difficult-to-treat patients, frequent use of rescue medication is accepted, as is a degree of chronic lung function impairment.

Although lower levels of control are generally associated with an increased risk of exacerbations, not all patients with chronically impaired lung function, reduced activity levels, and daily symptoms have frequent exacerbations. In such patients, the lowest level of treatment that retains the benefits achieved at the higher doses of treatment should be employed. Reductions should be made cautiously and slowly at intervals not more frequent than 3 to 6 months, as carryover of the effects of the higher dose may last for several months and make it difficult to assess the impact of the dose reduction ( Evidence D). Referral to a physician with an interest in and/or special focus on asthma may be helpful and patients may benefit from phenotyping into categories such as allergic, aspirin-sensitive, and/or eosinophilic asthma. Patients categorized as allergic might benefit from anti-IgE therapy, and leukotriene modifiers can be helpful for patients determined to be aspirin sensitive (who are often eosinophilic as well).
COMPONENT 4: MANAGE ASTHMA EXACERBATIONS

KEY POINTS:

• Exacerbations of asthma (asthma attacks or acute asthma) are episodes of progressive increase in shortness of breath, cough, wheezing, or chest tightness, or some combination of these symptoms.

• Exacerbations are characterized by decreases in expiratory airflow that can be quantified and monitored by measurement of lung function (PEF or FEV1).

• The primary therapies for exacerbations include the repetitive administration of rapid-acting inhaled bronchodilators, the early introduction of systemic glucocorticosteroids, and oxygen supplementation.

• The aims of treatment are to relieve airflow obstruction and hypoxemia as quickly as possible, and to plan the prevention of future relapses.

• Severe exacerbations are potentially life threatening, and their treatment requires close supervision. Most patients with severe asthma exacerbations should be treated in an acute care facility. Patients at high risk of asthma-related death also require closer attention.

• Milder exacerbations, defined by a reduction in peak flow of less than 20%, nocturnal awakening, and increased use of short acting β₂-agonists can usually be treated in a community setting.

INTRODUCTION

Exacerbations of asthma (asthma attacks or acute asthma) are episodes of progressive increase in shortness of breath, cough, wheezing, or chest tightness, or some combination of these symptoms. Exacerbations usually have a progressive onset but a subset of patients (mostly adults) present more acutely. Respiratory distress is common. Exacerbations are characterized by decreases in expiratory airflow that can be quantified by measurement of lung function (PEF or FEV1). These measurements are more reliable indicators of the severity of airflow limitation than is the degree of symptoms. The degree of symptoms may, however, be a more sensitive measure of the onset of an exacerbation because the increase in symptoms usually precedes the deterioration in peak flow rate. Still, a minority of patients perceive symptoms poorly, and may have a significant decline in lung function without a significant change in symptoms. This situation especially affects patients with a history of near-fatal asthma and also appears to be more likely in males. A clinically useful tool to assess the likelihood of asthma-related hospitalizations or emergency department visits in adults with severe or difficult to treat asthma has been described.

Strategies for treating exacerbations, though generalizable, are best adapted and implemented at a local level. Severe exacerbations are potentially life threatening, and their treatment requires close supervision. Patients with severe exacerbations should be encouraged to see their physician promptly or, depending on the organization of local health services, to proceed to the nearest clinic or hospital that provides emergency access for patients with acute asthma. Close objective monitoring (PEF) of the response to therapy is essential.

The primary therapies for exacerbations include—in the order in which they are introduced, depending on severity—repetitive administration of rapid-acting inhaled bronchodilators, early introduction of systemic glucocorticosteroids, and oxygen supplementation. The aims of treatment are to relieve airflow obstruction and hypoxemia as quickly as possible, and to plan the prevention of future relapses.

Patients at high risk of asthma-related death require closer attention and should be encouraged to seek urgent care early in the course of their exacerbations. These patients include those:

• With a history of near-fatal asthma requiring intubation and mechanical ventilation
• Who have had a hospitalization or emergency care visit for asthma in the past year
• Who are currently using or have recently stopped using oral glucocorticosteroids
• Who are not currently using inhaled glucocorticosteroids
• Who are overdependent on rapid-acting inhaled β₂-agonists, especially those who use more than one canister of salbutamol (or equivalent) monthly
• With a history of psychiatric disease or psychosocial problems, including the use of sedatives
• With a history of noncompliance with an asthma medication plan.

Response to treatment may take time and patients should be closely monitored using clinical as well as objective measurements. The increased treatment should continue until measurements of lung function (PEF or FEV1) return to their previous best (ideally) or plateau, at which time a decision to admit or discharge can be made based upon these values. Patients who can be safely discharged will have responded within the first two hours, at which time decisions regarding patient disposition can be made.
ASSESSMENT OF SEVERITY

The severity of the exacerbation (Figure 4.4-1) determines the treatment administered. Indices of severity, particularly PEF (in patients older than 5 years), pulse rate, respiratory rate, and pulse oximetry, should be monitored during treatment.

MANAGEMENT–COMMUNITY SETTINGS

Most patients with severe asthma exacerbations should be treated in an acute care facility (such as a hospital emergency department) where monitoring, including objective measurement of airflow obstruction, oxygen saturation, and cardiac function, is possible. Milder exacerbations, defined by a reduction in peak flow of less than 20%, nocturnal awakening, and increased use of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure 4.4-1. Severity of Asthma Exacerbations*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bald</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breathless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can lie down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talks in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alertness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal rates of breathing in awake children:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 2 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessory muscles and suprasternal retractions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeze</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse/min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guide to limits of normal pulse rate in children:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF after initial bronchodilator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% predicted or % personal best</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{PaO}_2 \text{(on air)}^\dagger ) and/or ( \text{PaCO}_2 \text{†} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test not usually necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{SaO}_2% \text{(on air)}^\dagger )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercapnea (hypoventilation) develops more readily in young children than in adults and adolescents.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The presence of several parameters, but not necessarily all, indicates the general classification of the exacerbation.
†Note: Kilopascals are also used internationally; conversion would be appropriate in this regard.
short acting $\beta_2$-agonists can usually be treated in a community setting. If the patient responds to the increase in inhaled bronchodilator treatment after the first few doses, referral to an acute care facility is not required, but further management under the direction of a primary care physician may include the use of systemic glucocorticosteroids. Patient education and review of maintenance therapy should also be undertaken.

**Treatment**

**Bronchodilators.** For mild to moderate exacerbations, repeated administration of rapid-acting inhaled $\beta_2$-agonists (2 to 4 puffs every 20 minutes for the first hour) is usually the best and most cost-effective method of achieving rapid reversal of airflow limitation. After the first hour, the dose of $\beta_2$-agonist required will depend on the severity of the exacerbation. Mild exacerbations respond to 2 to 4 puffs every 3 to 4 hours; moderate exacerbations will require 6 to 10 puffs every 1 or 2 hours. Treatment should also be titrated depending upon the individual patient’s response, and if there is a lack of response or other concern about how the patient is responding, the patient should be referred to an acute care facility.

Many patients will be able to monitor their PEF after the initiation of increased bronchodilator therapy. Bronchodilator therapy delivered via a metered-dose inhaler (MDI), ideally with a spacer, produces at least an equivalent improvement in lung function as the same dose delivered via nebulizer$^{184,211}$. At the clinic level, this route of delivery is the most cost effective$^{212}$, provided patients are able to use an MDI. No additional medication is necessary if the rapid-acting inhaled $\beta_2$-agonist produces a complete response (PEF returns to greater than 80% of predicted or personal best) and the response lasts for 3 to 4 hours.

**Glucocorticosteroids.** Oral glucocorticosteroids (0.5 to 1 mg of prednisolone/kg or equivalent during a 24-hour period) should be used to treat exacerbations, especially if they develop after instituting the other short-term treatment options recommended for loss of control (see “Stepping up treatment in response to loss of control” in Component 3). If patients fail to respond to bronchodilator therapy, as indicated by persistent airflow obstruction, prompt transfer to an acute care setting is recommended, especially if they are in a high risk group.

**MANAGEMENT–ACUTE CARE SETTINGS**

Severe exacerbations of asthma are life-threatening medical emergencies, treatment of which is often most safely undertaken in an emergency department. **Figure 4.4-2** illustrates the approach to acute care-based management of exacerbations.

**Assessment**

A brief history and physical examination pertinent to the exacerbation should be conducted concurrently with the prompt initiation of therapy. The history should include: severity and duration of symptoms, including exercise limitation and sleep disturbance; all current medications, including dose (and device) prescribed, dose usually taken, dose taken in response to the deterioration; and the patient’s response (or lack thereof) to this therapy; time of onset and cause of the present exacerbation; and risk factors for asthma-related death.

The physical examination should assess exacerbation severity by evaluating the patient’s ability to complete a sentence, pulse rate, respiratory rate, use of accessory muscles, and other signs detailed in Figure 4.4-2. Any complicating factors should be identified (e.g., pneumonia, atelectasis, pneumothorax, or pneumomediastinum).

Functional assessments such as PEF or FEV1 and arterial oxygen saturation measurements are strongly recommended as physical examination alone may not fully indicate the severity of the exacerbation, particularly the degree of hypoxemia$^{213,214}$. Without unduly delaying treatment, a baseline PEF or FEV1 measurement should be made before treatment is initiated. Subsequent measurements should be made at intervals until a clear response to treatment has occurred.

Oxygen saturation should be closely monitored, preferably by pulse oximetry. This is especially useful in children because objective measurements of lung function may be difficult. Oxygen saturation in children should normally be greater than 95%, and oxygen saturation less than 92% is a good predictor of the need for hospitalization$^{210}$ (Evidence C).

In adults a chest X-ray is not routinely required, but should be carried out if a complicating cardiopulmonary process is suspected, in patients requiring hospitalization, and in those not responding to treatment where a pneumothorax may be difficult to diagnose clinically$^{215}$. Similarly, in children routine chest X-rays are not recommended unless there are physical signs suggestive of parenchymal disease$^{216}$.

Although arterial blood gas measurements are not routinely required$^{216}$, they should be completed in patients with a PEF of 30 to 50% predicted, those who do not respond to initial treatment, or when there is concern regarding deterioration. The patient should continue on supplemental oxygen while the measurement is made. A $\text{PaO}_2 < 60$ mm Hg (8 kPa) and a normal or increased $\text{PaCO}_2$ (especially $> 45$ mm Hg, 6 kPa) indicates the presence of respiratory failure.
**Figure 4.4-2: Management of Asthma Exacerbations in Acute Care Setting**

**Initial Assessment (see Figure 4.4-1)**
- History, physical examination (auscultation, use of accessory muscles, heart rate, respiratory rate, PEF or FEV₁, oxygen saturation, arterial blood gas if patient in extremis)

**Initial Treatment**
- Oxygen to achieve O₂ saturation ≥ 90% (95% in children)
- Inhaled rapid-acting β₂-agonist continuously for one hour.
- Systemic glucocorticosteroids if no immediate response, or if patient recently took oral glucocorticosteroid, or if episode is severe.
- Sedation is contraindicated in the treatment of an exacerbation.

**Reassess after 1 Hour**
Physical Examination, PEF, O₂ saturation and other tests as needed

**Criteria for Moderate Episode:**
- PEF 60-80% predicted/personal best
- Physical exam: moderate symptoms, accessory muscle use
- Treatment:
  - Oxygen
  - Inhaled β₂-agonist and inhaled anticholinergic every 60 min
  - Oral glucocorticosteroids
  - Continue treatment for 1-3 hours, provided there is improvement

**Reassess after 1-2 Hours**

**Criteria for Severe Episode:**
- History of risk factors for near fatal asthma
- PEF < 60% predicted/personal best
- Physical exam: severe symptoms at rest, chest retraction
- No improvement after initial treatment
- Treatment:
  - Oxygen
  - Inhaled β₂-agonist and inhaled anticholinergic
  - Systemic glucocorticosteroids
  - Intravenous magnesium

**Admit to Acute Care Setting**
- Oxygen
- Inhaled β₂-agonist ± anticholinergic
- Systemic glucocorticosteroid
- Intravenous magnesium
- Monitor PEF, O₂ saturation, pulse

**Admit to Intensive Care**
- Oxygen
- Inhaled β₂-agonist + anticholinergic
- Intravenous glucocorticosteroids
- Consider intravenous β₂-agonist
- Consider intravenous theophylline
- Possible intubation and mechanical ventilation

**Reassess at intervals**

**Good Response within 1-2 Hours:**
- Response sustained 60 min after last treatment
- Physical exam normal: No distress
- PEF > 70%
- O₂ saturation > 90% (95% children)

**Incomplete Response within 1-2 Hours:**
- Risk factors for near fatal asthma
- Physical exam: mild to moderate signs
- PEF < 60%
- O₂ saturation not improving

**Poor Response within 1-2 Hours:**
- Risk factors for near fatal asthma
- Physical exam: symptoms severe, drowsiness, confusion
- PEF < 30%
- PCO₂ > 45 mm Hg
- PO₂ < 60 mm Hg

**Poor Response (see above):**
- Admit to Intensive Care

**Incomplete response in 6-12 hours (see above):**
- Consider admission to Intensive Care if no improvement within 6-12 hours

**Improved: Criteria for Discharge Home**
- PEF > 60% predicted/personal best
- Sustained on oral/inhaled medication

**Home Treatment:**
- Continue inhaled β₂-agonist
- Consider, in most cases, oral glucocorticosteroids
- Consider adding a combination inhaler
- Patient education: Take medicine correctly
  - Review action plan
  - Close medical follow-up

**Improved (see opposite)**
Treatment

The following treatments are usually administered concurrently to achieve the most rapid resolution of the exacerbation117:

**Oxygen.** To achieve arterial oxygen saturation of ≥ 90% (≥ 95% in children), oxygen should be administered by nasal cannulae, by mask, or rarely by head box in some infants. PaCO2 may worsen in some patients on 100 percent oxygen, especially those with more severe airflow obstruction115. Oxygen therapy should be titrated against pulse oximetry to maintain a satisfactory oxygen saturation119.

**Rapid-acting inhaled β2-agonists.** Rapid-acting inhaled β2-agonists should be administered at regular intervals220-222 (Evidence A). Although most rapid-acting β2-agonists have a short duration of effect, the long-acting bronchodilator formoterol, which has both a rapid onset of action and a long duration of effect, has been shown to be equally effective without increasing side effects, though it is considerably more expensive116. The importance of this feature of formoterol is that it provides support and reassurance regarding the use of a combination of formoterol and budesonide early in asthma exacerbations.

A modestly greater bronchodilator effect has been shown with levaterol compared to racemic albuterol in both adults and children with an asthma exacerbation223-226. In a large study of acute asthma in children227, and in adults not previously treated with glucocorticosteroids228, levaterol treatment resulted in lower hospitalization rates compared to racemic albuterol treatment, but in children the length of hospital stay was no different227.

Studies of intermittent versus continuous nebulized short-acting β2-agonists in acute asthma provide conflicting results. In a systematic review of six studies228, there were no significant differences in bronchodilator effect or hospital admissions between the two treatments. In patients who require hospitalization, one study229 found that intermittent on-demand therapy led to a significantly shorter hospital stay, fewer nebulizations, and fewer palpitations when compared with intermittent therapy given every 4 hours. A reasonable approach to inhaled therapy in exacerbations, therefore, would be the initial use of continuous therapy, followed by intermittent on-demand therapy for hospitalized patients. There is no evidence to support the routine use of intravenous β2-agonists in patients with severe asthma exacerbations230.

**Epinephrine.** A subcutaneous or intramuscular injection of epinephrine (adrenaline) may be indicated for acute treatment of anaphylaxis and angioedema, but is not routinely indicated during asthma exacerbations.

Additional bronchodilators.

Ipratropium bromide. A combination of nebulized β2-agonist with an anticholinergic (ipratropium bromide) may produce better bronchodilation than either drug alone231 (Evidence B) and should be administered before methylxanthenes are considered. Combination β2-agonist/anticholinergic therapy is associated with lower hospitalization rates232,233,234 (Evidence A) and greater improvement in PEF and FEV1235 (Evidence B). Similar data have been reported in the pediatric literature212 (Evidence A). However, once children with asthma are hospitalized following intensive emergency department treatment, the addition of nebulized ipratropium bromide to nebulized β2-agonist and systemic glucocorticosteroids appears to confer no extra benefit234.

Theophylline. In view of the effectiveness and relative safety of rapid-acting β2-agonists, theophylline has a minimal role in the management of acute asthma236. Its use is associated with severe and potentially fatal side effects, particularly in those on long-term therapy with sustained-release theophylline, and their bronchodilator effect is less than that of β2-agonists. The benefit as add-on treatment in adults with severe asthma exacerbations has not been demonstrated. However, in one study of children with near-fatal asthma, intravenous theophylline provided additional benefit to patients also receiving an aggressive regimen of inhaled and intravenous β2-agonists, inhaled ipratropium bromide, and intravenous systemic glucocorticosteroids236.

**Systemic glucocorticosteroids.** Systemic glucocorticosteroids speed resolution of exacerbations and should be utilized in the all but the mildest exacerbations237,238 (Evidence A), especially if:

- The initial rapid-acting inhaled β2-agonist therapy fails to achieve lasting improvement
- The exacerbation develops even though the patient was already taking oral glucocorticosteroids
- Previous exacerbations required oral glucocorticosteroids.

Oral glucocorticosteroids are usually as effective as those administered intravenously and are preferred because this route of delivery is less invasive and less expensive239,240. If vomiting has occurred shortly after administration of oral glucocorticosteroids, then an equivalent dose should be re-administered intravenously. In patients discharged from the emergency department, intramuscular administration may be helpful241, especially if there are concerns about compliance with oral therapy. Oral glucocorticosteroids require at least 4 hours to produce clinical improvement. Daily doses of systemic glucocorticosteroids equivalent to...
60-80 mg methylprednisolone as a single dose, or 300-400 mg hydrocortisone in divided doses, are adequate for hospitalized patients, and 40 mg methylprednisolone or 200 mg hydrocortisone is probably adequate in most cases\textsuperscript{238,242} (Evidence B). An oral glucocorticosteroid dose of 1 mg/kg daily is adequate for treatment of exacerbations in children with mild persistent asthma\textsuperscript{243}. A 7-day course in adults has been found to be as effective as a 14-day course\textsuperscript{244}, and a 3- to 5-day course in children is usually considered appropriate (Evidence B). Current evidence suggests that there is no benefit to tapering the dose of oral glucocorticosteroids, either in the short-term\textsuperscript{245} or over several weeks\textsuperscript{246} (Evidence B).

Inhaled glucocorticosteroids. Inhaled glucocorticosteroids are effective as part of therapy for asthma exacerbations. In one study, the combination of high-dose inhaled glucocorticosteroids and salbutamol in acute asthma provided greater bronchodilation than salbutamol alone\textsuperscript{247} (Evidence B), and conferred greater benefit than the addition of systemic glucocorticosteroids across all parameters, including hospitalizations, especially for patients with more severe attacks\textsuperscript{248}.

Inhaled glucocorticosteroids can be as effective as oral glucocorticosteroids at preventing relapses\textsuperscript{249,250}. Patients discharged from the emergency department on prednisone and inhaled budesonide have a lower rate of relapse than those on prednisone alone\textsuperscript{251} (Evidence B). A high-dose of inhaled glucocorticosteroid (2.4 mg budesonide daily in four divided doses) achieves a relapse rate similar to 30 mg oral prednisone daily\textsuperscript{251} (Evidence A). Cost is a significant factor in the use of such high-doses of inhaled glucocorticosteroids, and further studies are required to document their potential benefits, especially cost effectiveness, in acute asthma\textsuperscript{252}.

Magnesium. Intravenous magnesium sulphate (usually given as a single 2 g infusion over 20 minutes) is not recommended for routine use in asthma exacerbations, but can help reduce hospital admission rates in certain patients, including adults with FEV\textsubscript{1} 25-30% predicted at presentation, adults and children who fail to respond to initial treatment, and children whose FEV\textsubscript{1} fails to improve above 60% predicted after 1 hour of care\textsuperscript{253,254} (Evidence A). Nebulized salbutamol administered in isotonic magnesium sulfate provides greater benefit than if it is delivered in normal saline\textsuperscript{255,256} (Evidence A). Intravenous magnesium sulphate has not been studied in young children.

Helium oxygen therapy. A systematic survey of studies that have evaluated the effect of a combination of helium and oxygen, compared to helium alone, suggests there is no routine role for this intervention. It might be considered for patients who do not respond to standard therapy\textsuperscript{257}. Leukotriene modifiers. There is little data to suggest a role for leukotriene modifiers in acute asthma\textsuperscript{258}.

Sedatives. Sedation should be strictly avoided during exacerbations of asthma because of the respiratory depressant effect of anxiolytic and hypnotic drugs. An association between the use of these drugs and avoidable asthma deaths\textsuperscript{259,260} has been demonstrated.

Criteria for Discharge from the Emergency Department vs. Hospitalization

Criteria for determining whether a patient should be discharged from the emergency department or admitted to the hospital have been succinctly reviewed and stratified based on consensus\textsuperscript{260}. Patients with a pre-treatment FEV\textsubscript{1} or PEF < 25% percent predicted or personal best, or those with a post-treatment FEV\textsubscript{1} or PEF < 40% percent predicted or personal best, usually require hospitalization. Patients with post-treatment lung function of 40-60% predicted may be discharged, provided that adequate follow-up is available in the community and compliance is assured. Patients with post-treatment lung function ≥ 60% predicted can be discharged.

Management of acute asthma in the intensive care unit is beyond the scope of this document and readers are referred to recent comprehensive reviews\textsuperscript{261}. For patients discharged from the emergency department:

- At a minimum, a 7-day course of oral glucocorticosteroids for adults and a shorter course (3-5 days) for children should be prescribed, along with continuation of bronchodilator therapy.
- The bronchodilator can be used on an as-needed basis, based on both symptomatic and objective improvement, until the patient returns to his or her pre-exacerbation use of rapid-acting inhaled β\textsubscript{2}-agonists.
- Ipratropium bromide is unlikely to provide additional benefit beyond the acute phase and may be quickly discontinued.
- Patients should initiate or continue inhaled glucocorticosteroids.
- The patient’s inhaler technique and use of peak flow meter to monitor therapy at home should be reviewed. Patients discharged from the emergency department with a peak flow meter and action plan have a better response than patients discharged without these resources\textsuperscript{8}.
- The factors that precipitated the exacerbation should be identified and strategies for their future avoidance implemented.
- The patient’s response to the exacerbation should be evaluated. The action plan should be reviewed and written guidance provided.
• Use of controller therapy during the exacerbation should be reviewed: whether this therapy was increased promptly, by how much, and, if appropriate, why oral glucocorticosteroids were not added. Consider providing a short course of oral glucocorticosteroids to be on hand for subsequent exacerbations.

• The patient or family should be instructed to contact the primary health care professional or asthma specialist within 24 hours of discharge. A follow-up appointment with the patient’s usual primary care professional or asthma specialist should be made within a few days of discharge to assure that treatment is continued until baseline control parameters, including personal best lung function, are reached. Prospective data indicate that patients discharged from the emergency department for follow-up with specialist care do better than patients returned to routine care.263

An exacerbation severe enough to require hospitalization may reflect a failure of the patient’s self-management plan. Hospitalized patients may be particularly receptive to information and advice about their illness. Health care providers should take the opportunity to review patient understanding of the causes of asthma exacerbations, avoidance of factors that may cause exacerbations (including, where relevant smoking cessation), the purposes and correct uses of treatment, and the actions to be taken to respond to worsening symptoms or peak flow values (Evidence A).

Referral to an asthma specialist should be considered for hospitalized patients. Following discharge from continuous supervision, the patient should be reviewed by the family health care professional or asthma specialist regularly over the subsequent weeks until personal best lung function is reached. Use of incentives improves primary care follow up but has shown no effect on long term outcomes.264 Patients who come to the emergency department with an acute exacerbation should be especially targeted for an asthma education program, if one is available.

COMPONENT 5: SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Special considerations are required in managing asthma in relation to pregnancy; surgery; rhinitis, sinusitis, and nasal polyps; occupational asthma; respiratory infections; gastroesophageal reflux; aspirin-induced asthma; and anaphylaxis.

Pregnancy

During pregnancy the severity of asthma often changes, and patients may require close follow-up and adjustment of medications. In approximately one-third of women asthma becomes worse; in one-third asthma becomes less severe; and in the remaining one-third it remains unchanged during pregnancy.265-267

Although there is a general concern about the use of any medication in pregnancy, poorly controlled asthma can have an adverse effect on the fetus, resulting in increased perinatal mortality, increased prematurity, and low birth weight.266,267 The overall perinatal prognosis for children born to women with asthma that is well-managed during pregnancy is comparable to that for children born to women without asthma.268 For this reason, using medications to obtain optimal control of asthma is justified even when their safety in pregnancy has not been unequivocally proven. For most medications used to treat asthma there is little evidence to suggest an increased risk to the fetus. Appropriately monitored use of theophylline, inhaled glucocorticosteroids, β2-agonists, and leukotriene modifiers (specifically montelukast) is not associated with an increased incidence of fetal abnormalities.269 Inhaled glucocorticosteroids have been shown to prevent exacerbations of asthma during pregnancy269,270 (Evidence B). As in other situations, the focus of asthma treatment must remain on control of symptoms and maintenance of normal lung function.271 Acute exacerbations should be treated aggressively in order to avoid fetal hypoxia. Treatment should include nebulized rapid-acting β2-agonists and oxygen and systemic glucocorticosteroids should be instituted when necessary.

While all patients should have adequate opportunity to discuss the safety of their medications, pregnant patients with asthma should be advised that the greater risk to their baby lies with poorly controlled asthma, and the safety of most modern asthma treatments should be stressed. Even with a good patient/health care professional relationship, independent printed material, such as a statement from the US National Asthma Education and Prevention Program on the treatment of asthma during pregnancy, will provide important additional reassurance.265,272

Surgery

Airway hyperresponsiveness, airflow limitation, and mucus hypersecretion predispose patients with asthma to intraoperative and postoperative respiratory complications.
The likelihood of these complications depends on the severity of asthma at the time of surgery, the type of surgery (thoracic and upper abdominal surgeries pose the greatest risks), and type of anesthesia (general anesthesia with endotracheal intubation carries the greatest risk). These variables need to be assessed prior to surgery and pulmonary function should be measured. If possible, this evaluation should be undertaken several days before surgery to allow time for additional treatment. In particular, if the patient’s FEV1 is less than 80% of personal best, a brief course of oral glucocorticosteroids should be considered to reduce airflow limitation (Evidence C). Furthermore, patients who have received systemic glucocorticosteroids within the past 6 months should have systemic coverage during the surgical period (100 mg hydrocortisone every 8 hours intravenously). This should be rapidly reduced 24 hours following surgery, as prolonged systemic glucocorticosteroid therapy may inhibit wound healing (Evidence C).

**Rhinitis, Sinusitis, and Nasal Polyps**

Upper airway diseases can influence lower airway function in some patients with asthma. Although the mechanisms behind this relationship have not been established, inflammation likely plays a similarly critical role in the pathogenesis of rhinitis, sinusitis, and nasal polyps as in asthma.

**Rhinitis.** The majority of patients with asthma have a history or evidence of rhinitis and up to 30% of patients with persistent rhinitis have or develop asthma (Evidence A). Rhinitis frequently precedes asthma, and is both a risk factor for the development of asthma (Evidence B) and is associated with increased severity and health resource use in asthma (Evidence C). Rhinitis and asthma share several risk factors: common indoor and outdoor allergens such as house dust mites, animal dander, and, less commonly, pollen affecting both the nose and bronchi (Evidence C), occupational sensitizers (Evidence C), and non-specific factors like aspirin. For these reasons, the Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) initiative recommends that the presence of asthma must be considered in all patients with rhinitis, and that in planning treatment, both should be considered together (Evidence A).

Both asthma and rhinitis are considered to be inflammatory disorders of the airway, but there are some differences between the two conditions in mechanisms, clinical features, and treatment approach. Although the inflammation of the nasal and bronchial mucosa may be similar, nasal obstruction is largely due to hyperemia in rhinitis, while airway smooth muscle contraction plays a dominant role in asthma (Evidence C).

Treatment of rhinitis may improve asthma symptoms (Evidence A). Anti-inflammatory agents including glucocorticosteroids and cromones as well as leukotriene modifiers and anticholinergics can be effective in both conditions. However, some medications are selectively effective against rhinitis (e.g., H1-antagonists) and others against asthma (e.g., β2-agonists) (Evidence A). Use of intra-nasal glucocorticosteroids for concurrent rhinitis has been found to have a limited benefit in improving asthma and reducing asthma morbidity in some but not all studies (Evidence A). Leukotriene modifiers (Evidence A), allergen-specific immunotherapy (Evidence A), and anti-IgE therapy (Evidence A) are effective in both conditions (Evidence A).

Additional information on this topic from the Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) initiative can be found at http://www.whar.org.

**Sinusitis.** Sinusitis is a complication of upper respiratory infections, allergic rhinitis, nasal polyps, and other forms of nasal obstruction. Both acute and chronic sinusitis can worsen asthma. Clinical features of sinusitis lack diagnostic precision (Evidence C), and CT Scan confirmation is recommended when available. In children with suspected rhinosinusitis, antibiotic therapy for 10 days is recommended (Evidence B). Treatment should also include medications to reduce nasal congestion, such as topical nasal decongestants or topical nasal or even systemic glucocorticosteroids. These agents remain secondary to primary asthma therapies (Evidence A).

**Nasal polyps.** Nasal polyps associated with asthma and rhinitis, and sometimes with aspirin hypersensitivity (Evidence C), are seen primarily in patients over 40 years old. Between 36% and 96% of aspirin-intolerant patients have polyps, and 29% to 70% of patients with nasal polyps may have asthma (Evidence C). Children with nasal polyps should be assessed for cystic fibrosis and immotile cilia syndrome.

Nasal polyps are quite responsive to topical glucocorticosteroids (Evidence C). A limited number of patients with glucocorticosteroid-refractory polyps may benefit from surgery.

**Occupational Asthma**

Once a diagnosis of occupational asthma is established, complete avoidance of the relevant exposure is ideally an important component of management (Evidence C). Occupational asthma may persist even several years after removal from exposure to the causative agent, especially when the patient has had symptoms for a long time before cessation of exposure (Evidence C). Continued exposure may lead to increasingly
severe and potentially fatal asthma exacerbations, a lower probability of subsequent remission, and, ultimately, permanently impaired lung function. Pharmacologic therapy for occupational asthma is identical to therapy for other forms of asthma, but it is not a substitute for adequate avoidance. Consultation with a specialist in asthma management or occupational medicine is advisable.


Respiratory Infections

Respiratory infections have an important relationship to asthma as they provoke wheezing and increased symptoms in many patients. Epidemiological studies have found that infectious microorganisms associated with increased asthma symptoms are often respiratory viruses, but seldom bacteria. Respiratory syncytial virus is the most common cause of wheezing in infancy, while rhinoviruses (which cause the common cold), are the principal triggers of wheezing and worsening of asthma in older children and adults. Other respiratory viruses, such as parainfluenza, influenza, adenovirus, and coronavirus, are also associated with increased wheezing and asthma symptoms. Adults with asthma may be at increased risk of serious pneumococcal disease.

A number of mechanisms have been identified that explain why respiratory infections trigger wheezing and increased airway responsiveness, including damage to airway epithelium, stimulation of virus-specific IgE antibody, enhanced mediator release, and the appearance of a late asthmatic response to inhaled antigen. Thus, there is evidence that viral infections are an “adjuvant” to the inflammatory response and promote the development of airway injury by enhancing airway inflammation.

Treatment of an infectious exacerbation follows the same principles as treatment of other asthma exacerbations—that is, rapid-acting inhaled β2-agonists and early introduction of oral glucocorticosteroids or increases in inhaled glucocorticosteroids by at least four-fold are recommended. Because increased asthma symptoms can often persist for weeks after the infection is cleared, anti-inflammatory treatment should be continued for this full period to ensure adequate control.

The role of chronic infection with Chlamydia pneumoniae and Mycoplasma pneumoniae in the pathogenesis or worsening of asthma is currently uncertain. The benefit from macrolide antibiotics remains unclear.

Gastroesophageal Reflux

The relationship of increased asthma symptoms, particularly at night, to gastroesophageal reflux remains uncertain, although this condition is nearly three times as prevalent in patients with asthma compared to the general population. Some of these patients also have a hiatal hernia; furthermore, theophylline and oral β2-agonists may increase the likelihood of symptoms by relaxing the lower esophageal ring.

A diagnosis of gastroesophageal reflux in patients with asthma can best be made by simultaneously monitoring esophageal pH and lung function. Medical management should be given for the relief of reflux symptoms as it is often effective. Patients may be advised to eat smaller, more frequent meals; avoid food or drink between meals and especially at bedtime; avoid fatty meals, alcohol, theophylline, and oral β2-agonists; use proton pump inhibitors or H2-antagonists; and elevate the head of the bed. However, the role of anti-reflux treatment in asthma control is unclear, as it does not consistently improve lung function, asthma symptoms, nocturnal asthma, or the use of asthma medications in subjects with asthma but without clear reflux-associated respiratory symptoms. Subgroups of patients may benefit, but it appears difficult to predict which patients will respond to this therapy.

Surgery for gastroesophageal reflux is reserved for the severely symptomatic patient with well-documented esophagitis and failure of medical management. In patients with asthma, it should be demonstrated that the reflux causes asthma symptoms before surgery is advised.

Aspirin-Induced Asthma (AIA)

Up to 28% of adults with asthma, but rarely children with asthma, suffer from asthma exacerbations in response to aspirin and other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). This syndrome is more common in severe asthma.

The clinical picture and course of aspirin-induced asthma (AIA) are characteristic. The majority of patients first experience symptoms, which may include vasomotor rhinitis and profuse rhinorrhea, during the third to fourth decade of life. Chronic nasal congestion evolves, and physical examination often reveals nasal polyps. Asthma and hypersensitivity to aspirin often develop subsequently. The hypersensitivity to aspirin presents a unique picture: within minutes to one or two hours following ingestion of aspirin, an acute, often severe, asthma attack develops, and is usually accompanied by rhinorrhea, nasal obstruction, conjunctival irritation, and scarlet flush of the head and neck. This may be provoked by a single aspirin
or other cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) inhibitor and include violent bronchospasm, shock, loss of consciousness, and even respiratory arrest.120,126

Persistent marked eosinophilic inflammation, epithelial disruption, cytokine production, and upregulation of adhesion molecules are found in the airways of patients with AIA.127,128 Airway expression of interleukin-5 (IL-5), which is involved in recruitment and survival of eosinophils, is also increased.128 AIA is further characterized by increased activation of cysteinyl leukotriene pathways, which may be partly explained by a genetic polymorphism of the LTC4 synthase gene found in about 70% percent of patients.129 However, the exact mechanism by which aspirin triggers bronchoconstriction remains unknown.130

The ability of a cyclooxygenase inhibitor to trigger reactions depends on the drug’s cyclooxygenase inhibitory potency, as well as on the individual sensitivity of the patient.129

A characteristic history of reaction is considered adequate for initiating avoidance strategies. However, the diagnosis can only be confirmed by aspirin challenge, as there are no suitable in vitro tests for diagnosis. The aspirin challenge test is not recommended for routine practice as it is associated with a high risk of potentially fatal consequences and must only be conducted in a facility with cardiopulmonary resuscitation capabilities.131 Further safeguards are that patients should only be challenged when their asthma is in remission and their FEV1 is greater than 70% of predicted or personal best. Bronchial (inhalational) and nasal challenges with lysine aspirin are safer than oral challenges and may be performed in specialized centers.132-136

Once aspirin or NSAID hypersensitivity develops, it is present for life. Patients with AIA should avoid aspirin, products containing it, other analogesics that inhibit COX-1, and often also hydrocortisone hemisuccinate.134 Avoidance does not prevent progression of the inflammatory disease of the respiratory tract. Where an NSAID is indicated, a cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitor may be considered with appropriate physician supervision and observation for at least one hour after administration (Evidence B). Glucocorticosteroids continue to be the mainstay of asthma therapy, but leukotriene modifiers may also be useful for additional control of the underlying disease (Evidence B). For NSAID-sensitive patients with asthma who require NSAIDs for other medical conditions, desensitization may be conducted in the hospital under the care of a specialist.137 Aspirin desensitization has also been used as a treatment for AIA, but long-term improvements appear to be more common with sinus symptoms than with lower airway disease. After aspirin desensitization, daily ingestion of 600-1200 mg of aspirin may reduce inflammatory mucosal disease symptoms, especially in the nose, in most patients with AIA.126

Generally, asthma patients, especially those with adult onset asthma and associated upper airway disease (nasal polyposis), should be counseled to avoid NSAIDs, taking acetaminophen/paracetamol instead.

Anaphylaxis and Asthma

Anaphylaxis is a potentially life-threatening condition that can both mimic and complicate severe asthma. Effective treatment of anaphylaxis demands early recognition of the event. The possibility of anaphylaxis should be considered in any setting where medication or biological substances are given, especially by injection. Examples of documented causes of anaphylaxis include the administration of allergenic extracts in immunotherapy, food intolerance (nuts, fish, shellfish, eggs, milk), avian-based vaccines, insect stings and bites, latex hypersensitivity, drugs (β-lactam antibiotics, aspirin and NSAIDs, and angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors), and exercise.

Symptoms of anaphylaxis include flushing, pruritis, urticaria, and angioedema; upper and lower airway involvement such as stridor, dyspnea, wheezing, or apnea; dizziness or syncope with or without hypotension; and gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, cramping, and diarrhea. Exercise-induced anaphylaxis, often associated with medication or food allergy, is a unique physical allergy and should be differentiated from exercise-induced bronchoconstriction.138

Airway anaphylaxis could account for the sudden onset of asthma attacks in severe asthma and the relative resistance of these attacks to increased doses of β2-agonists.139 If there is a possibility that anaphylaxis is involved in an asthma attack, epinephrine should be the bronchodilator of choice. Prompt treatment for anaphylaxis is crucial and includes oxygen, intramuscular epinephrine, injectable antihistamine, intravenous hydrocortisone, oropharyngeal airway, and intravenous fluid. Preventing a recurrence of anaphylaxis depends on identifying the cause and instructing the patient on avoidance measures and self-administered emergency treatment with pre-loaded epinephrine syringes.139
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CHAPTER 5

IMPLEMENTATION OF ASTHMA GUIDELINES IN HEALTH SYSTEMS
INTRODUCTION

It has been demonstrated in a variety of settings that patient care consistent with recommendations in evidence-based guidelines leads to improved outcomes. Guidelines are designed to ensure that all members of a patient’s health care team are aware of the goals of treatment and of the different ways of achieving these goals. They help set standards of clinical care, may serve as a basis for audit and payment, and act as a starting point for the education of health professionals and patients.

However, in order to effect changes in medical practice and consequent improvements in patient outcomes, evidence-based guidelines must be implemented and disseminated at national and local levels. Dissemination involves educating clinicians to improve their awareness, knowledge, and understanding of guideline recommendations. It is one part of implementation, which involves the translation of evidence-based asthma guidelines into real-life practice with improvement of health outcomes for the patient. Implementation remains a difficult problem worldwide. Barriers to implementation range from poor infrastructure that hampers delivery of medicines to remote parts of a country, to cultural factors that make patients reluctant to use recommended medications (e.g., inhaled preparations), suboptimal use of medications, and lack of physician use of guidelines.

KEY POINTS:

- In order to effect changes in medical practice and consequent improvements in patient outcomes, evidence-based guidelines must be implemented and disseminated at the national and local levels.
- Implementation of asthma guidelines should involve a wide variety of professional groups and other stakeholders, and take into account local cultural and economic conditions.
- An important part of the implementation process is to establish a system to evaluate the effectiveness and quality of care.
- Those involved in the adaptation and implementation of asthma guidelines require an understanding of the cost and cost effectiveness of various management recommendations in asthma care.
- GINA has developed a number of resources and programs to aid in guideline implementation and dissemination.

An important barrier to the successful translation of asthma guidelines into clinical practice is access to available and affordable medication especially for patients in less developed economies where the cost of treatment is high in comparison to income and assets.

GUIDELINE IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

Implementation of asthma guidelines should begin with the setting of goals and development of strategies for asthma care through collaboration among diverse professional groups including both primary and secondary health care professionals, public health officials, patients, asthma advocacy groups, and the general public. Goals and implementation strategies will vary from country to country—and within countries—for reasons of economics, culture, and environment. However, common issues are shown in Figure 5-1.

The next step is adaptation of guidelines on asthma management for local use by teams of local primary and secondary care health professionals. Many low- and middle-income countries do not consider asthma a high-priority health concern because other, more common respiratory diseases such as tuberculosis and pneumonia are of greater public health importance. Therefore, practical asthma guidelines for implementation in low-income countries should have a simple algorithm for separating non-infectious from infectious respiratory illnesses; simple objective measurements for diagnosis and management such as peak flow variability; available, affordable, and low-risk medications recommended for asthma control; a simple regime for recognizing severe asthma; and simple diagnosis and management approaches relevant to the facilities and limited resources available.

Next, adapted guidelines must be widely disseminated in multiple venues and using multiple formats. This can be accomplished, for example, by publication in professional journals, accompanied by multidisciplinary symposia, workshops, and conferences involving national and local experts with involvement of the professional and mass media to raise awareness of the key messages. The most effective interventions to improve professional practice are multifaceted and interactive. However, little is known of the cost effectiveness of these interventions. Integrated care pathways are being explored as a mean to improve asthma care in specific settings, such as patients coming to emergency departments.

In some countries, implementation of asthma guidelines has been done at a national level with government health department collaboration. A model for an implementation program that has improved patient outcomes is provided.
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Figure 5-1. Checklist of Issues for National or Local Asthma Implementation

- What is the size of the problem and burden of asthma in this country or district?
- What arrangements will be made for shared care among different health care providers (doctors and nurses, hospital and primary care)?
- How will medical care be linked with community health facilities and educational initiatives?
- What are the major preventable factors in this country or district that could help prevent asthma from developing or could prevent asthma exacerbations from occurring in those who already have asthma?
- What preconceived assumptions about asthma and its treatment and what cultural factors will need special attention?
- What treatments are currently used?
- How affordable and accessible are medications and services to the patient?
- What other treatments are available, cheap enough for purchase, and stable in local climatic conditions?
- Can inhaler devices and medicines be standardized to reduce cost/storage/availability problems?
- Who will provide emergency care?
- Which groups of the population are at special risk (e.g., inner-city, poor, teenage, minority)?
- Whom can we enlist to help in education (community health workers/health-promotion facilitators/trained educators currently working on other programs/self-help support groups)?
- Who will take responsibility for the education of health care professionals?
- Who will take responsibility for the education of people with asthma and their family members/caregivers?
- How can asthma education and treatment be integrated into other programs (e.g., child health)?

by the national asthma program in Finland, a long-term, comprehensive, multifaceted public health initiative with well-defined targets for asthma guideline implementation.7,8.

Public health strategies involving a broad coalition of stakeholders in asthma care, including medical societies, health care professionals, patient support groups, government, and the private sector, have been implemented in Australia (Australian National Asthma Campaign, http://www.nationalasthma.org.au), and the United States (National Asthma Education and Prevention Program, http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov).

An important part of the implementation process is to establish a system to evaluate the effectiveness and quality of care. Evaluation involves surveillance of traditional epidemiological parameters, such as morbidity and mortality, as well as the specific audit of both process and outcome within different sectors of the health care system. Each country should determine its own minimum sets of data to audit health outcomes. There are a variety of assessment tools which provide a consistent and objective assessment of asthma morbidity or control (e.g., Asthma Control Test, Asthma Control Questionnaire, Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire). Results of these assessments should be recorded at each visit, providing a record of the long-term clinical response of the patient to treatment. Direct feedback provides several benefits—a means for the patient/caregiver to become familiar with, and sensitized to, satisfactory versus poor control of asthma; a reference point from which to evaluate deteriorating asthma; and an indicator of changes in asthma control in response to changes in treatment. Use of administrative datasets (e.g., dispensing records) or urgent health care utilization can help to identify at-risk patients or to audit the quality of health care.9

The strategy of culturally appropriate direct feedback of clinical outcomes to physicians about specific health care results of their patients may be important for general practitioners who treat many diseases in addition to asthma and thus could not be expected to know guidelines in detail and handle patients accordingly.

ECONOMIC VALUE OF INTERVENTIONS AND GUIDELINE IMPLEMENTATION IN ASTHMA

Cost is recognized as an important barrier to the delivery of optimal evidence-based health care in almost every country, although its impact on patients’ access to treatments varies widely both between and within countries. At the country or local level, health authorities make resource availability and allocation decisions affecting populations of asthma patients by considering the balance and tradeoffs between costs and clinical outcomes (benefits and harms), often in relation to competing public health and medical needs. Treatment costs must also be explicitly considered at each consultation between health care provider and patient to assure that cost does not present a barrier to achieving asthma control. Thus, those involved in the adaptation and implementation of asthma guidelines require an understanding of the cost and cost effectiveness of various management recommendations in asthma care. To this end, a short discussion of cost-effectiveness evaluation for asthma care follows.

Utilization and Cost of Health Care Resources

Between 35 and 50% of medical expenditures for asthma are a consequence of exacerbations, an asthma outcome most view as representing treatment failure. Hospitalization,
emergency department and unscheduled clinic visits, and use of rescue medication comprise the majority of exacerbation-related treatment costs. In clinical trials of asthma treatments, exacerbations are customarily characterized by use of health care resources, alone or in combination with symptom and lung function data, especially when the primary study outcome is reduction in the exacerbation frequency or time to an exacerbation event. Routine collection of health care resource consumption data can be undertaken in the field through patient or caregiver self-report. In some circumstances, automated data from clinical or billing records can substitute for self-report and are more reliable and valid\textsuperscript{13,15}.

Composite definitions of asthma control\textsuperscript{16,17} may include one or more health care utilization items. These items typically describe the presence of an exacerbation or an exacerbation-related treatment in precise and valid terms. Many of the published composite measures of asthma control have included hospitalization and emergency treatment data, such as unscheduled or urgent care visits or use of nebulized β\textsubscript{2}-agonists and/or oral glucocorticosteroids\textsuperscript{17}. Although health care utilization elements are essential to any pragmatic definition of asthma control, as yet unanswered in the literature is which of the number of possible health care options (single items or combinations of items) can contribute to an acceptable definition of control, and the values of each that might be viewed as acceptable control.

For studies to evaluate the cost impact of guideline implementation or of specific asthma interventions, data on costs of implementation (e.g., costs related to dissemination and publication of guidelines, costs of health professional education), preventive pharmacotherapy, diagnostic and follow-up spirometry, use of devices (spacers, peak flow meters), and routine office visits are required to supplement data on exacerbation-related treatments. Together, these data provide a comprehensive profile of health care resource consumption. These data can be acquired in a similar fashion using self-report or from automated databases.

Once data on use of health care resources are collected, costs can be determined by assigning local currency price weights to health care resources consumed. Unit price weights are normally collected from government reports, price audits of local payers, billing records, claims databases, and patient surveys.

Assessment of patient and caregiver travel and waiting time for medical visits, as well as absences from and productivity while at school or work, comprise additional and important outcome measures in asthma. These indirect costs of asthma are substantial, in estimated to be roughly 50% of the overall disease burden\textsuperscript{14}. However, there are no standardized, validated, and culturally adapted instruments for assessing these measures in a variety of populations.

Determining the Economic Value of Interventions in Asthma

Economic evaluations require the selection of three main outcome parameters—estimates of treatment-related health benefits, treatment-related risks, and treatment-related costs. These parameters can be determined directly from clinical studies or through the application of modeling studies. Local evidence requirements for economic evaluations determine the choices of health benefit measures. When the decision to be considered is at the macro-level, for example the inclusion of a new treatment in a government-sponsored health care program or the benefits package of a health insurer, economic evaluations require the use of a common metric such as life years gained, improvement in generic quality of life, or quality-adjusted life years (QALY) gained\textsuperscript{18}. These outcomes support comparison of cost-effectiveness ratios across different disease states and patient populations. However, in asthma, QALYs are difficult to measure, particularly in children where validated preference measures are not available. Some have advocated the use of clinical measures such as symptom-free days or asthma control as the denominator in economic evaluations\textsuperscript{19}. A unified definition of asthma control would substantially improve the acceptance of non-QALY economic evaluations among those interested in their design and application.

GINA DISSEMINATION AND IMPLEMENTATION RESOURCES

Educational materials based on this Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention are available in several forms, including a pocket guide for health care professionals and one for patients and families. These are available on the GINA Website (http://www.ginasthma.org). Each year, the GINA Science Committee examines peer-reviewed literature on asthma management and updates various GINA documents. A report of a GINA Working Group\textsuperscript{20} provides a blueprint for implementation strategies.

Other activities to assist with implementation of asthma management recommendations through the GINA program include:

GINA Website - www.ginasthma.org. The Internet is creating a conduit for the access, sharing, and exchange of information and permits the global distribution of medical information. Although it is still not widely available, especially in low-income countries, the global trend is for increasing use of the Internet for medical education by asthma patients and their health care providers. Thus, to facilitate communication with health
professionals, health policy experts, patients, and their families internationally, GINA has maintained a Website since 1995 to provide access to the GINA guideline documents and educational materials for patients and the public as well as updates of activities and information about collaborating groups and contacts throughout the world.

**World Asthma Day.** Initiated in 1998, and held on the first Tuesday in May, World Asthma Day is organized by GINA in collaboration with health care groups and asthma educators throughout the world. World Asthma Day activities focus on dissemination of information about asthma among the general population, health care professionals, and government officials. For patients with asthma and their relatives, these activities foster an appreciation of the importance of asthma on a local, regional, national, and international level. Activities include sporting events; meetings of people with asthma and their families with health professionals; meetings with local health officials to discuss progress in asthma care; and reports in print media, radio, and television. Information about World Asthma Day can be found on the GINA Website.

**Regional Initiatives.** To examine the formation of networks to facilitate the process of guideline implementation, two pilot initiatives have been implemented in the Mesoamerica and Mediterranean regions. GINA leaders have been identified in each country in each region who will supervise collaboration between GINA and local groups and bring the GINA guidelines into forms that can be readily used by health care professionals and patients in each region.

**GINA Assembly.** To maximize interaction with global asthma-care practitioners, a GINA Assembly was initiated in January 2005. The Assembly provides a forum for dialogue among these health care professionals and facilitates sharing of information about scientific advances and implementation of health education, management, and prevention programs for asthma.

**Global Alliance Against Chronic Respiratory Diseases (GARD).** GINA is a partner organization of the Global Alliance Against Chronic Respiratory Diseases (GARD), a World Health Organization initiative (http://www.who.int/respiratory/gard/en/). The goal of GARD is to facilitate collaboration among existing governmental and nongovernmental programs interested in chronic respiratory diseases to assure more efficient utilization of resources and avoid duplication of efforts. The participating organizations will develop a comprehensive global approach to the prevention and control of chronic respiratory diseases, with a special emphasis on developing countries. Strategies for affordable drug procurement through an Asthma Drug Facility (www.GlobalADF.org) are among the goals of GARD and are being pursued actively by one of the partner groups, the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases (IUATLD).
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